

From: Jim Pope  
Sent: 7/12/2013 3:00:48 PM  
To: beth@beth411.com (beth@beth411.com); dskopec@semprautilities.com (dskopec@semprautilities.com); michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov (michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov); 'marcie.milner@shell.com' (marcie.milner@shell.com); dbwalker@edf.org (dbwalker@edf.org); smutny@iepa.com (smutny@iepa.com); nancy.ryan@ethree.com (nancy.ryan@ethree.com); scott\_farris@transcanada.com (scott\_farris@transcanada.com); Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3); mary.lynch@constellation.com (mary.lynch@constellation.com); scarter@nrdc.org (scarter@nrdc.org); sberberich@caiso.com (sberberich@caiso.com); kedson@caiso.com (kedson@caiso.com); EBooth@CovantaEnergy.com (EBooth@CovantaEnergy.com); Kassandra.Gough@calpine.com (Kassandra.Gough@calpine.com); Ron.Nichols@ladwp.com (Ron.Nichols@ladwp.com); Jane.Cirrinzione (Jane.Cirrinzione@ncpa.com); jdesmond@brightsourceenergy.com (jdesmond@brightsourceenergy.com); Thomas.Starrs@sunpowercorp.com (Thomas.Starrs@sunpowercorp.com)  
Cc: Pat@cfee.net (Pat@cfee.net); celeste@cfee.net (celeste@cfee.net)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Draft CFEE Agenda for review and comments

I agree with Marcie. We (NCPA?POUs) avoid “technology specific” policy direction as it has created winners and losers at increased cost to consumers.

jimpope

**From:** marcie.milner@shell.com [mailto:marcie.milner@shell.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 12, 2013 2:56 PM  
**To:** scott\_farris@transcanada.com; beth@beth411.com; dskopec@semprautilities.com; nancy.ryan@ethree.com; michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov; smutny@iepa.com; dbwalker@edf.org; Thomas.Starrs@sunpowercorp.com; Ron.Nichols@ladwp.com; Jim Pope; teb3@pge.com; SBerberich@caiso.com; kedson@caiso.com; jdesmond@brightsourceenergy.com; scarter@nrdc.org; EBooth@CovantaEnergy.com; mary.lynch@constellation.com; Kassandra.Gough@calpine.com  
**Cc:** pat@cfee.net; celeste@cfee.net  
**Subject:** RE: Draft CFEE Agenda for review and comments

Beth,

My only suggestion is related to Session 2 –I think the discussion should be framed around the policy and program pathways to achieving the 2030 target as opposed to individual technologies.

Thanks

Marcie

**From:** Scott Farris [[mailto:scott\\_farris@transcanada.com](mailto:scott_farris@transcanada.com)]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 12, 2013 1:37 PM  
**To:** Beth Vaughan; Dan Skopec; Nancy Ryan; Michael R. Peevey; [smutny@iepa.com](mailto:smutny@iepa.com); Derek Walker; Tom Starrs; Ron Nichols; [jim.pope@ncpa.com](mailto:jim.pope@ncpa.com); Tom Bottorff; Steve Berberich; Karen Edson; Joe Desmond; Sheryl Carter; Milner, Marcie A SENA-STE/7; Ellie Booth; 'Mary Lynch'; Cassandra Gough  
**Cc:** 'Pat Mason'; 'Celeste Cremen'  
**Subject:** RE: Draft CFEE Agenda for review and comments

Beth:

This is a good agenda and there is nothing I would remove. I am sorry we lost the idea discussed at Silverado of at least one session on how what California is doing is either encouraging or discouraging other states from pursuing clean energy agendas. For example, California's reluctance to purchase wind from the Rocky Mountain states (a project TransCanada is no longer involved with, btw) is giving an increased sense of urgency in Wyoming and Montana to exporting coal to Asia. I am not saying the coal industry would not be doing this anyway, but if renewables from those states have no access to the largest energy market in North America then they are not going to be very interested in developing renewables to displace their reliance on fossil fuel extraction. But, as I said, I don't know what I would drop from this current agenda, and maybe the regional discussion is for another time, but I was sorry it didn't get woven in. Thanks!

Scott

**From:** Beth Vaughan [<mailto:beth@beth411.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 11, 2013 1:23 PM  
**To:** Dan Skopec; Nancy Ryan; Michael R. Peevey; [smutny@iepa.com](mailto:smutny@iepa.com); Derek Walker; Tom Starrs; Ron

Nichols; [jim.pope@ncpa.com](mailto:jim.pope@ncpa.com); Scott Farris; Tom Bottorff; Steve Berberich; Karen Edson; Joe Desmond; Sheryl Carter; [marcie.milner@shell.com](mailto:marcie.milner@shell.com); Ellie Booth; 'Mary Lynch'; Kassandra Gough  
**Cc:** 'Pat Mason'; 'Celeste Cremen'  
**Subject:** Draft CFEE Agenda for review and comments

CFEE Energy Steering Committee Members –

Attached please find a first draft of an agenda for the CFEE Energy Roundtable conference scheduled for December 8-9 at Cavallo Point, Sausalito. Please review and provide comments by hitting “reply all”, by **COB on Monday, July 15<sup>th</sup>**.

As you review the proposed topics, you’ll see that while we discussed rate reform at some length during the steering committee meeting, it isn’t called out as a specific session. We think the topic naturally arises in several parts of the agenda: EE (are tiered rates really necessary?), renewables (what’s the future of NEM), ZEVs (do tiered rates mess up TOU pricing), and in the final session on the future of the utility (impact of tiered rates and NEM on long term viability of the utility). I recall that rate reform, as a topic, hinged on what happens with AB 327. Our thought is that we can always tweak the agenda in September, if this is a hot topic in the fall that needs its own session.

One other point – in terms of speakers, the feedback we’ve been receiving is that participants want fewer speakers on the agenda to enable more time for roundtable discussion. So please suggest speakers for the sessions, but understand that we are looking for people who can be both provocative and comprehensive about a topic.

Please feel free to call me if you’d like to discuss.

Thanks,

Beth

**Beth Vaughan**  
*Policy Consultant*

*CFEE*  
(925) 408-5142 cell

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

This electronic message and any attached documents are intended only for the named addressee(s). This communication from TransCanada may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure and it must not be disclosed, copied, forwarded or distributed without authorization. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message. Thank you.

Companies within the Shell Trading business may monitor and record communications for legal, regulatory and/or business purposes. Such communications will be controlled by Shell Energy North America (US) LP on behalf of all Shell Trading entities within the United States and by Shell International Trading and Shipping Company Ltd for all other Shell Trading entities. Personal data is handled and protected in accordance with applicable data protection laws and relevant Shell policies and rules. Personal data may be disclosed to other Shell companies and to third party organizations providing services to the relevant Shell Company or as required by law.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.