## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ſ	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Oversee the	
	Resource Adequacy Program,	
	Consider Program Refinements, and	R. 11-10-023
	Establish Annual Local Procurement	
	Obligations.	

## COMMENTS OF NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC

Greg Bass Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC 401 West "A" Street, Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 684-8199 gbass@noblesolutions.com Thomas Corr
Law Office of Thomas Corr
618 W. Lewis Street
San Diego, CA 92013
(619) 540-5694
thomaspcorr@gmail.com
Attorney for
Noble Americas
Energy Solutions LLC

June 17, 2013

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Oversee the	
Resource Adequacy Program,	
Consider Program Refinements, and	R. 11-10-023
Establish Annual Local Procurement	
Obligations.	

## COMMENTS OF NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC

In conformance with Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC ("Noble Solutions") hereby submits its Comments on the *Proposed Decision of ALJ Gamson*, ("PD"), issued May 28, 2013.

Ordering Paragraph ("OP") 6 in the PD requires each Load Serving Entity ("LSE") to make

a year ahead and month-ahead showing of flexible capacity for each month of the compliance year. Each LSE shall report all its qualified flexible resources in its required annual and monthly Resource Adequacy filings.<sup>1</sup>

There are two ambiguities in this OP that should be clarified in the final

<u> 뀀□໗뀀□໗뀀□캠뀀ᆩ</u> ¹꿺ni≰삠□Ŋlanguage뀀□Ŋis뀀□		 
modifications 動型口刀 <b>PP型の項を</b> well.型口N		 
Weines if	1	

Decision and Order.

Under current practice.<sup>2</sup> LSEs make a year-ahead showing for System RA resources equal to 90% of forecasted load (plus reserves) for the summer months of May through September. Read literally, OP6 appears to require a 2014 year-ahead showing of flexible capacity for "each month" of the forecast year. Noble Solutions is unclear if this is what was intended. Noble Solutions understands flexible capacity resources to be a subset of system resources, to be reported for informational purposes only in 2014. Thus, the 2014 year-ahead showing for flexible capacity resources should follow the same requirements as for the 2013 year-ahead showing for local and system resources: a 12-month showing of local capacity procurement, and a summer-months showing of system capacity procurement. For 2014, the only change would be to identify the flexible capacity available from the units presented in the showings. The PD should be amended to clarify this point.

Another ambiguity arises in the second sentence of OP 6. It is possible, perhaps even likely, that an LSE may procure RA resources—system or local—that qualify as flexible capacity resources in whole or in

뀀□N 꿴□n

part. The charge that an LSE must "report <u>all</u> its qualified flexible resources" may be overbroad and unintended. Each LSE will have a 2014 flexible capacity resource allocation that will be some fraction of its overall RA obligation. Noble Solutions questions whether there is a purpose to be served in requiring an LSE to report more than its assigned flexible capacity obligation for 2014 and beyond.

The overbroad requirement to report "all qualified flexible capacity" is particularly problematic in light of this language in Appendix A to the PD:

...LSEs are required to show all eligible flexible resources in their RA filings. The Energy Division reserves the right to refer a RA violation to CPSD if an LSE fails to comply with the requirement. [PD, Appendix A, pp. 4-5]

Noble Solutions does not believe that OP 6 was designed to create hidden compliance liabilities for LSEs, especially since the intent of the flexible capacity reporting is to be informational for 2014.

The language of OP 6 contains ambiguities that should be eliminated.

Noble Solutions proposes that OP 6 be modified to read as follows:

6. For 2014, each CPUC jurisdictional Load Serving Entity (LSE) shall report its qualified flexible resources procurement target in its required annual and monthly Resource Adequacy filings.

This modest change to the language of OP 6 will resolve the ambiguities

뀀□n 꿴□n present in the current formulation of that Ordering Paragraph.

DATED: June 17, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Corr
Law Office of Thomas Corr
618 W. Lewis Street
San Diego, CA 92013
(619) 540-5694
thomaspcorr@gmail.com

Attorney for Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC