

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 5/2/2013 9:56:39 AM
To: Lindh, Frank (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: [Redacted] rationale

Yes. Glad you read them !

From: Lindh, Frank [mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2013 9:56 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: [Redacted] rationale

Is this an extract from SCE's comments or reply comments? Thnx.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2013 9:52 AM
To: Lindh, Frank
Subject: FW: [Redacted] rationale

How does this work ?

From: Katie.Sloan@sce.com [mailto:Katie.Sloan@sce.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 4:16 PM
To: Cherrv, Brian K; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Cc: Michael.Hoover@sce.com; Laura.Genao@sce.com
Subject: [Redacted]

SCE has provided its rationale for supporting the [Redacted] and Gilroy contracts in its August 31, 2013 Advice Letter 2771-E and in various replies to protest. I'm not sure how relevant it is at this point, but attached is language that could be used as rationale for a partial approval of SCE's [Redact] contracts.

Katie Sloan
Manager, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs
Renewable and Alternative Power
Southern California Edison
626-302-6842 (Office)
626-632-1474 (Cell)
katie.sloan@sce.com

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>