

From: Yura, Jane
Sent: 8/1/2013 6:20:10 PM
To: Zach.Barrett@dot.gov (Zach.Barrett@dot.gov); chris.hoidal@dot.gov (chris.hoidal@dot.gov)
Cc: michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov (michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Requesting discussion on MAOP determination

Hello Zach, thanks so much for the response. I picked up your phone message just now upon getting back.

We have our meeting with our CPUC in the next week, so we'll discuss what our approach could include.

Thanks again,

Jane

From: Zach.Barrett@dot.gov [mailto:Zach.Barrett@dot.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Yura, Jane; chris.hoidal@dot.gov
Cc: michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: RE: Requesting discussion on MAOP determination

Just left you a voice mail message apologizing for being late getting back to you. Chris is correct the CPUC is the regulatory body with pipeline safety authority over PG&E and can require more stringent regulations for intrastate pipelines than the minimum federal standards. In regard to our Advisory Bulletin you reference below I would suggest you contact Alan Mayberry as he is listed as PHMSA's contact on the Advisory and can answer any questions pertaining to it. If you are looking for an alternate method of verifying MAOP than specified in the regulations you will have to apply for a waiver to use the alternate methodology which will have to be submitted to the CPUC for approval and then on to PHMSA for final approval. Hope this is helpful, "Zach"

From: Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]

Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 12:29 PM
To: Hoidal, Chris (PHMSA); Barrett, Zach (PHMSA)
Subject: RE: Requesting discussion on MAOP determination

Thanks Chris for the response. I have scheduled a meeting with our CPUC, but due to schedules it can't occur until August.

Zach, could you please let me know some possible windows in time to have a short phone call with you in the upcoming week(s). I am seeking some clarification on the 5/7/12 PHMSA Advisory that was issued on Verification of Records, as it applies within PG&E's compressor and regulation stations.

Jane

From: chris.hoidal@dot.gov [mailto:chris.hoidal@dot.gov]
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 5:15 PM
To: Yura, Jane; Zach.Barrett@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Requesting discussion on MAOP determination

Jane, sorry about my late response. Since our region does not inspect or regulate PG&E, I think it is best to talk to the California PUC regarding the proposed MAOP determination approach. If you still want PHMSA input regarding your proposal, I recommend that you contact Zach Barrett. He is PHMSA Director of State Programs.

His number is 405-834-8344.

I hope this helps.

From: Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 3:53 PM
To: Hoidal, Chris (PHMSA)
Subject: Requesting discussion on MAOP determination

Chris,

I am with PG&E in California, and would like to propose a meeting to discuss a proposed approach to determining the MAOP of PG&E's gas transmission station components (compressor and regulator stations). We are preparing a multi-year program, and would benefit from your guidance.

If possible, Sumeet Singh (our Senior Director of asset knowledge management) and I could meet at your office in the upcoming weeks, to discuss the details of our methodologies. Could you let me know the best way to coordinate this?

Thank you,

Jane

Jane Yura

PG&E

Vice President, Gas Operations

Standards & Policies

415-973-1398

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>