

From: Dawn Weisz
Sent: 6/11/2013 4:37:12 PM
To: [Redacted];
karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov);
dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com)
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; DeVine, Kyle
(kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov); jkudo@marinenergy.com (jkudo@marinenergy.com);
Cooper, Judy (judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov); Portillo, Claudia
(Claudia.Portillo@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Joint comparison

[Redacted] and Karen,

Although the regulations have been in flux, we are aware of the PG&E process referenced below, although the dates have been a moving target as AB162 provisions are still in the process of being implemented to replace SB1305. It is worth noting that the SB1305 'current regulations' website (<http://www.energy.ca.gov/sb1305/documents/SB1305REG.PDF>) shows the audit deadline as June 1 and while there is now a CPUC rulemaking underway aimed at transitioning the requirements from SB1305 to AB162, this is not yet complete. On the website referenced below there is acknowledgement that the rules and requirements have been in flux, as I am sure all parties will agree. Most importantly, however, there are differing responsibilities and a different schedule that applies to a public entity, such as MEA.

The one consistent requirement that both MCE and PG&E share as of now is the reporting obligation of June 1.

If any member of the public contacts the CEC today to request PG&E's 2012 Power Generation Mix (as we did yesterday), the CEC will provide them with PG&E's 2012 Electric Power Generation Mix chart. Hence, we reiterate our request to use this most current, publically available information in the mailer.

Happy to discuss if that would be helpful,

Dawn

Dawn Weisz

Executive Officer

Marin Energy Authority

781 Lincoln Ave., Suite 320

San Rafael, CA 94901

415-464-6020

dweisz@marinenergy.com

www.mceCleanEnergy.com

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2013 1:06 PM

To: dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com; 'Karen Miller' (<karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov>)

Cc: [Redacted]; Dietz, Sidney; jkudo@marinenergy.com; DeVine, Kyle (<kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov>); 'Cooper, Judy' (<judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov>); Portillo, Claudia (<Claudia.Portillo@cpuc.ca.gov>)

Subject: RE: Joint comparison

Dear Dawn and Karen,

Regarding the use of the 2012 “Electric Power Generation Mix” instead of the 2011 numbers, PG&E feels it is important to use the 2011 numbers because they have been submitted to the CEC as part of the Annual Report: Power Source Disclosure Program and an Independent Audit of the Annual Report have been performed as governed by Senate Bill 1305.

Dawn is correct in that the CEC does not “verify” the power generation mix data. However there is an audit requirement on the Annual Power Content Label and the Annual Report. A link to the reporting requirements on the CEC site is provided:

<http://www.energy.ca.gov/sb1305/>

Both MCE and PG&E have submitted their Annual Report for their 2012 power generation mix. What remains is the audit which needs to be completed and submitted to the CEC no later than October 1, 2013. As PG&E expressed in our meeting on May 29, we want to use numbers that have been verified and in this case it takes the form of an independent audit.

At this junction, both PG&E and MCE have agreed to disagree on the 2011 versus 2012 numbers and will leave this to the Public Advisor Office to resolve.

Regrettably, both PG&E and MCE have another unresolved issue which is the GHG cost language which we had previously come to consensus with last Friday. PG&E and MCE will provide more information later this afternoon.

Best regards,

Redacted

Senior Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Dawn Weisz <dweisz@marinenergy.com>

Date: June 10, 2013, 11:47:51 AM PDT

To: Redacted Sidney Bob Dietz II
<SBD4@pge.com>

Cc: <jkudo@marinenergy.com>, <karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov>, "DeVine, Kyle" <kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Cooper, Judy" <judy.cooper@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Portillo, Claudia" <

Subject: Joint comparison

Eric and Sid,

As per my VM to you I wanted to verify that we will be using the 2012 “Electric Power Generation Mix” chart rather than the 2011 chart in the joint comparison. As discussed, we have determined that the CEC does not “verify” the data and it is the reporting obligation that is required to comply with the Annual California Energy Commission: Power Source Disclosure Program.

Also, because the CEC does not verify the data, the footnote to the chart should be changed to read: **Data is from the Annual Report to the California Energy Commission: Power Source Disclosure Program.*

If you can replace the 2011 chart with the 2012 chart in the current draft mailers and adjust the footnote that would be appreciated. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Dawn

Dawn Weisz

Executive Officer

Marin Energy Authority

781 Lincoln Ave., Suite 320

San Rafael, CA 94901

415-464-6020

dweisz@marinenergy.com

www.mceCleanEnergy.com

*MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more
at: www.mceCleanEnergy.com/privacy*

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

*MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more
at: www.mceCleanEnergy.com/privacy*