

From: Kauss, Kent
Sent: 5/21/2013 9:35:00 PM
To: Stephanie Chen (stephaniec@greenlining.org)
Cc: Serizawa, Linda (linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov); russell.garwacki@sce.com (russell.garwacki@sce.com); Briesemeister, Janee (JBriesemeister@aarp.org); Richard, Michael (MRichard@aarp.org); Skopec, Dan (DSkopec@semprautilities.com); Schavrien, Lee (LSchavrien@semprautilities.com); Mark Toney (mtoney@turn.org); Samuel S. Kang (samuelk@greenlining.org); Enrique Gallardo (enriqueg@greenlining.org); Matthew Freedman (matthew@turn.org); Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com (Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com); Campbell, Michael (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov); Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3); Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com (Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Our May 30 Meeting - Delay?

We should stick with the original date of next week. We planned on that at the last mtg and I don't think the filing date changes should have any impact. We have been negotiating a legislative solution in good faith and need to keep those discussions moving.

I look forward to seeing the response to the document discussed at the last mtg and working towards a solution. The legislative process is moving along at its original schedule and is not delayed by CPUC filing dates so our legislative discussions should similarly not be changed.

On May 21, 2013, at 1:10 PM, "Stephanie Chen" <stephaniec@greenlining.org> wrote:

Greenlining could do either Wed 6/5 or Thurs 6/6

Stephanie Chen
Energy and Telecommunications Policy Director
[The Greenlining Institute](http://TheGreenliningInstitute.org) | 1918 University Ave, Berkeley CA
94704
stephaniec@greenlining.org | 510.898.0506

SAVE THE DATE: May 17th, 2013 - Greenlining's 20th Annual Economic Summit

This transmission is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any

dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone. Thank you.

On Tue, May 21, 2013 at 1:07 PM, Serizawa, Linda
<linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Wed – Fri of the week of June 1 is good for DRA.

From: Briesemeister, Janee [mailto:JBriesemeister@aarp.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 12:28 PM
To: Russell.Garwacki@sce.com; Richard, Michael; Mark Toney; Matthew Freedman
Cc: Skopec, Dan; Enrique Gallardo; Serizawa, Linda; Schavrien, Lee; Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com; Campbell, Michael; Samuel S. Kang; Stephanie Chen; Bottorff, Thomas E; Kauss, Kent; Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com

Subject: RE: Our May 30 Meeting - Delay?

AARP would like more time to review the May 29 filings before the meeting. Could we meet the following week, the week of June 1?

From: Russell.Garwacki@sce.com
[mailto:Russell.Garwacki@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 11:59 AM
To: Richard, Michael; Mark Toney; Matthew Freedman
Cc: Skopec, Dan; Enrique Gallardo; Briesemeister, Janee; 'Serizawa, Linda'; Schavrien, Lee; Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com; Campbell, Michael; Samuel S. Kang; Stephanie Chen; Bottorff, Thomas E; Kauss, Kent; Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com
Subject: RE: Our May 30 Meeting - Delay?

OK, given that folks already had 5/30 locked down and that we really don't want a delay of the legislative process, I'd like to suggest that we keep our original conversations moving in parallel. I'm sorry for the confusion.

As I mentioned, the IOUs were looking to get a marked up version this week for us to discuss prior to our 5/30 meet.

Subject: RE: Our May 30 Meeting - Delay?

That is the week of the NASUCA and NEUAC meetings, it does not work for AARP. What about the following week?

From: Serizawa, Linda [<mailto:linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 10:34 AM
To: Russell.Garwacki@sce.com; Mark Toney
Cc: Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com; Skopec, Dan; Enrique Gallardo; Briesemeister, Janee; Schavrien, Lee; Matthew Freedman; Campbell, Michael; Richard, Michael; Samuel S. Kang; Stephanie Chen; Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: RE: Our May 30 Meeting - Delay?

Russ,
Thanks for the reminder. June 11 works for DRA.

Thanks,
Linda

From: Russell.Garwacki@sce.com
[<mailto:Russell.Garwacki@sce.com>]
Sent: Monday, May 20, 2013 3:16 PM
To: Mark Toney
Cc: Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com; Skopec, Dan; Enrique Gallardo; Janee Briesemeister; Schavrien, Lee; Serizawa, Linda; Matthew Freedman; Campbell, Michael; Michael Richard; Samuel S. Kang; Stephanie Chen; Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: Our May 30 Meeting - Delay?

When we last met as a group, we set up a follow-up meeting for May 30 working under the assumption that the Residential Rate OIR proposals were to be filed on May 15.

Since the filing of proposals has been delayed to May 29, does the May 30 date still makes sense? If parties are still working on proposals, we may not have anything to review as I believe the plan was for the IOUs to receive a mark-up in time for us to review it prior to our next joint meeting. Maybe re-schedule to the week of June 10 (e.g. does Tuesday-June 11 work for folks)?

Please advise at your earliest convenience. . . .thanks.

^^

Russ Garwacki
Director - Pricing Design and Research
SCE Regulatory Operations

(626) 302-6673, PAX 26673