

From: Zafar, Marzia
Sent: 7/25/2013 6:42:24 PM
To: [Redacted]
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=M2W8)
Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS);
Keane, Dennis (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DMK5); [Redact
[Redacted] [Redacted]
[Redacted] Johnson, Aaron
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=AJJ9)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: one more try/ FW: California Energy Consumption Data 2012-Proposed

check out my new email?? my issue the more I look now is that we're mixing and matching data from different time periods. and so, I will do two presentations.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2013 6:40 PM
To: Zafar, Marzia
Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel); Keane, Dennis; [Redacted]
Subject: one more try/ FW: California Energy Consumption Data 2012-Proposed

Marzia

Here's the source data set and another attempt at giving you something simpler instead of the bubbles (see deck attached)- just so you know the reason for different sized bubbles before, was due to some weightings done- which isn't needed for what you are trying to do.

I have also found out that we do have the average rate data (which we used as a proxy for usage) for each of our customers in unincorporated areas – and you are indeed correct, that this makes up about 20% of our Non-CARE customer population, so not insignificant. We have not had time to map the unincorporated city level median Census incomes to these areas. We can get you the raw data if you want it, but the mapping isn't done.

Let me know what else you need.

Thanks

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>
