

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 7/8/2013 8:47:47 PM
To: matthew@turn.org (matthew@turn.org); mtoney@turn.org (mtoney@turn.org); 'Serizawa, Linda' (linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov); 'StephanieC@greenlining.org' (StephanieC@greenlining.org); 'enriqueg@greenlining.org' (enriqueg@greenlining.org); 'samuelk@greenlining.org' (samuelk@greenlining.org); 'Jbriesemeister@aarp.org' (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org); 'MRichard@aarp.org' (MRichard@aarp.org); 'Campbell, Michael' (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov); dexter.khoury@cpuc.ca.gov (dexter.khoury@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3); Skopec, Dan (DSkopec@semprautilities.com); Schavrien, Lee (LSchavrien@semprautilities.com); Manzuk, Chuck (CManzuk@semprautilities.com) (CManzuk@semprautilities.com); Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC); russell.garwacki@sce.com (russell.garwacki@sce.com); megan.scottkakures@sce.com (megan.scottkakures@sce.com)
Bcc:
Subject: Rate Design Leg Proposal 7/8/13

Rate Design Working Group,

Following up on our discussion this morning and on the edits Matt circulated thereafter, attached is the joint utilities' proposed further – and hopefully final – edits that we believe capture today's conversations and agreements. The changes are summarized below. Please let us know your thoughts, and whether a short call would be helpful. We are getting close!

Trina

1. \$10 cap on non-CARE fixed charge and \$5 cap on CARE fixed charge. (IOUs request)
2. The fixed charge cap does not apply to optional, non-default, non-tiered residential rate schedules. (IOUs request, modified by TURN)
3. Fixed charge for CARE and non-CARE customers may be escalated annually starting Jan 1, 2015 using same form of CPI as used under existing Section 739.9(a). (IOUs request)
4. No change to consumer groups' definition of "fixed charges." (consumer groups' request)
5. Average effective CARE discount calculated using "weighted" average of discounts provided to individual CARE customers. (IOUs request)
6. CARE categorical income eligibility must be "substantially same" as 200% of federal poverty level, and consistent with enrollment and income eligibility provisions in existing CARE

statute. (consumer groups' request)

7. Additional exemptions from default TOU may be approved by CPUC in its discretion.
(consumer groups' request)

8. DRA's request to maintain protections for customers under existing TOU statutory
protections (inc. bill protection) in existing Section 745(d)(1) and (3).

<<...>>