

From: Litteneker, Randall (Law
Sent: 7/1/2013 4:40:42 PM
To: 'dug@cpuc.ca.gov' (dug@cpuc.ca.gov)
'kpp@cpuc.ca.gov' (kpp@cpuc.ca.gov); 'klatt@energyattorney.com'
(klatt@energyattorney.com); 'ek@a-klaw.com' (ek@a-klaw.com);
Cc: 'steve.sherr@foundationwindpower.com' (steve.sherr@foundationwindpower.com);
RegRelCPUCCases
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RegRelCPUCCases);
'kmills@cbbf.com' (kmills@cbbf.com); 'nes@a-klaw.com' (nes@a-klaw.com);
Slocum, Gail (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=GLSg);
'jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com' (jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com); 'jimross@r-
c-s-inc.com' (jimross@r-c-s-inc.com); 'norman.furuta@navy.mil'
(norman.furuta@navy.mil); 'barbara@barkovichandyap.com'
(barbara@barkovichandyap.com); 'mrw@mrwassoc.com' (mrw@mrwassoc.com);
'cem@newsdata.com' (cem@newsdata.com); 'liddell@energyattorney.com'
(liddell@energyattorney.com); 'case.admin@sce.com' (case.admin@sce.com);
'filings@a-klaw.com' (filings@a-klaw.com); Keane, Dennis
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DMK5); 'cpuccases@pge.com'
(cpuccases@pge.com); Henig, Caitlin S
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJSv); 'khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil'
(khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil); Fujii, Grant D
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=GDF8); Bustamante, Claudia
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=C4BO); 'bruce.reed@sce.com'
(bruce.reed@sce.com); 'mbrubaker@consultbai.com' (mbrubaker@consultbai.com);
'larry.r.allen@navy.mil' (larry.r.allen@navy.mil); 'John.Cummins@navy.mil'
(John.Cummins@navy.mil); 'Rita.Liotta@navy.mil' (Rita.Liotta@navy.mil);
RegRelCPUCCases
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RegRelCPUCCases); Hughes, John
(Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS);
'blaising@braunlegal.com' (blaising@braunlegal.com); 'tcr@cpuc.ca.gov'
(tcr@cpuc.ca.gov); 'tomb@crossborderenergy.com'
(tomb@crossborderenergy.com); 'sbirmingham@seia.org' (sbirmingham@seia.org);
'mk1@cpuc.ca.gov' (mk1@cpuc.ca.gov); 'bsl@cpuc.ca.gov' (bsl@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: PG&E 2013 Rate Design Window case (CPUC Application 12-12-002): Cross
Estimates and First Day of Hearing

ALJ Long:

We are now scheduled to hold hearings in PG&E's Rate Design Window case next week from July 8 to 12. Several parties have asked me to pass on the request that the hearing take place on Tuesday, July 9 and Wednesday, July 10, rather than beginning

on the 8th.

In this case, the Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) seeks approval of a new rate Option R for E-19 and E-20 customers. Testimony has been served by four witnesses, Tom Beach for SEIA; Steve Chriss on behalf of Wal-Mart and Sam's West; Dennis Keane on behalf of PG&E; and Jim Ross on behalf of the Energy Producers and Users Coalition (EPUC). I have polled the parties, and received estimates indicating that the total cross examination time by the parties should take no more than seven hours. The estimates follow:

Witness and minutes of cross examination time	SEIA	Wal-Mart	PG&E	EPUC
Tom Beach (SEIA)	0	0	175	30-40
Steve Chriss (Wal-Mart)	0	0	0	0
Dennis Keane (PG&E)	120	5-10	0	5
Jim Ross (EPUC)	45-60	0	5	0
Total minutes of cross	165-180	5-10	180	35-45

Of course, we may be able to complete our cross in less time than these estimates.

Several parties have requested that the hearing begin on Tuesday July 9, rather than beginning on Monday after a holiday weekend. No party expressed any opposition to that proposal, so long as we can wrap up the hearing on Wednesday, July 10.

Please let us know if moving the first day of hearing to Tuesday, July 9 is convenient to you, or if you'd prefer to begin on Monday the 8th.

Thanks.

Randy Litteneker

PG&E Attorney

415 973-2179