

From: Baker, Amy C.
Sent: 6/20/2013 2:02:47 PM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: An additional note on Hollister

We just had our weekly call. Many people were gone though (Andy Smith at a training, Insignia's Keith Miller on vacation, and Nick Fisher who is the lead PG&E monitor). I'm getting a little concerned because we discussed the June 6th incident where PG&E crews were working outside of a work area without getting clearance to work through a CPUC variance approval. They had been told by Nick Fisher that they couldn't begin work until that variance was approved, but they started work anyway. The people on the call today were unsure who gave that direction, but said it should be in the non-compliance report. What is really concerning to me is that this happened two weeks ago and we don't have any written conclusion from PG&E on why it happened. I realize there was a stand down with the crew, which I think is a great first step, but it's not clear to me whether PG&E knows why it happened. If PG&E does not know why it happened, why is construction continuing? It may be that PG&E knows and has not communicated it, but I can't be sure of that.

Going forward, I think we should expect PG&E to turn around these reports within a couple of days and figure out why the turn around is not happening faster right now. Maybe that is something you can discuss with Steve.

From: Allen, Meredith [MEAE@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 1:26 PM
To: Baker, Amy C.
Subject: Re: An additional note on Hollister

Hi Amy,

Thanks for sending. Did this happen this week? I'm checking with Steve on where he is on the items we discussed earlier this week and will let you know the steps we are taking. This should not be happening.

Thanks,
Meredith

On Jun 20, 2013, at 11:14 AM, "Baker, Amy C." <amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov>>>>
wrote:

Hi Meredith,

Here's a note from our consultant:

"The PG&E line crew plans the needed clearances weeks in advance, but did not pass on the information to PG&E's environmental team until the day before. There seems to still be a disconnect between the line crew and the environmental monitors (Insignia). This has not really come across in the discussions with PG&E."

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>