

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking Pursuant to
Assembly Bill 2514 to Consider the Adoption of
Procurement Targets for Viable and Cost-
Effective Energy Storage Systems.

Rulemaking 10-12-007
(Filed December 16, 2010)

**MOTION OF DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
TO BECOME A PARTY**

Duke Energy Corporation

Seth D. Hilton
Stoel Rives LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1120
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 617-8900
Facsimile: (415) 617-8907
Email: sdhilton@stoel.com

June 28, 2013

Attorneys for Duke Energy Corporation

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking Pursuant to
Assembly Bill 2514 to Consider the Adoption of
Procurement Targets for Viable and Cost-
Effective Energy Storage Systems.

Rulemaking 10-12-007
(Filed December 16, 2010)

**MOTION OF DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
TO BECOME A PARTY**

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 1.4(a)(4) of the California Public Utility Commission’s (“CPUC” or “Commission”) Rules of Practice and Procedure, Duke Energy Corporation (“Duke”) hereby moves to become a party in this proceeding.

II. BASIS FOR PARTY STATUS

A. Duke’s Interest in the Proceeding.

Duke develops and owns energy storage projects throughout the United States. Most recently, Duke completed a 36 megawatt (“MW”) battery storage project at its 153 MW Notrees wind farm in Texas, currently the largest battery storage project integrated with a renewable energy facility in North America. In addition to several previous pilot projects, in 2012, Duke implemented three energy storage systems as part of the Electric Power Research Institute’s Smart Grid Demonstration, including a 402 kilowatt (“kW”) battery system at the Rankin Substation in Mount Holly, North Carolina to smooth fluctuation in generation from a nearby 1.2 MW solar facility. Duke currently has a large pipeline of energy storage projects in active development, including in California. The success of Duke’s prospective energy storage projects in California hinge, in part, on the outcomes of this proceeding. For this reason, Duke would like to participate in the proceeding as a party. Because Duke’s interests relate to the company’s

distinct plans to participate in the California market for energy storage, Duke's interests cannot be represented by any other party in the proceeding.

B. Duke's Contentions in the Proceeding

From its execution of several energy storage projects, Duke has garnered practical expertise on integrating storage into the grid, including system design, installation, and operation of different types of advanced battery technologies. Duke intends to share relevant insights in the proceeding from its experience implementing energy storage systems. In this vein, Duke may file comments on the Assigned Commissioner's Ruling Proposing Storage Procurement Targets and Mechanisms and Noticing All-Party Meeting and other Motions, Rulings, and Proposed Decisions issued during the proceeding. Also, Duke will attend the June 28, 2013 workshop on the results of the cost-effectiveness analysis for the selected energy storage use cases. Duke's participation in this proceeding will not prejudice any other party in the proceeding, delay the schedule, or expand the scope of the issues to be considered.

III. SERVICE

Correspondence, pleadings, notices, orders and other communications in this proceeding should be addressed to the following:

Seth D. Hilton
Stoel Rives LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1120
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 617-8900
Facsimile: (415) 617-8907
Email: sdhilton@stoel.com

IV. CONCLUSION.

For the reasons set forth above, Duke respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Motion To Become A Party.

DATED this 28th day of June, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Seth D. Hilton
Stoel Rives LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1120
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 617-8900
Facsimile: (415) 617-8907
Email: sdhilton@stoel.com