

From: Miller, Karen  
Sent: 6/11/2013 1:46:37 PM  
To: [Redacted];  
dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com)  
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]; DeVine, Kyle  
(kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov); jkudo@marinenergy.com (jkudo@marinenergy.com);  
Cooper, Judy (judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov); Portillo, Claudia  
(Claudia.Portillo@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Joint comparison

Hi [Redact]

OK. I will keep my eyes open for the update.

Karen

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2013 1:06 PM  
**To:** dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com; Miller, Karen  
**Cc:** [Redacted]; Dietz, Sidney; jkudo@marinenergy.com; DeVine, Kyle; Cooper,  
Judy; Portillo, Claudia  
**Subject:** RE: Joint comparison

Dear Dawn and Karen,

Regarding the use of the 2012 “Electric Power Generation Mix” instead of the 2011 numbers, PG&E feels it is important to use the 2011 numbers because they have been submitted to the CEC as part of the Annual Report: Power Source Disclosure Program and an Independent Audit of the Annual Report have been performed as governed by Senate Bill 1305.

Dawn is correct in that the CEC does not “verify” the power generation mix data. However there is an audit requirement on the Annual Power Content Label and the Annual Report. A link to the reporting requirements on the CEC site is provided:

<http://www.energy.ca.gov/sb1305/>

Both MCE and PG&E have submitted their Annual Report for their 2012 power generation mix. What remains is the audit which needs to be completed and submitted to the CEC no later than October 1, 2013. As PG&E expressed in our meeting on May 29, we want to use numbers that have been verified and in this case it takes the form of an independent audit.

At this junction, both PG&E and MCE have agreed to disagree on the 2011 versus 2012 numbers and will leave this to the Public Advisor Office to resolve.

Regrettably, both PG&E and MCE have another unresolved issue which is the GHG cost language which we had previously come to consensus with last Friday. PG&E and MCE will provide more information later this afternoon.

Best regards,

Redacted

Senior Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

**From:** Dawn Weisz <dweisz@marinenergy.com>

**Date:** June 10, 2013, 11:47:51 AM PDT

**To:** Redacted Sidney Bob Dietz II  
<SBD4@pge.com>

**Cc:** <jkudo@marinenergy.com>, <karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov>, "DeVine, Kyle"  
<kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Cooper, Judy" <judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Portillo, Claudia" <

**Subject:** Joint comparison

Eric and Sid,

As per my VM to you I wanted to verify that we will be using the 2012 "Electric

Power Generation Mix” chart rather than the 2011 chart in the joint comparison. As discussed, we have determined that the CEC does not “verify” the data and it is the reporting obligation that is required to comply with the Annual California Energy Commission: Power Source Disclosure Program.

Also, because the CEC does not verify the data, the footnote to the chart should be changed to read: *\*Data is from the Annual Report to the California Energy Commission: Power Source Disclosure Program.*

If you can replace the 2011 chart with the 2012 chart in the current draft mailers and adjust the footnote that would be appreciated. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Dawn

-----

**Dawn Weisz**

**Executive Officer**

Marin Energy Authority

781 Lincoln Ave., Suite 320

San Rafael, CA 94901

415-464-6020

[dweisz@marinenergy.com](mailto:dweisz@marinenergy.com)

[www.mceCleanEnergy.com](http://www.mceCleanEnergy.com)

*MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more  
at: [www.mceCleanEnergy.com/privacy](http://www.mceCleanEnergy.com/privacy)*

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>