

From: Allen, Meredith  
Sent: 7/8/2013 6:25:17 PM  
To: 'O'Donnell, Arthur J.' (Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: [Redacted]

<<...>> Arthur,

Attached is the letter that we sent to [Redacted] and [Redacted] today, which includes a breakdown of the estimated transfer costs for the distribution line and services. Also, attached are the responses to your questions.

Please let me know if you are available for a call early next week to discuss. Our leads on the numbers are out this week.

Thanks,  
Meredith

-----Original Message-----

From: O'Donnell, Arthur J. [mailto:Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2013 9:44 AM  
To: Allen, Meredith  
Subject: [Redacted]

Meredith,

Without knowing what PG&E's latest cost calculations are -- and based on our admittedly limited understanding of the entire history of negotiations -- we thought about some questions in advance of our discussion. No doubt, there would be some follow-up depending on answers.

Since we do not know how PG&E is coming up with these different cost figures, here are some questions we may want to ask:

- 1) Are the costs given firm or subject to further adjustments and if so for what?
- 2) Since the system has been inspected and is relatively new, it should be up to PG&E standard, including services in conduits and all built and maintained per G.
- O. 166, shouldn't the transfer cost be the ITCC for the depreciated value minus the allowances plus some admin. costs?
- 3) Are the estimates based on the 50% discount option? Why not?
- 4) Could [Redacted] be considered a development and the allowance formula used for the aggregate usage with the deficiency provision obligating the [Redacted], considering that PV installations are anticipated? This would also eliminate the problem with non-participants
- 5) Is and remains AT&T a tenant on the poles and how does this affect the transfer? Will AT&T pay rent to PG&E and will this be considered in the transfer cost?

- 6) Could PG&E accept only takeover of the Reda member services? Why not?
- 7) Why do service points have to be moved and what does this mean in physical terms?

Thanks

Arthur O'Donnell  
Interim Supervisor  
Grid Planning & Reliability  
Energy Division  
415-703-1184

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith [<mailto:MEAe@pge.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 5:11 PM  
To: Ikle, Judith  
Cc: O'Donnell, Arthur J.; Walker, Cynthia; Meck, Alan  
Subject: RE: June 5 Emergency Exercise - 3 Attendees from Energy Division

Great. Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: Ikle, Judith [<mailto:judith.ikle@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 4:59 PM  
To: Allen, Meredith  
Cc: O'Donnell, Arthur J.; Walker, Cynthia; Meck, Alan  
Subject: RE: June 5 Emergency Exercise - 3 Attendees from Energy Division

Meredith,  
Analyst Alan Meck will also join for a total of 3 from Energy Division.

Judith C. Iklé  
Program/Branch Manager  
Procurement Strategy and Oversight Branch Energy Division California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102 (Mailing Address)

350 McAllister St/455 Golden Gate Ave, Room 7518 (Office)

415-703-1486  
[jci@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:jci@cpuc.ca.gov)

-----Original Message-----

From: Ikle, Judith

Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 1:53 PM  
To: 'Allen, Meredith'  
Cc: O'Donnell, Arthur J.; Walker, Cynthia  
Subject: RE: June 5 Emergency Exercise - Attendees from Energy Division

Meredith,  
Thanks for being patient in Energy Division's response to participate in the June 5 Heat Event Emergency Exercise.  
Arthur O'Donnell and I will attend for Energy Division.  
Please forward us any additional information. Otherwise we will be at the PG&E Auditorium (Market Street Entrance?) before 8:45 am on June 5.

Looking forward to the event,

Judith C. Iklé  
Program/Branch Manager  
Procurement Strategy and Oversight Branch Energy Division California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102 (Mailing Address)

350 McAllister St/455 Golden Gate Ave, Room 7518 (Office)

415-703-1486  
jci@cpuc.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2013 8:39 AM  
To: Ikle, Judith  
Subject: Re: June 5 Emergency Exercise

Judith,

I will check. It typically ends in the early afternoon.

It would be great to have representative from DR group.

Thanks,  
Meredith

On May 21, 2013, at 5:50 PM, "Ikle, Judith" <judith.ikle@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:judith.ikle@cpuc.ca.gov>> wrote:

I may attend. What time does it end? Would DR staff benefit from attending?  
Thanks,

Judith C. Iklé

Program/Branch Manager  
Procurement Strategy and Oversight Branch Energy Division California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4012  
San Francisco, CA 94102

415-703-1486  
jci@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:jci@cpuc.ca.gov>>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>