

From: Mark Toney
Sent: 8/16/2013 10:38:23 AM
To: Campbell, Michael (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov)
Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Cc: Serizawa, Linda (linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov); 'matthew@turn.org'
(matthew@turn.org) (matthew@turn.org); 'stephaniec@greenlining.org'
(stephaniec@greenlining.org) (stephaniec@greenlining.org); Bottorff, Thomas E
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3);
dskopec@semprautilities.com (dskopec@semprautilities.com);
russell.garwacki@sce.com (russell.garwacki@sce.com);
lschavrien@semprautilities.com (lschavrien@semprautilities.com);
Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com (Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com);
'enriqueg@greenlining.org' (enriqueg@greenlining.org)
(enriqueg@greenlining.org); 'Jbriesemeister@aarp.org' (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org)
(Jbriesemeister@aarp.org); 'MRichard@aarp.org' (MRichard@aarp.org)
(MRichard@aarp.org); Kauss, Kent
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KWK3); Warner,
Christopher (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJW5); Marcus,
Matthew (matthew.marcus@cpuc.ca.gov); Johnson, Aaron
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=AJJ9); 'Manzuk, Chuck'
(CManzuk@semprautilities.com); hbarsuglia@semprautilities.com
(hbarsuglia@semprautilities.com); Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com
(Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com); Lenny Goldberg (lga@cal.net) (lga@cal.net);
'tejohnson@semprautilities.com' (tejohnson@semprautilities.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Rate Reform Group Check in

Thanks for sharing this update.

Mark

On Aug 16, 2013, at 10:23 AM, Campbell, Michael wrote:

Just a quick follow up – after our call this morning, Donne Brownsey (SEIA) contacted me about a “fatal flaw” in our language. Her “concern” is that the language that places the limit on the customer charges creates a loophole whereby the tariffs would be created with an optional rate that has a fixed charge in excess of \$10 and then solar customers would be forced on to that rate. I didn’t go to lawyer school, but it seems it would be difficult to argue that you could force a customer onto an optional rate. Kinda flies in the face of having an option, don’t you think?

Also, I seem to recall there are provisions in the PU code that doesn’t allow discriminatory treatment of customer-generators. Do any of you know that PU Code section off the top of your heads?

I haven't responded to Donne – I'm not sure I want to give this concern the time of day. But I did want to alert you all to what might come up in the next few days from the Solar groups.

MC

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Horner, Trina [<mailto:TNHc@pge.com>]

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2013 9:08 AM

To: Horner, Trina; 'matthew@turn.org' (matthew@turn.org); mtoney@turn.org; Serizawa, Linda; Campbell, Michael; 'stephaniec@greenlining.org' (stephaniec@greenlining.org); 'enriqueg@greenlining.org' (enriqueg@greenlining.org); 'Jbriesemeister@aarp.org' (Jbriesemeister@aarp.org); LSchavrien@semprautilities.com; Bottorff, Thomas
E;DSkopec@semprautilities.com; Megan.Scottkakures@edisonintl.com; Russell.Garwacki@sce.co
'MRichard@aarp.org' (MRichard@aarp.org); Lenny Goldberg (lga@cal.net); Marcus, Matthew; Kauss, Kent; Kelly.E.Boyd@sce.com; HBarsuglia@semprautilities.com; 'tejohnson@semprautilities.com'; 'Manzuk, Chuck'; Johnson, Aaron; Warner, Christopher (Law)

Subject: Rate Reform Group Check in

When: Friday, August 16, 2013 9:00 AM-9:45 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Dial in only: 1-866-278-2490 / *4159736490* (please include asterisks before and after the number)

Placeholder for weekly check-ins through August; meeting will be held or cancelled as necessary.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>
