## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Adopt new Safety and Reliability Regulations for Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution Pipelines and Related Ratemaking Mechanisms

Rulemaking 11-02-019 (Filed February 24, 2011)

REPLY COMMENTS OF
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION (U 705 G)
ON THE PROPOSED DECISION MANDATING
SAFETY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, DISALLOWING COSTS,
AND AUTHORIZING MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT

SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
Catherine M. Mazzeo, Esq.
5241 Spring Mountain Road
P.O. Box 98510
Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8510
Telephone No. (702) 876-7250
Facsimile No. (702) 252-7283
E-mail: <a href="mailto:catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com">catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com</a>
Attorney for Southwest Gas Corporation

<sup>1</sup> DRA Comments, at 1. <sup>2</sup> A.12-12-024.

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Adopt new Safety and Reliability Regulations for Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution Pipelines and Related Ratemaking Mechanisms

Rulemaking 11-02-019 (Filed February 24, 2011)

REPLY COMMENTS OF
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION (U 705 G)
ON THE PROPOSED DECISION MANDATING
SAFETY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, DISALLOWING COSTS,
AND AUTHORIZING MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT

Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) hereby submits its Reply Comments to the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) concerning the Proposed Decision Mandating Safety Implementation Plan, Disallowing Costs, and Authorizing Memorandum Account (Proposed Decision), in accordance with Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Specifically, Southwest Gas replies to the Comments filed by the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA).

Southwest Gas disagrees with DRA's assertion that the Proposed Decision "errs in authorizing memorandum account treatment of SWG's implementation plan costs. SWG does not need such a mechanism given its pending general rate case (GRC)."

The fact that Southwest Gas included its Implementation Plan work among the plant additions set forth in its pending rate case<sup>2</sup> does not eliminate the need for a memorandum account. Because this proceeding and the Company's rate case are running concurrently, the potential for a gap in how cost recovery should be fully addressed exists - in terms of what, when, and how the costs will be recovered. To avoid the potentially inequitable scenario where a gap exists in the ultimate determination of cost recovery (either what, when, or how), the Company seeks approval of a memorandum account, which will only be utilized by the Company if the cost

1	recovery issue is not fully resolved in the pending rate case proceeding. <sup>3</sup> Consequently, there
2	is no harm in approving the memorandum account to address any gap in cost recovery that
3	may exist.
4	DATED this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of May 2013.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
7	Catherine Com Coman Zer
8	Catherine M. Mazzeo, Esq. 5241 Spring Mountain Road
9	P.O. Box 98510 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8510
10	Telephone No. (702) 876-7250 Facsimile No. (702) 252-7283
11	E-mail: <u>catherine.mazzeo@swqas.com</u> Attorne <b>y</b> for Southwest Gas Corporation
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	The Company recognizes that the determination of any allocation of Implementation Plan costs between customers
25	and shareholders will occur in the instant proceeding. However, the recovery of those costs may or may not be fully addressed in the pending rate case, which is why a memorandum account is warranted.