From:	Campbell, Michael
Sent:	7/8/2013 12:42:26 PM
To:	Matthew Freedman (matthew@turn.org); Serizawa, Linda (linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov); russell.garwacki@sce.com
	(russell.garwacki@sce.com); Dan Skopec (dskopec@semprautilities.com); Lee
	Schavrien (lschavrien@semprautilities.com); Chuck Manzuk
	(CManzuk@semprautilities.com); Megan Scott-Kakures
	(megan.scottkakures@sce.com) (megan.scottkakures@sce.com); Janee
	Briesemeister (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org) (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org); Enrique
	Gallardo (enriqueg@greenlining.org) (enriqueg@greenlining.org); Bottorff, Thomas
	E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3); Mark Toney
	(mtoney@turn.org); Samuel Kang (samuelk@greenlining.org); Stephanie Chen
	(stephaniec@greenlining.org) (stephaniec@greenlining.org); Michael Richard
	(MRichard@aarp.org) (MRichard@aarp.org)
Cc:	Khoury, Dexter (dexter.khoury@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Rate reform - final draft on issues other than fixed charges

As mentioned in Matt's note below, here are the PU Code sections that the Consumer groups would like to add back in, as it is not necessary to delete these sections to make the changes to Time Variant Pricing that we have discussed.

Most importantly they deleted Code Section 745 (d)(1) which states: "Residential customers have the option to not receive service pursuant to time variant pricing and incur no additional charges as a result of the exercise of that option. Prohibited charges include, but are not limited to, administrative fees for switching away from time variant pricing, hedging premiums that exceed any actual costs of hedging, and more than a proportional share of any discounts or other incentives paid to customers to increase participation in time varying pricing. This prohibition on additional charges is not intended to ensure that a customer will necessarily experience a lower total bill as a result of the exercise of the option to not receive service pursuant to a time-variant rate schedule."

Section 745(d)(3) states: "A residential customer shall not be subject to a default time-variant rate schedule without bill protection unless that residential customer has been provided with not less than one year of interval usage data from an advanced meter and associated customer education and, following the passage of this period, is provided with not less than one year of bill protection during which the total amount paid by the residential customer for electric service shall not exceed the amount that would have been payable by the residential customer under that customer's previous rate schedule."

From: Matthew Freedman [mailto:matthew@turn.org]
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 11:51 AM
To: Russell.Garwacki@sce.com; Chuck Manzuk; Dan Skopec; Enrique Gallardo (enriqueg@greenlining.org); Janee Briesemeister (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org); Serizawa, Linda; Lee Schavrien; Megan Scott-Kakures (megan.scottkakures@sce.com); Michael Richard
(MRichard@aarp.org); Campbell, Michael; Mark Toney; Samuel Kang; Stephanie Chen (stephaniec@greenlining.org); Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: Rate reform - final draft on issues other than fixed charges

Rate reform group participants,

I'm attaching the (hopefully) final draft on all issues apart from fixed charges. It is my understanding that we have essentially reached agreement on these points.

Please let me know if there are any objections. If not, I will send this draft (in clean form) to Kellie Smith at the Senate Energy, Utilities and Communications Committee and tell her that the consumer/IOU group has reached agreement on these elements. In addition, DRA will circulate the provisions of Section 745 that should be restored.

If anyone needs to talk today, please call my mobile phone (415-412-7886).

Many thanks.

Matthew Freedman Staff Attorney The Utility Reform Network <u>matthew@turn.org</u> 415-954-8084

