

From: russell.garwacki@sce.com  
Sent: 7/10/2013 3:32:21 PM  
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);  
Michael Campbell (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov); Dan Skopec  
(dskopec@semprautilities.com)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Fw: Rate Design Leg Proposal - Getting to Yes

Per discussion -

Original -

"The CPUC may authorize total residential fixed charges of no greater than \$10 per month for non-CARE customers and \$5 per month for CARE customers. This provision shall apply to any default rate schedule, any flat or tiered rate schedule, and at least one optional time variant tariff intended to serve customers with low or moderate demand."

Modify to -

"The CPUC may authorize total residential fixed charges of no greater than \$10 per month for non-CARE customers and \$5 per month for CARE customers. This provision shall apply to any default rate schedule, ~~any flat or tiered rate schedule,~~ and at least one optional tiered tariff and time variant tariff intended to serve customers with low or moderate demand."

AA

Russ Garwacki  
Director - Pricing Design and Research  
SCE Regulatory Operations  
(626) 302-6673, PAX 26673

----- Forwarded by Russell Garwacki/SCE/EIX on 07/10/2013 03:14 PM -----

From: Matthew Freedman <matthew@turn.org>  
To: Trina Horner <TNHc@pge.com>, Mark Toney <mtoney@turn.org>, Linda Serizawa <linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov>, Michael Campbell <Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov>, Dexter Khoury <dexter.khoury@cpuc.ca.gov>, Samuel Kang <samuelk@greenlining.org>, "Stephanie Chen (stephaniec@greenlining.org)" <stephaniec@greenlining.org>, Enrique Gallardo <enriqueg@greenlining.org>, "Michael Richard (MRichard@aarp.org)" <MRichard@aarp.org>, "Janee Briesemeister (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org)" <Jbriesemeister@aarp.org>, "Bottorff, Thomas E" <TEB3@pge.com>, Lee Schavrien <LSchavrien@semprautilities.com>, Chuck Manzuk <CManzuk@semprautilities.com>, Dan Skopec <DSkopec@semprautilities.com>, Russell.Garwacki@sce.com, "Megan Scott-Kakures (megan.scottkakures@sce.com)" <megan.scottkakures@sce.com>,  
Date: 07/09/2013 11:32 AM  
Subject: Rate Design Leg Proposal - Getting to Yes

IOU folks,

We've reviewed the revised proposal and can agree with one minor change. For (1) and (2), we offer the following language:

"The CPUC may authorize total residential fixed charges of no greater than \$10 per month for non-CARE customers and \$5 per month for CARE customers.

This provision shall apply to any default rate schedule, any flat or tiered rate schedule, and at least one optional time variant tariff intended to serve customers with low or moderate demand."

If the IOUs can agree, then we have a deal.

Matthew Freedman  
Staff Attorney  
The Utility Reform Network  
matthew@turn.org  
415-954-8084

On Jul 8, 2013, at 8:47 PM, Horner, Trina wrote:

- >
- > Rate Design Working Group,
- >
- > Following up on our discussion this morning and on the edits Matt circulated thereafter, attached is the joint utilities' proposed further - and hopefully final - edits that we believe capture today's conversations and agreements. The changes are summarized below. Please let us know your thoughts, and whether a short call would be helpful. We are getting close!
- >
- > Trina
- >
- > 1. \$10 cap on non-CARE fixed charge and \$5 cap on CARE fixed charge. (IOUs request)
- >
- > 2. The fixed charge cap does not apply to optional, non-default, non-tiered residential rate schedules. (IOUs request, modified by TURN)
- >
- > 3. Fixed charge for CARE and non-CARE customers may be escalated annually starting Jan 1, 2015 using same form of CPI as used under existing Section 739.9(a). (IOUs request)
- >
- > 4. No change to consumer groups' definition of "fixed charges." (consumer groups' request)
- >
- > 5. Average effective CARE discount calculated using "weighted" average of discounts provided to individual CARE customers. (IOUs request)
- >
- > 6. CARE categorical income eligibility must be "substantially same" as 200% of federal poverty level, and consistent with enrollment and income eligibility provisions in existing CARE statute. (consumer groups' request)
- >
- > 7. Additional exemptions from default TOU may be approved by CPUC in its discretion. (consumer groups' request)
- >
- > 8. DRA's request to maintain protections for customers under existing TOU statutory protections (inc. bill protection) in existing Section 745(d) (1) and (3).
- >

>  
>  
> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
> To learn more, please visit  
> <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>  
> <Consumers\_LegProp\_July8IOUEdits.docx>