

Redacted

Manager
EAM Distribution Compliance

Redacted	

August 26, 2013

Mr. RaymondFugere, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Electric Safety and Bibity Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Reference: Meeting with PG&Eand SEDoly 19, 2013 - Privately OwnedLines Initiative

Dear Mr. Fugere:

This letter is in restposeer request at the midetien (Kress, Meredith Allen, MeganArdell Nykoluk and I had with any du Arthur O'Donnell only Jul 9th regarding PG&E's Privately Owned Lines lattive. Private times customer-owned distribution main or line extension facilities ervice facilities hey can be overhead or underground, and are solely customer's responsibility to maintain. System-wide PG&E approximately 250 privately owned lines.

PG&E'sPrivate Line Initiativedesigned to improve bothetys and enhance customer focus on maintaining their linesecenthly completed a system wide inventory and record review of private lines and identified recognytheeping through the local offices. We have addressed going forward by integrated lister trailized delectronic storage system. We also the private (imitely limited exceptions, such as where the line is ownedby a generator) patrolleses were completed early June. Our patrols of these customer ownerd the private approximately sixtythi (169) arty issues, all of which have been comerd unoicate private line owners.

In addition to communicating plaintly issues to the winners, owe are in the process of communicating with all private owners and customers. The goal of our communication is to remind the owners robating ation to religularmaintain their facilities, including incompertating agement. Going forward, we plan to contact private line owners annually to ensure they are meeting at the meaning at the me

As you know, General Order 165 requireselettraic utilities I patroinspect their electric distributifancilities on a relogatism in order to eastereand inable electric service. Table 1 of General Ordestatolishes maximum intervals for patrol inspections and detailed inspections and rural areas.

As discussed in our meeting, PG&Eidenttiffaetd we did not have sufficient documentation to demonstrate that undoed seventy-eight (278) PG&Eowned transformers attached to privately linear netotal been inspectiong the last two inspection cycles. After discontrains issue, PG&Eare alrigorations of all 278 transformers. These patrols were complicated by No imminent safety hazards were discovered and a total of thirtynon (a) there encounted by the patrols were identified. These thirty issues have beet it appropriate the property of the proper

Two underlying causes of this gap were identifiedwas a Tlaeke of clear guidance for field inspection personnerlding documenting when company fatibles on privately ownedlines had been inspected. To add geaps, this August 23rd we issued a bulletin clarifying the process for documenting the process for documenting PG& Erransformers on private lines.

Secondly, four maps with PG& Efacilities value lypriownedlines did not have an appropriate inspectional expansional expansion of the distribution main the approach and an automated method of identify in appropriate inspection cycle. The automation involves entarlier yther. Although PG& Eis still reviewing and analyzing the distribution results, the results, the process gap that led to this ipalar issue.

Please contact	meat Redacted	iifhave any questions.	
Sincerely,			
/s/			
Redacted			
Manager EAMDistribution	Compliance		

cc: Ms. Elizaveta Malason,en Breputy Director, Office of Ustalfety and Reliability Ms. Meredith Allen, Senior Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E Mr. Mike Kress, Senior Director, Service Delivery, PG&E Ms. MeganArdell Nykoluk, Manager, Customer Engagement, PG&E