

Brian K. Cherry Vice President Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company Mail Code B10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415.973.7226

August 23, 2013

Advice 4275-E (Pacific Gas and Electric CompanyD U 39 E)

Public Utilities Commissionof the State of California

<u>Subject:</u> Submission of Amended Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Companyand Calpine Energy Services L.P. for Combined Heat and Power Capacity from the Los Medanos Energy Center Pursuant to Resolution E-4529

#### I. Introduction

Pacific Gas and Electric Company("PG&E") submits to mation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities Agreement") and thEirst Amendmentto Confirmation ("Original for Resource AdequacyCapacity Product forCAISOResources That Qualify as CHPFacilities ("First Amendment"), which comprise the "Amended Agreement," together for 140.25 megawatts ("MW") of combined **bat** and power ("CHP") capacity associated with the Los Medanos Energy Center("LMEC"). PG&Enegotiated the Amended as authorized by California Agreement with Calpine Energy Services, L.P.Calpine") Commission ("CPUC") ResolutioE-4529 ("Resolution"), Public Utilities Orderina Paragraph ("OP") 2. The Resolution was approved July 25, 2013. The Amended Agreement is being submitted via Tier advice letter within dadys after CPUC's approval of the Resolution in accordance OP12 of the Resolution.

PG&E respectfully requests the Energy **Bio**n to promptly find the Amended Agreement to be in compliance with the Resolution and accordingly approve this filing.

#### II. Background

PG&E submitted Advice 4074-E to obtain Commission approval of itoriginal Agreement for 280.5 MWof CHPcapacity tobe provided by LMEC. The Resolution rejected the advice letter; however, **OP**2the Resolution authorizes PG&E to renegotiate the RA-only capacity terms of the LMECagreement to result in an amended agreement that complies with one of three Options. PG&Ehas selected Option 3, which authorizes:

A QF/CHPAgreement for RA-only capacity thats for one half or less of the contracted amount in the instant Agreement (up to no more than 140.25 MW), but is otherwise identical to the instant LMEC Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

#### III. AmendedAgreement

PG&Eand Calpine have executed the First Amendment/which amends the Original Agreement to state that PG&Es contracting for 140.25 MWbf LMEC'scapacity, which will count towards PG&E'sMWtarget for Cbdeheration as set forth in the QF/CHP Settlement Agreement.

The Amended Agreement contains no other changes to the Original Agreement.

Finding and Conclusion 7 of the Resodutiprovides that once the Energy Division determines that the AmendedAgreement is consistent with PG&Escelected Option, certain findings will automatically tapping filed AmendedAgreement. Thus, if the

<sup>2</sup> Finding and Conclusion 7 of the Resolution states:

"7. If PG&Erenegotiates an LMECAgreement consistent with the options outlined in this Resolution, the following findings in this Resolution would apply to such a conforming new Agreement.

a. As an existing CHPFacility, per QF/CHPSettlement Term Sheet Section 7.3.3.1, LMEC capacity would not contribute towards PG&E'sGHGTargets and is neutral for GHG accounting purposes.

b. The number of MVcontracted under the amended\_MECAgreement, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 2, would contribute to the MW arget assigned to PG&Eunder the QF/CHFS ettlement.

c. The LME Gacility is an existing CHP facility and therefore would be a viable project.

d. The terms of the LMECagreement for a capacity-only PPAwould provide the CHP Attributes, the RAAttributes, the Local RAAttributes, and the Capacity Attributes equivalent to the capacity associated with the LMECAgreement to the ratepayers.

e. A capacity-only LMEOPPAis not subject to the EPSunder D.07-01-039 as it was deemed to be compliant with the EPS, as it is a combined-cycle natural gas facility that was in operation prior to June 30, 2007.

f. PG&Es allowed to allocate the net capacity costs and associated RAbenefits to bundled, DA, CCA, and departing load (to the extent not exempted) customers consistent with D.10-12-035, as modified by D.11-07-010, and PG&E'sAdvice 3922-E, approved December19, 2011.

(continued next page)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Resolution, p. 24. Option 3 is further described as follows at Resolution pages 12 and 13: "PG&Eand Calpine may restructure the Agreement for RA-only capacity that is for one half or less of the contracted amount in the instant Agreement (up to no more than 140.25 MW). This would also reduce the number of MW, but would otherwise be identical to the instant LMECAgreement."

Energy Division finds that the Amendedeement is consistent with Option 3, Amended Agreement is automatically reasonable and approved.

As required by Resolution Option 3, the Amended Agreement is for 140.25 MWbf RAonly capacity. The Amended Agreement procures no morthan one half of the originally contracted amount of 280.5 MW, but is otherwise identical to the Agreement that was considered by the Commission in its issuance of Resolution E-4529.

Consequently, the Energy Divisin should issue a dispitison letter approving this advice letter based on the following:

- PG&Ehas renegotiated an AmendedAgreement consistent with Resolution OP 1 Option 3, as authorized by Resolution OP2,
- PG&Enas resubmitted the AmendedAgreement via a Tier 1 advice letter within 30 days after the Commissionapproved the esolution as requiredby Resolution OP 2,
- PG& Enas satisfied the condition for the finding and Concision 7 of the Resolution to apply to the Amended Agreement.
- Costs incurred under the AmendedAgreement are reasonable and will be collected through the cost recovery mechanism set forth in D.10-12-035 (as modified by D.11-07-010), Section 13.1.2.2 of the Qualifying Facility/ Combined Heat and Power Settlement Term Sheet.

g. Actual LMECAgreementcosts will be recovered through ERRA, with net capacity costs recovered in the NSGBAeing credited to the ERRA.

h. PG&Enas complied with the Commission's rules for involving the PRG and CAM. Should PG&Erenegotiate the LMECAgreement, they should be encouraged but not required to consult again with their PRG and CAM.

i. The Independent Evaluator concurred with PG&E'sdecision to execute the LMEC Agreementwith Calpine Energy Services, L.P. and found that the LMECPPAmerits Commissionapproval. Should PG&Erenegotiate the LMECAgreement, as long as the per-MWcosts do not increase, they should not be required to subject the amendedAgreement to additional IE analysis prior to resubmitting to the Commission."

<sup>3</sup> "In the case of the three options above, the terms of the amendedor renegotiated Agreementwould be identical to the instant LMECAgreement, except for the amount of MV/procured. Therefore, we make additional findings in this Resolution that would apply to those Options, should PG&Eand Calpine choose to exercise one of them, and bring back an amendedAgreementfor our consideration. Option 3 indicates the maximumprocurement amount PG&Es authorized to procure and count toward the obligation regardless of which Option is executed." Resolution, p.13.

## IV. <u>Protests</u>

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, by facsimile or electronically, any of windows be received no later than September 12, 2013, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests should be mailed to:

CPUŒnergy Division ED Tariff Unit 505 Van Ness Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200 E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room4004, at the address shown above.

The protest also should be sent via U.S. mail (and by facsimile and electronically, if possible) to PG&Eat the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

Brian K. Cherry Vice President, Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Mail CodeB10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, California 94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-7226 E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Any person (including dividuals, groups, or organizations) protest or respond to an advice letter. (General Order 96 + Stio 8 7.4.) The protest shall contain the following information: specification audivite letter protested; grounds for the protest; supporting factual information or legal argument; name, telephone number, postal address, and (where appropriate) e-maildraeds of the protestant; and statement that the protest was sent to the utility not attent the day on which the protest was submitted to the reviewing Industry Division (General Order 96-B, Section 3.11).

V. <u>Effective</u> Date

PG&Erequests that this Tier 1 advice lettercome effective on August 23, 2013, in accordance with Resolution E-4529. According PG&Erequests that Energy Division issue a disposition letter approving this advice letter. Pursuant to General Order 96-B

Section 7.3.3 and Energy IndustryRule 5.1, this advidetter will be effective immediately pending disposition.

#### VI. Notice

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section alloppy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list and the parties on the service list for R.12-03-014. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to PG& and address PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list. please contact the Commission's Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Serad electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can adeo accessed dectronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs

Brian Cherry /IG

Vice President, Regulatory Relations

cc: DamorFranz, Energy Division, CPUC Jason Houck, Energy Division, CPUC CemTurhal, Energy Division, CPUC Noel Crisostomo, Energy Division, CPUC Chris Ungson, DRA, CPUC Service List R.12-03-014

Attachments:

- Confidential Appendix: Confirmation for ResourceAdequacy Capacity Product for CAISOResources That Qualify as CHP Facilities
- Confidential AppendiB: First Amendment to Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHPFacilities

Declaration and Matrix of SoumyaSastry in Support of Confidential Treatment

Limited Access to Confidential Material:

The portions of this advice letter madrefidential Protected Materiane submitted under the confidentiality protection of Section 583 and 454.5(g) of the Public Utilities Code and General Order 66-C. Thismaterial is protected from public disclosure

because it consists of, amongother itethe, contracts themselves, price information, and analysis of the proposed energy ocurement contracts, which are protected pursuant to D.06-06-066 and D.08-04-023. A declaration seeking confidential treatment of the following attachments is being submitted his it hadvice letter in accordance with D.08-04-023:

- Confidential Appendix A: Confirmation Resource AdequacyCapacity Product for CAISOResources That Qualify as CHPFacilities
- Confidential Appendix B: irsF Amendmentto Confirmation for Resource AdequacyCapacity Product for CAISOReources That Qualify as CHPFacilities

# CALIFORNI RUBLICUTILITIES COMMISSION

ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY ENERGY UTILITY

MUSTBE COMPLETIELY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)
Companyname/CPUOtility NoPacific Gas and Electric Company(ID U39E)
Utility type: Contact Person: Igor Grinberg
ELC ffi GAS Phone#: (415) 973-8580
ffi PLC ffi HEAT ffi WATER E-mail: ixg8@pge.comand PGETariffs@pge.com
EXPLANATIONFUTILITY TYPE (Date Filed/ Received Stampby CPUC) ELC= Electric GAS= Gas
PLC= Pipeline HEAT= Heat WATER Water
Advice Letter (AL) <u>4275-E</u> Subject of AlSubmission of AmendedAgreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Companyand Calpine Energy
Services L.P. for Capacity provided by the Los MedanosEnergy Center Pursuant to Resolution E-4529
Keywords (choose from CPU@isting): Agreements, Capacity, Qualifying Facility and Procurement
AL filing type: Monthly Quarterly Annual ffiOne-Time Other
If AL filed in compliance with a Commissionorder, indicate relevant DecisioRessolution E#4529
Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No
Summarizedifferences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:
Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidentialide <b>treat</b> tment for: <u>Appendices A and</u> B
Confidential information will be madeavailable to those who have executed a nondisclosulfes agreetonent:
Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the con information: <u>SoumyaSastry, (415)-973-32</u> 95
Resolution Required?Yes ffiNo
Requested effective descent and the second s
Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A
Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A
Whenrates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).
Tariff schedules affected: N/A
Service affected and changes pro <u>pose</u> d: N/A
Pending advice letters that revise the sametariff sheets: N/A
Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 dbingg, aftenlestse date otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:
CPUC,Energy Division Pacific Gas and Electric Company
EDTariff Unit Attn: Brian Cherry
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor Vice President, Regulatory Relations
San Francisco, CA 94102 77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

## DECLARATION OF SOUMYA SASTRY SEEKING CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT FOR CERTAIN DATA AND INFORMATION CONTAINED IN ADVICE LETTER 4275-E (PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - U 39 E)

I, Soumya Sastry, declare:

1. I am presently employed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), and have been an employee of PG&E since 2005. I am a principal in the Portfolio Management group in the Energy Procurement department within PG&E. I am responsible for negotiating transactions resulting from PG&E's Combined Heat and Power Request for Offers solicitation and negotiating power purchase agreements with counterparties in the business of producing electric energy. In carrying out these responsibilities, I have acquired knowledge of such sellers in general and, based on my experience in dealing with facility owners and operators, I am familiar with the types of data and information about their operations that such owners and operators consider confidential and proprietary. I can also determine whether buyers and sellers of electricity would consider certain information to be "market sensitive information" as defined by California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") Decision ("D.") 06-06-066 and D.09-12-020, that is, information that has the potential to materially impact a procuring party's market price for electricity if released to market participants.

2. Decision 08-04-023, ordering paragraph 8, requires that any advice letter for which confidential treatment is requested must be accompanied by a declaration under penalty of perjury that justifies confidential treatment pursuant to D.06-06-066. I was the primary contract negotiator on behalf of PG&E in the PG&E-Los Medanos Energy Center transaction which is the subject of PG&E Advice Letter 4275-E. Based on my knowledge and experience, I make this

- 1 -

declaration seeking confidential treatment of Confidential Appendices A and B to PG&E's Advice Letter 4275-E, submitted on August 23, 2013 ("Confidential Information").

3. The Appendices are as follows:

Confidential Appendix A:	Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities
Confidential Appendix B:	First Amendment to Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities

4. Attached to this declaration is a matrix that describes the Confidential Information for which PG&E seeks continued protection against public disclosure, states whether PG&E seeks to protect the confidentiality of the Confidential Information pursuant to D.06-06-066 and/or other authority; and where PG&E seeks protection under D.06-06-066, the category of market sensitive information in D.06-06-066 Appendix I Matrix ("Matrix") to which the Confidential Information corresponds.

5. The attached matrix demonstrates that the Confidential Information (1) constitutes a particular type of confidentiality-protected data listed in the Matrix; (2) corresponds to a category or categories of market sensitive information listed in the Matrix; (3) may be treated as confidential consistent with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data; (4) is not already public; and (5) cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure . In the column labeled, "PG&E's Justification for Confidential Treatment", PG&E explains why the Confidential Information is not subject to public disclosure under either or both D.06-06-066 and General Order 66-C. The confidentiality protection period is stated in the column labeled, "Length of Time."

6. By this reference, I am incorporating into this declaration all of the explanatory text in the attached matrix.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 23, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

Xh Anung Soumya Sastry

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S (U 39 E) LOS MEDANOS ENERGY CENTER AMENDMENT (ADVICE LETTER 4275-E)

#### **IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

Redaction Reference	1) Constitutes data listed in Appendix 1 to D.06-06-066 (¥/N)	2) Data correspond to category in Appendix 1:	3) Complies with limitations of D.06-06-066 (Y/N)	4) Data not already public (Y/N)	5) Lead to partial disclosure (Y/N)	PG&E's Justification for Confidential Treatment	Length of Time
Document: C		<b>~</b> -			<u></u>		
Confirmation							
Qualify as Cl		SO Resources That					
Entire document	Y	Item VII.B - Contracts and power purchase agreements between utilities and non- affiliated third parties (except RPS)	Y	Y	Y	This confidential appendix is the original Los Medanos RA Confirmation Agreement which contains the terms and conditions of the original agreement, which are confidential under Item VII.B of the D.06-06-066 Appendix 1 matrix for 3 years from date contract states deliveries to begin; or until one year following expiration, whichever comes first.	3 years
	ment to Conf equacy Capa						
Entire document	Y	Item VII.B - Contracts and power purchase agreements between utilities and non- affiliated third parties (except RPS)	Y	Y	Y	This confidential appendix is the first amendment to the Los Medanos RA Confirmation Agreement which contains the terms and conditions of the first amendment to the LMEC agreement, which are confidential under Item VII.B of the D.06-06-066 Appendix 1 matrix for 3 years from date contract states deliveries to begin; or until one year following expiration, whichever comes first.	3 years

Matrix Page 1 of 1

PG&EGas and Electric Advice Filing List General Order 96-B, Section IV

1st Light Energy AT&T Alcantar & Kahl LLP Anderson & Poole BART Barkovich & Yap, Inc. Bartle Wells Associates Bear Valley Electric Service

Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C. **CENERGY POWER** California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn California Energy Commission California Public Utilities Commission California State Association of Counties Calpine Casner, Steve Center for Biological Diversity City of Palo Alto City of San Jose **Clean Power** Coast Economic Consulting Commercial Energy County of Tehama - Department of Public Works Crossborder Energy Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Day Carter Murphy **Defense Energy Support Center** 

Dept of General Services

**Division of Ratepayer Advocates** Douglass & Liddell Downey & Brand Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP G. A. Krause & Assoc. GenOn Energy Inc. GenOn Energy, Inc. Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz & Ritchie Green Power Institute Hanna & Morton In House Energy International Power Technology Intestate Gas Services, Inc. Kelly Group Linde Los Angeles Dept of Water & Power MAC Lighting Consulting MRW & Associates Manatt Phelps Phillips Marin Energy Authority McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP McKenzie & Associates Modesto Irrigation District

> Morgan Stanley NLine Energy, Inc. NRG Solar Nexant, Inc.

North America Power Partners

Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc. OnGrid Solar Pacific Gas and Electric Company Praxair Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc. SCD Energy Solutions SCE SDG&E and SoCalGas

SPURR San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Seattle City Light Sempra Utilities SoCalGas Southern California Edison Company Spark Energy Sun Light & Power Sunshine Design Tecogen, Inc. Tiger Natural Gas, Inc. TransCanada Utility Cost Management Utility Power Solutions Utility Specialists

> Verizon Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead Electric Company Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA)