From: Dietz, Sidney Sent: 8/28/2013 1:13:33 PM To: Maguire, William (William.Maguire@cpuc.ca.gov) Cc: Bcc: Subject: RE: Potential roundtable discussion on exit fees at the CPUC Will -Thanks for the note. Yes, we'd be very willing to send knowledgeable persons to participate. I will get back to you soon on the set of issues we would be interested in. Thanks! yours, sid From: Maguire, William [mailto:William.Maguire@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2013 12:25 PM To: Dietz, Sidney Subject: Potential roundtable discussion on exit fees at the CPUC

We at the Energy Division are <u>considering</u> an informal workshop and/or a roundtable discussion on the topic of exit fees, a.k.a. non-bypassable charges. Before we move forward, we wanted to reach out to you to help us narrow the focus of the potential talks to very specific issues. In other words, this is not going to be a free-for-all, or a wide-ranging discussion of anything that might come up related to exit fees in general.

What I am asking from you is 1) Would you be willing to send one or two knowledgeable representatives to participate in such a discussion? and 2) What *specific* potential reforms should we cover and why?

Hello,

Below is a list of topics that have already been fleshed out from our meetings with MEA and Exelon. I provide these as examples of the level of specificity we are looking for with your topic proposals.

- Consider an option for departing load customers to pay a one-time lump sum payment that covers the present value of the projected stream of departing load charges.
- 2) Vintage CCA customers based on a binding notice of intent rather than the date of departure because a BNI allows the utility to begin planning for the departing load.
- Redacted 3) claim that the methodology for determining the above-market cost of a utility's renewable portfolio could still be improved to account for the value of banked RECs. (Need to follow up w Redact)
- Commission may need to provide clearer guidance regarding the treatment of contracts that have been renegotiated to either revise the price or the duration of the contract.

Thanks,

Will Maguire

Regulatory Analyst Energy Division | Market Structure and Design California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 703-2642 | william.maguire@cpuc.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This email and any files transmitted with it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.