

Redacted

Manager
EAM Distribution Compliance

August 26, 2013

Mr. RaymondFugere, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Electric Safety anlidaRitety Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Reference: Meeting with PG&Eand SEDoly 19, 2013 - Privately OwnedLines Initiative

Dear Mr. Fugere:

This letter is in restponear request at the middle ingress, Meredith Allen, MeganArdell Nykoluk and I had with any duarthur O'Donnell only Jul 9th regarding PG&E's Privately Owned Lines lattive. Private times customer-owned distribution main or line extension facilities ervice facilities hey can be overhead or underground, and are solely customer's responsibility to maintain. System-wide PG&E has approximately 250 privately owned lines.

PG&E'sPrivate Line Initiativedesigned to improve bottletys and enhance customer focus on maintaining their linesecent/Wye completed a system wide inventory and record review of private lines and identified recompleted a system wide inventory and offices. We have addressed going forward by investablise intralization delectronic storage system. We also the private (imitely limitely exceptions, such as where the line is owned by a generator) patrolleses were completed early June. Our patrols of these customer owneidlientes is approximately sixtythi (1904) arty issues, all of which have been comendumoical private line owners.

In addition to communicating plaintly issues to the winners, owe are in the process of communicating with all private owners and customers. The goal of our communication is to remind the owners robating ation to religularmaintain their facilities, including incompertating agement. Going forward, we plan to contact private line owners annually to ensure they are meeting at the meaning at the me

As you know, General Order 165 requireselettraic utilities I patroinspect their electric distributifancilities on a relogatism in order to essature and inable electric service. Table 1 of General Ordestatolishes maximum intervals for patrol inspections and detailed inspections and rural areas.

As discussed in our meeting, PG&Eidenttiffaetd we did not have sufficient documentation to demonstrate that undoed seventy-eight (278) PG&Eowned transformers attached to privately linear netotal been inspectiong the last two inspection cycles. After discontrains issue, PG&Eare alrigorations of all 278 transformers. These patrols were complicated by No imminent safety hazards were discovered and a total of thirtynon (a) there encounted by the patrols were identified. These thirty issues have beet it appropriate the property of the proper

Two underlying causes of this gap were identifiedwas a Tlaeke of clear guidance for field inspection personnærldinæg documenting when company fatioids on privately ownedlines had been inspected. To add geeps, this August 23rd we issued a bulletin clarifying the process for documenting the process for documenting PG& El transformers on private lines.

Secondly, four maps with PG& Efacilities value lypriownedlines did not have an appropriate inspectional ecommance plan) cresated the PG& Efacilities on the maps. As part of the distribution main the apance plan gap analysis performed in 2012, PG& Ecreated an automated method of identifying apswithout an appropriate inspection cycle. The automation involvementarilier yther. Although PG& Eis still reviewing and analyzing the disressor the automation, were chosen firmed that all four missed maps are included in authoremation results, the new lessings the process gap that led to this invalue issue.

Please contact	meat Redacted	ifhave any questions.
Sincerely,		
/s/		
Redacted		
Manager EAMDistribution	Compliance	

cc: Ms. Elizaveta Malason, en Breputy Director, Office of Ustalfety and Reliability Ms. Meredith Allen, Senior Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E Mr. Mike Kress, Senior Director, Service Delivery, PG&E Ms. MeganArdell Nykoluk, Manager, Customer Engagement, PG&E