

From: Baker, Amy C.
Sent: 7/3/2013 3:49:55 PM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Jewel Valley Construction Yard - Compliance Deviation

That's great! I'm happy to hear that.

Amy Baker
Infrastructure Permitting & CEQA
California Public Utilities Commission
415.703.1691
amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Baker, Amy C.
Subject: Re: Jewel Valley Construction Yard - Compliance Deviation

That is good to know. Also, I suggested to our team that we do a lessons learned from Hollister to see how we could improve our overall process going forward.

On Jul 3, 2013, at 1:49 PM, "Baker, Amy C." <amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

> Thanks Meredith, you too! By the way, Insignia is working for SDG&E on the ECO project as well.
>
> Amy Baker
> Infrastructure Permitting & CEQA
> California Public Utilities Commission
> 415.703.1691
> amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 11:32 AM
> To: Baker, Amy C.
> Subject: Re: Jewel Valley Construction Yard - Compliance Deviation
>
> Hi Amy,
>
> Thanks for sending. This is a great example. I will share with the team.
>
> Hope you have a great 4th!
>
> Meredith
>

> On Jul 3, 2013, at 10:34 AM, "Baker, Amy C." <amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov>>>>
> wrote:

>

> Hi Meredith,

> I'm sending you an e-mail of a recent incident on the SDG&E project I work on. This is an excellent example of how to respond to a compliance incident. It demonstrates that SDG&E takes the incident seriously, and they summarized the incident the morning after it happened. They proactively proposed methods to resolve the problem and stopped construction until the solution could be implemented. This was done without any recommendations from me. PG&E may find this helpful as a guide to following up on future compliance issues.

>

> Amy

>

> Amy Baker

> Infrastructure Permitting & CEQA

> California Public Utilities Commission

> 415.703.1691

> amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov>>

> From: Reynolds, Kirstie [<mailto:KReynolds@semprautilities.com>]

> Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 9:51 AM

> To: Baker, Amy C.; David Hochart (dhochart@dudek.com<<mailto:dhochart@dudek.com>>); Marshall Paymard (mpaymard@dudek.com<<mailto:mpaymard@dudek.com>>)

> Cc: Coward, Jeff - Insignia Environmental; Houston, Don; Anne Marie McGraw

(amcgraw@insigniaenv.com<<mailto:amcgraw@insigniaenv.com>>); Taylor, Kim D.; Telesmanic, Brian

> Subject: Jewel Valley Construction Yard - Compliance Deviation

>

>

> Hello Amy and David,

>

> I wanted to follow-up with you both on the potential compliance issue at the Jewel Valley Construction Yard that we discussed yesterday during our biweekly meeting.

>

> Sukut Construction began developing the Jewel Valley Construction Yard yesterday. A brush-hog tractor mobilizing to the site turned off of the approved access road prior to reaching the limits of the yard and left the approved work area for approximately 40 feet. The area where the tractor drove has been previously disturbed. The operator of the tractor was immediately notified and returned to the approved work area.

>

> Later during the brushing operation, a water truck traveled approximately 30 feet beyond the work space at the southwestern corner of the yard. The area where the water truck drove was also in a previously disturbed area. The water truck driver was notified, he returned to the approved work area, and all construction activities at the construction yard ceased.

>

> The construction manager from Beta Engineering (SDGE Contractor), Chad Jarick, shut down all construction activities and a tailboard was held to discuss the issue and what additional corrective actions need to be taken. In consultation with the Environmental Field Supervisor/Lead Environmental Inspector (EFS/LEI) Jeffrey Coward (Insignia) and Bruce Taylor (Contract Administrator, SDG&E), Chad decided to suspend construction activities until additional stakes and flagging are installed at the construction work limits. The installation of additional

stakes and flagging is scheduled to occur on July 3. In addition, another tailboard meeting to discuss the limits of construction and the requirement to stay within the approved work areas will be held prior to work resuming, tentatively scheduled for July 3 once the flagging and staking is installed. Neither one of these instances impacted resources, no grading or brushing occurred, no potential for resource damage existed, and actions were immediately taken to remedy the situation. Marshal Paymard (Third-Party Environmental Monitor, Dudek) witnessed the water truck incident. The EFS/LEI spoke with Marshal, informed him of the brush-hog incident and stated that SDG&E will classify the incidents as a deviation as defined by the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program as there was no resource damage, the lack of potential for resource damage, and the corrective actions immediately taken. Marshal agreed with the EFS/LEI assessment of the situation and determined that the incidents would be considered a "problem area".

- >
- > Please call or email with any questions or concerns.
- >
- >
- >
- > Kirstie Reynolds
- > Environmental Compliance Lead
- > San Diego Gas & Electric
- > Major Projects
- > Office: 619.441.3818
- > Cell: 619.992.1956
- >
- >
- >
- > PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
- > To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>