From: Matthew Freedman
Sent: 7/19/2013 9:52:36 AM

To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)

Kauss, Kent (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KWK3);

Cc: Mata, Celia (Celia.Mata@asm.ca.gov); com' 'Bruce. Reed@sce.

(Bruce.Reed@sce.com); Brill Thomas R. (TBrill@semprautilities.com); russell.garwacki@sce.com (russell.garwacki@sce.com); Enrique Gallardo

(enriqueg@greenlining.org); Michael Campbell (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov);

Manzuk Chuck (CManzuk@semprautilities.com); Chen Stephanie

(stephaniec@greenlining.org); Richard Michael (MRichard@aarp.org); Barsuglia

Heidi Peaches (HBarsuglia@semprautilities.com); Serizawa Linda

(linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov); Warner, Christopher (Law)

(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJW5); Mark Toney

(mtoney@turn.org); Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com (Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com); Mitchell Mitch

(EMitchell@semprautilities.com); Kellie Smith (Kellie.Smith@sen.ca.gov); Boyd

Kelly (kelly.e.boyd@sce.com); Lenny Goldberg (lga@cal.net)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx

I agree with Trina's edits. With those changes, everything looks fine with the draft.

Matt

On Jul 18, 2013, at 8:40 PM, Horner, Trina wrote:

Celia, Matt et al, based on our review and discussion with the other utilities, and in addition to Matt's suggested corrections in his email below, below is one additional correction in the legislative language that we recommend, plus two observations. The rest of the legislative language looks good; thank you for all your help. Matt and other parties, please feel free to add your additional comments as well, if any.

-Trina

Amendment 15 defines "fixed charge" but does not limit the definition to this legislation. Need to add back in "For purposes of this section and section 739.1".

Amendment 23: We agree with Matt Freedman's change in his email below to correct the drafting errors and typo in Amendment 23.

Amendment 16 adds "electrical" as the qualifier on the fixed charge authorization. We agree because this change is necessary to confirm that this

section only applies to fixed charges for residential electricity service.

Trina Horner Vice President, Proceedings and Rates, PG&E (415) 973-6490 (o) (415) 722-6504 (m)

Trina.Horner@pge.com

From: Matthew Freedman [mailto:matthew@turn.org] Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 4:09 PM To: Mata, Celia

Cc: Kauss, Kent; com' 'Bruce. Reed@sce.; Brill Thomas R.; Horner, Trina; Manzuk Chuck; Russell.Garwacki@sce.com; Serizawa Linda; Michael Campbell; Chen Stephanie; Enrique Gallardo; Richard Michael; Barsuglia Heidi Peaches; Boyd Kelly; Mitchell Mitch; Kellie Smith; Lenny Goldberg; Mark Toney Subject: Re: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx

Celia.

TURN has reviewed the Leg Counsel language and caught the following errors that should be corrected (changes shown in underline) in Amendment 23. Amendment 23 should read as follows:

On page 15, in lines 22 and 23, strike out "change in authorized residential class revenue requirements. This" and insert:

increase in the Consumer Price Index for the prior calendar year. This subdivision shall apply to any default rate schedule, at least one optional tiered rate schedule, and at least one optional time variant rate schedule.

(g) This section does not require the commission to approve any new or expanded fixed charge.

000 000 000 000 000 000 0

The change to (f) is essential since the current draft would exempt default rates and the identified optional rate schedules from the cap on fixed charges. This is the opposite of our agreement and is a mistake by Leg Counsel. The change to (g) is to ensure uniform terminology ("fixed customer charge" should be "fixed charge") throughout the section.

It is my understanding that DRA is also reviewing and may have feedback.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Matthew Freedman Staff Attorney The Utility Reform Network matthew@turn.org 415-954-8084

<image001.jpg>

On Jul 17, 2013, at 12:31 PM, Mata, Celia wrote:

```
> Please find attached the leg. counsel version of the language you all agreed on for your review. Let me know if you prefer that I fax you a copy. I know the scanner I used was running low on ink.
```

> Thank you! > Celia

> ----Original Message----

> From: Kauss, Kent [mailto:KWK3@pge.com]

> Sent: Friday, July 12, 2013 1:52 PM

> To: Mata, Celia; Freedman Matthew; com' 'Bruce. Reed@sce.; Brill Thomas R.; Horner, Trina; Manzuk Chuck; Russell.Garwacki@sce.com; Serizawa Linda; Chen Stephanie; Richard Michael

> Cc: Barsuglia Heidi "Peaches"; Boyd Kelly; Mitchell Mitch > Subject: Fwd: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx

> Celia - attached is the agreed upon language as well as the various parties who can verify that we have reached agreement

> Linda - I don't have Mike's e-mail address can you add him or provide the verification?

> > Thanks. > >

> Begin forwarded message:

>

> From: "Warner, Christopher (Law)" < CJW5@pge.com < mailto: CJW5@pge.com >> Date: July 12, 2013, 12:53:21 PM PDT

> To: "Kauss, Kent" < KWK3@pge.com>

> Cc: Matthew Freedman < matthew@turn.org < mailto:matthew@turn.org >>,

"bruce.reed@sce.com<mailto:bruce.reed@sce.com>"

<bruce.reed@sce.com<mailto:bruce.reed@sce.com>>,

"TBrill@semprautilities.com<mailto:TBrill@semprautilities.com>"

<<u>TBrill@semprautilities.com<mailto:TBrill@semprautilities.com</u>>>, "Horner, Trina" <<u>TNHc@pge.com</u><mailto:TNHc@pge.com>>,

"CManzuk@semprautilities.com<mailto:CManzuk@semprautilities.com>"

< CManzuk@semprautilities.com< mailto: CManzuk@semprautilities.com >> ,

"Russell.Garwacki@sce.com<mailto:Russell.Garwacki@sce.com>"

< Russell.Garwacki@sce.com < mailto: Russell.Garwacki@sce.com >>

> Subject: FW: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx

>

> Kent, per your request, here is the draft legislative language we have agreed upon among the IOUs and the consumer groups - this is the draft Matt Freedman circulated at 5:43 pm last night, with the only change being the change referenced in the 6:27 pm and 10:11 pm emails between Matt and myself.

> Feel free to contact any of us if you have any questions.

> Chris

>

```
> From: Warner, Christopher (Law)
                        > Sent: Friday, July 12, 2013 8:27 AM
                             > To: 'Matthew Freedman'
                                > Cc: Horner, Trina;
bruce.reed@sce.com<mailto:bruce.reed@sce.com>; TBrill@semprautilities.com<mailto:TBrill@s
                                    Kauss, Kent
           > Subject: RE: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx
                                 > Thx much Matt!
               > From: Matthew Freedman [mailto:matthew@turn.org]
                     > Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2013 10:11 PM
                          > To: Warner, Christopher (Law)
                                > Cc: Horner, Trina;
bruce.reed@sce.com<mailto:bruce.reed@sce.com>: TBrill@semprautilities.com<mailto:TBrill@s
                                    Kauss. Kent
           > Subject: Re: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx
                        > No problem. I think we have a deal.
                                      > Matt
                                     > .....
          > On Jul 11, 2013, at 6:27 PM, Warner, Christopher (Law) wrote:
> Matt our consensus is let's stick with the clunky version - "for purposes of this section
and section 739.1" - if we get comfort regarding the broader less clunky reference. I am
                 sure we can add it in if we all agree later. Sound ok?
                                      > Chris
                                      > CJW
                                         >
                                         >
               > From: Matthew Freedman [mailto:matthew@turn.org]
                      > Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2013 5:43 PM
                   > To: Warner, Christopher (Law); Horner, Trina;
                 bruce.reed@sce.com<mailto:bruce.reed@sce.com>
           > Subject: Fwd: AB 327 proposed amends to July 8 version.docx
 > We've moved the categorical enrollment language out of 739.1(a) to 739.1(f) in the
attached draft. I haven't made any changes to the "fixed charge" definition since these
 words appear in both 739.1 and 739.9 (and do not appear in any other portion of the
                                     PU Code).
                    > Let me know what you think we should do.
                                       > Matt
                                    > -----
                                         >
                                         >
             > PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
 > To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
```

> <AB 327 amendments 07-17-2013.pdf>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please
visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

Matthew Freedman
Staff Attorney
The Utility Reform Network
matthew@turn.org
415-954-8084

