

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 6/5/2013 4:48:55 PM
To: DeVine, Kyle (kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov) (kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov);
'karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov' (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)
(karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Cooper, Judy' (judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov)
(judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com (jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com); Portillo, Claudia
(Claudia.Portillo@cpuc.ca.gov) (Claudia.Portillo@cpuc.ca.gov);
'jtuckey@marinenergy.com' (jtuckey@marinenergy.com);
'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com' (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Community Choice Aggregation - Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - MCE and
PG&E

Dear Karen, Kyle, and Judy

We appreciate your time to review the Joint Rate Comparison mailer with PG&E and MCE last Wednesday. As we agreed, attached is a Residential and Large Commercial mailer for your review. Based on the items we discussed during the meeting, PG&E and MCE have worked together and agreed to the following:

1. Modified the language on the front of the mailer to make more clear to the customer the rate comparison is based on a rate that is representative of the customer class. If the comparison does not match with their specific rate, it directs them to MCE's and PG&E's websites.
2. Moved PG&E's column of information to show next to MCE's Light Green.
3. Removed the redundant language regarding PG&E as a collection agent in the PG&E PCIA/FF description.
4. All Customers within MCE's service area will receive from one to five of these mailers

depending on the number of service accounts they have:

- a. Residential E-1/RES-1
- b. Small commercial A-1 non-TOU/COM-1 non-TOU
- c. Medium Commercial A-6 / COM-6
- d. Medium Commercial A-10 non-TOU/COM-10 non-TOU
- e. Large Commercial E-19S/COM-19S

Originally there were going to be eight mailers but due to the low number of service accounts in three of the mailers, they will receive one of the above mailers.

The following items were considered but not changed:

1. The existing decimal places in the rates were maintained because rounding of the numbers would provide less accurate information. Also the monthly customer bill statement shows the rate in the same number of decimal places.

The following language has been added and is still being worked on by PG&E and MCE. We hope to send an update tomorrow.

1. Added language under the Generation Rate and PG&E Delivery Rate descriptions to address the deferred costs associated with greenhouse gas compliance and rate reductions associated with

the sale of greenhouse gas allowances under the California Cap-and Trade program. This will ensure the mailer is in compliance with a Proposed Decision issued by ALJ Semcer on May 28, 2013,

in the Greenhouse Gas proceeding. The Proposed Decision could be voted by the Commissioners as early as June 27 and the mailers are scheduled to be mailed starting on July 1.

The following items are unresolved between PG&E and MCE:

1. In the 2013 Rate Comparison section of the mailer, the % of renewables was not displayed under the MCE Light Green and MCE Deep Green column headings. If this was shown, the 2013 MCE Light Green renewable percentage would show 50% and be different from the MCE Light Green renewable percentage which has 33% shown under the 2011 Electric Power Generation Mix. Customers may find this confusing.
2. PG&E had agreed to provide language under the CO2 chart describing what CO2 emissions represent and is shown in the mailer. The language has been shared with MCE. The CO2 chart has been modified to show the MCE Light Green and MCE Deep Green CO2 information. MCE's position remains the same where they want the entire CO2 chart and language removed.

As mentioned above, we hope to send you the revised GHG language under the Generation Rate and PG&E Delivery Rate descriptions tomorrow.

PG&E and MCE are available to answer any questions you may have.

Best regards,

Redacted

Senior Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted