

Brian K. Cherry Vice President Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415.973.7226

August 9, 2013

Energy Division Tariff Unit California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: PG&E's Comments on Draft Resolution E-4581

Dear Energy Division Tariff Unit:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") respectfully submits its comments on Draft Resolution E-4581 ("Draft Resolution"), which approves, without modification, the power purchase agreement ("PPA") between PG&E and Oroville Cogeneration L.P. ("Oroville") pursuant to the terms of the Qualifying Facility and Combined Heat and Power Program Settlement Agreement ("QF/CHP Settlement Agreement").

PG&E filed the PPA by Advice Letter ("AL") 4171-E on December 27, 2012. No protests were filed. PG&E appreciates that the 30-day comment period for the Draft Resolution has been reduced to 15 days and that the Commission vote has been accelerated from the end of the usual 30-day public comment period by 10 days, from August 25 to August 15, 2013. PG&E fully supports the Draft Resolution and believes the expedited schedule is appropriate.

There are, however, two minor inaccuracies that should be corrected in the final resolution. First, the Draft Resolution refers to two "Oroville Agreements" in the first paragraph under the heading, "Discussion" on page 5, and "Oroville Agreements" and "Agreements" in the "Estimated Cost" section on page 1, the "Public Safety" section on page 13, the "Consistency with the Emissions Performance Standard" section on page 14, the "Independent Evaluator" section on pages 15 and 16, and in Finding and Conclusion No. 12. This should be replaced by "Oroville Agreement" and "Agreement" because there is only one agreement. Second, the Draft Resolution on page 12 refers to the effect of GHG "allowances" being reflected as part of the Net Market Value. The word "allowances" should be replaced by the word "reductions" because GHG emission reductions are used for the evaluation of offers, not allowances.

Finally, the Draft Resolution does include two Findings and Conclusions where the Commission concludes that the Oroville Agreement will contribute 3,742 MTCO2e towards PG&E's GHG Emissions Reduction Target. PG&E requests that the Energy Division add an Ordering Paragraph that states the following: "3,742 MTCO2e of Oroville's GHG emission reductions shall count towards PG&E's GHG Emissions Reduction Target."

The Commission should adopt the Draft Resolution, subject to these minor corrections, under its current, expedited schedule.

Sincerely,

Vice President, Regulatory Relations

Brian Cherry /Sw

cc: Michael Peevey, President Mike Florio, Commissioner

Catherine Sandoval, Commissioner

Mark Ferron, Commissioner Carla Peterman, Commissioner

Edward Randolph, Director - Energy Division

Karen Clopton, Chief ALJ Frank Lindh, General Counsel

Cem Turhal, Energy Division

Damon Franz, Energy Division Nicholas Castillo, Energy Division

Service Lists R.10-05-006 and R.12-03-014

Appendix PG&E's Comments on Draft Resolution E-4581

Correction of Errors and Recommended Revisions to Findings and Conclusions and Ordering Paragraphs

Reference to Draft Resolution	Corrections and Revisions
(1) Estimated Cost, page 1;	Estimated Cost section should be modified as follows:
Discussion, page 5, 1 st paragraph;	Capacity, energy, and variable cost components of the Oroville Agreements
Public Safety, page 13, 2 nd paragraph;	are confidential at this time due to its selection through the CHP Request for Offers ("RFO") process, which is a competitive solicitation process.
Consistency with the Emissions and Performance Standard, page 14, 4 th	Discussion section should be modified as follows:
paragraph; Independent Evaluator Review, pages 15-16, 1 ^{st,} 2 nd , and 3 rd paragraphs;	On December 27, 2012, PG&E filed Advice Letter AL 4171-E which requests Commission approval of two an "Oroville Agreements" with Oroville Cogeneration Company.
Finding and Conclusion 12	Public Safety section should be modified as follows:
	The Oroville Agreements are is between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Oroville Cogeneration Company.
	Consistency with the Emissions and Performance Standard section should be modified as follows:
	Under the Oroville Agreements, the Oroville facility will operate for seven years starting on the Commission approval date of the Oroville agreement.
	Independent Evaluator Review section should be modified as follows:
	PG&E retained Wayne Oliver of Merrimack Energy Group, Inc. as the Independent Evaluator ("IE") to oversee the negotiations and transactions pursuant to the CHP

	Program to evaluate overall merits for Commission approval of the Agreements
	The Independent Evaluator concludes that PG&E appropriately selected Oroville's qualifying offer and therefore recommends Commission approval of the Oroville Agreements
	The Independent Evaluator concurs with PG&E's decision to execute the Oroville Agreements with Oroville and finds that the Agreements merit Commission approval.
	Finding and Conclusion 12 should be modified as follows:
	12. The Independent Evaluator concurs with PG&E's decision to execute the Oroville Agreements with Oroville Cogeneration L.P. and finds that the Agreements merit Commission approval.
(2) Cost Reasonableness, page 12	Cost Reasonableness section should be modified as follows:
	The components of PAV are Net Market Value (including the effect of GHG allowancesreductions), location adjustment, portfolio adjustment, curtailment, delivery pattern, flexibility, and transmission.
(3) Ordering Paragraphs	The following Ordering Paragraph shall be added:
	3,742 MTCO2e of Oroville's GHG emission reductions shall count towards PG&E's GHG Emissions Reduction Target.