

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the California Energy Commission for Approval of Electric Program Investment Charge Proposed 2012 through 2014 Triennial Investment Plan.	Application 12-11-001 (Filed November 1, 2012)
Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902E) for Approval of Electric Program Investment Charge Triennial Plan for Years 2012-2014.	Application 12-11-002 (Filed November 1, 2012)
In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of its 2012- 2014 Electric Program Investment Charge Investment Plan (U39E).	Application 12-11-003 (Filed November 1, 2012)
Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of Its Triennial Investment Plan for the Electric Program Investment Charge Program.	Application 12-11-004 (Filed November 1, 2012) (CONSOLIDATED)

NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rule 8.4(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby gives notice of the following ex parte communication. The communication occurred on Wednesday, June 19, 2013 at approximately 2:30 p.m., at the offices of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in San Francisco. The communication was oral and the handout provided is attached to this notice. [Rule 8.4(a)(c)]

Kate Beardsley Grant, Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E, initiated the communication with Audrey Lee, Advisor to Commission President Michael Peevey.

James Meadows, Director, Smart Meter Project, PG&E, was also in attendance. [Rule 8.4(b)].

Mr. Meadows reviewed the key benefits associated with PG&E's Project #14 which was rejected by the May 24th Proposed Decision on the Electric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) Investment Plan Applications. Mr. Meadows noted that Project #14 offers an opportunity to demonstrate savings against Operational and Maintenance (O&M) costs and offers a broad platform for safety and reliability enhancements. Ms. Beardsley-Grant recommended that the current "committed" and "encumbered" definitions be clarified such that committed/encumbered IOU internal labor costs as well costs associated with solicitations and contracts be carried over to subsequent investment plan periods. Lastly, Ms. Beardsley-Grant noted the importance of ensuring that utilities and their customers have access to no-cost, royalty free licenses to intellectual property developed by the Energy Commission or its EPIC grantees using EPIC ratepayer funds. [Rule 8.4(c)]

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian K. Cherry
Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C
San Francisco, CA 94177
Phone: 415-973-4977
Fax: 415-973-7226
E-mail: BKC7@pge.com

Attachment

Dated: June 24, 2013