

From: Houck, Jason  
Sent: 7/3/2013 3:58:26 PM  
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Grace.Vermeer@sce.com (Grace.Vermeer@sce.com)  
Cc: Franz, Damon A. (damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov); Hammond, Christine J. (christine.hammond@cpuc.ca.gov); Allen, Cathie (Cathie.Allen@PacifiCorp.com); Peacock, Tanya (TPeacock@semprautilities.com); Laura.Genao@sce.com (Laura.Genao@sce.com); Yan, Jason (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JaY2); Fraser, Kathleen (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=KEF6); Ng, Deana M (DNg@semprautilities.com); Barker, David (DBarker@semprautilities.com); Ken Wittman (Ken.Wittman@libertyutilities.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Release of IOU cost burden factors - EITE staff proposal

Thanks for the feedback. Have a great holiday!

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 3:52 PM

To: Grace.Vermeer@sce.com

Cc: Peacock, Tanya; Allen, Cathie; Hammond, Christine J.; Franz, Damon A.; Barker, David; Ng, Deana M; Houck, Jason; Ken Wittman; Laura.Genao@sce.com; Yan, Jason; Fraser, Kathleen

Subject: Re: Release of IOU cost burden factors - EITE staff proposal

Jason,

PG&E also has no objection. Thanks for checking.

Have a happy 4th!

Meredith

On Jul 3, 2013, at 3:40 PM, "Grace.Vermeer@sce.com<mailto:Grace.Vermeer@sce.com>" <Grace.Vermeer@sce.com<mailto:Grace.Vermeer@sce.com>> wrote:

Jason,

Thanks for checking - SCE also has no objection to the Energy Division publishing the calculation methodology for the IOU Emissions Factors in the draft staff proposal. As you note, the IOU data in question was filed with the CEC in 2009, it would no longer be protected, as only the front three years of forecast position data are considered confidential. Additionally, since so much of SCE's resource mix has changed since 2009, publishing data from that timeframe should not in any way compromise SCE's current GHG market position.

Thanks, and Happy Fourth!

Grace

Grace Vermeer | Renewable and Alternative Procurement Policy | Southern California Edison | O:  
626.302.3053 | M: 626.677.7115

From: "Peacock, Tanya" <TPeacock@semprautilities.com<mailto:TPeacock@semprautilities.com>>  
To: "'Houck, Jason'" <jason.houck@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:jason.houck@cpuc.ca.gov>>, "Grace.Vermeer@sce.com<mailto:Grace.Vermeer@sce.com>" <Grace.Vermeer@sce.com<mailto:Grace.Vermeer@sce.com>>, "Allen, Meredith" <MEAE@pge.com<mailto:MEAE@pge.com>>, "Ng, Deana M" <DNg@semprautilities.com<mailto:DNg@semprautilities.com>>, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@PacifiCorp.com<mailto:Cathie.Allen@PacifiCorp.com>>, Ken Wittman <Ken.Wittman@libertyutilities.com<mailto:Ken.Wittman@libertyutilities.com>>,  
Cc: "Franz, Damon A." <damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov>>, "Hammond, Christine J." <christine.hammond@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:christine.hammond@cpuc.ca.gov>>, "Barker, David" <DBarker@semprautilities.com<mailto:DBarker@semprautilities.com>>, "Peacock, Tanya" <TPeacock@semprautilities.com<mailto:TPeacock@semprautilities.com>>

Date: 07/03/2013 03:38 PM

Subject: RE: Release of IOU cost burden factors - EITE staff proposal

---

Jason,

SDG&E has no objection to the release of the IOU emissions factors. SDG&E does care how ED characterizes the data. The IOUs previously sent informal comments to Energy Division (attached) indicating that the line loss adjustment was inappropriate to include in the calculation since it makes the factor representative of emissions per unit of energy produced, not emissions per unit of energy consumed. Also characterizing it as "cost burden" is now incorrect since SONGS was assumed to be producing at full capacity in the 2009 S2 form.

Thanks for the opportunity to provide feedback!

Happy 4th of July!!

Tanya

Tanya Peacock  
Regulatory and Rate Strategy Manager  
Southern California Gas Company  
213-244-5554 (office)  
213-700-3183 (mobile)

tpeacock@semprautilities.com<<mailto:tpeacock@semprautilities.com>>

From: Houck, Jason [<mailto:jason.houck@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 3:20 PM

To: Grace.Vermeer@sce.com<<mailto:Grace.Vermeer@sce.com>>; Allen, Meredith; Peacock, Tanya; Ng, Deana M; Allen, Cathie; Ken Wittman

Cc: Franz, Damon A.; Hammond, Christine J.

Subject: Release of IOU cost burden factors - EITE staff proposal

Hi All,

Would any of you be opposed to Energy Division publishing IOU emissions factors in our forthcoming final staff proposal on EITE allocation formulas that would make it possible for the public to infer what portion of ARB's direct allocation of allowances was intended to address utility ratepayer cost burden? The ratepayer cost burden allocation is the portion of allowances that were intended to address portfolio emissions (i.e. the total allowance allocation less energy efficiency and early action RPS credits included on top of the cost burden allocation).

I'm referring to the calculations that we redacted in Table 2, Option C of the draft staff proposal (p. 43 of PDF). As you probably know, the utilities' allocation of allowances was based on ARB's analysis of the utilities' public S-2 forms filed with the CEC in 2009, which project your portfolio resource mix for each year. Because this data is both public and stale, at this point, I struggle to see how the release of ARB's cost burden factors would compromise your GHG market positions. Aside from this potential risk, which has questionable validity considering the changes all IOU portfolios have undergone since 2009, I'm unsure what other reason would justify withholding this data.

Energy Division would like to publish these emissions factors in its final staff proposal in the interest of allowing all parties to properly consider the various options we have proposed.

Would you be able to provide your feedback and concerns by Friday, 7/5?

Many thanks, and have a great weekend,  
Jason

[attachment "SCE\_SDGE\_PGE\_EITE SMB workshop informal comments\_FINAL.docx" deleted by Grace Vermeer/SCE/EIX]

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>