From: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: 7/17/2013 7:20:04 PM

To: Florio, Michel Peter (MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: RE: NARUC

Not sure. These guys are serious about it despite evidence to the contrary. It's amazing that dinosaurs still roam the earth. Mike won't waste his breath on them - but it would be great theatre!

Brian K. Cherry PG&E Company VP, Regulatory Relations 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA. 94105 (415) 973-4977

On Jul 17, 2013, at 7:17 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" < Michel Peter. Florio@cpuc.ca.gov > wrote:

> Are you going to see the Texas guy talk about "the myth of carbon pollution"? That one caused quite a bit of consternation in the NARUC ranks! I guess the question is whether people will boycott the session or show up and challenge the guy. It would be quite a show if Peevey decided to take him on, but I doubt that will happen.

```
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 7:05 PM
> To: Florio, Michel Peter
> Subject: Re: NARUC
> At least it is the afternoon session. Not many people attend.
> Brian K. Cherry
> PG&E Company
> VP, Regulatory Relations
> 77 Beale Street
> San Francisco, CA. 94105
> (415) 973-4977
> On Jul 17, 2013, at 6:04 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" < Michel Peter. Florio@cpuc.ca.gov > wrote:
>> Thanks, Brian -- fingers crossed as to Hagen . . . .
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
```

```
>> Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 5:50 PM
>> To: Florio, Michel Peter
>> Subject: Re: NARUC
>>
>> Thanks. I don't believe the meeting is an ex parte. It is public. I hope Hagan can explain his proposal because
not many in the media picked up the real number c
>>
>> Brian K. Cherry
>> PG&E Company
>> VP, Regulatory Relations
>> 77 Beale Street
>> San Francisco, CA, 94105
>> (415) 973-4977
>>
>>
>> On Jul 17, 2013, at 5:47 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" < Michel Peter. Florio@cpuc.ca.gov > wrote:
>>> Let's start at 2 pm and then see how things go. Any thoughts on whether my listening to the panel would
constitute an ex parte? It's a public discussion, but I'm sure Ruane and Hagen will mention their proposals. I'd
like to listen to it but not if it creates any issues . . . .
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
>>> Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 5:08 PM
>>> To: Florio, Michel Peter
>>> Subject: Re: NARUC
>>> Tuesday doesn't work. Monday at 2 is fine or we could sit and chat at 230. Your call
>>>
>>> Brian K. Cherry
>>> PG&E Company
>>> VP. Regulatory Relations
>>> 77 Beale Street
>>> San Francisco, CA. 94105
>>> (415) 973-4977
>>>
>>> On Jul 17, 2013, at 5:03 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter"
<MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov>> wrote:
>>> Are you still around Tuesday morning? Say 11 or 11:30? If so, let's book it. If not, Monday is pretty full
but something like 2 pm might work. I want to attend the Monday 2:30 pipeline safety session but am pondering
the ex parte implications. Maybe you could sit next to me and kibitz for your equal time . . . . .
>>>
>>> From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
>>> Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 4:52 PM
```

