

From: Yura, Jane
Sent: 9/21/2013 4:42:14 PM
To: Malashenko, Elizaveta I. (elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: L-114

Sorry--- Yes 1688 psig minimum test pressure. I can forward the test records in a minute.

Jane

On Sep 21, 2013, at 4:26 PM, "Malashenko, Elizaveta I."
<elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Can you clarify the hydrotest? Minimum test pressure 1688?

From: Doll, Laura [LRDD@pge.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2013 3:48 PM
To: Malashenko, Elizaveta I.
Cc: Yura, Jane
Subject: RE: L-114

Liza

We are still pulling together info about the impacts of delay. We planned to have this line in service for the winter load.

But I wanted to make sure you knew that this is all new pipe. The planned pressure of 330 psig obviously is well below the 720 MAOP and the recent test pressures.

Laura

From: Yura, Jane
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2013 3:41 PM
To: Doll, Laura

Cc: Malashenko, Elizaveta I.; Doll, Laura
Subject: Re: L-114

MAOP 720 psig

Minimum test pressure 1688

Thx

Jane

On Sep 21, 2013, at 3:23 PM, "Yura, Jane" <JKY1@pge.com> wrote:

Liza,

We have stopped the scheduled tie-in of the line (was tomorrow) until we receive clearance from SED. Construction can be on sight to pull plates off of the tie-in sections on Monday if SED would like to do visual inspections.

We have all other test records, information, available for inspection/review in Bishop Ranch. I would like to reinforce the information Laura provides below--- 100% of the welds on L114 have compliant weld inspections, through radiography or UT.

The planned operating pressure is 330 psig; I will send the test pressure and MAOP as soon as I receive them.

Please let me know when the inspection can begin---we will be available at SED's request.

Thank you,

Jane

On Sep 21, 2013, at 2:59 PM, "Doll, Laura" <LRDD@pge.com> wrote:

Liza

1. Line 114 is scheduled to be put in service with work beginning tomorrow. We will check on the exact date that gas is expected to flow through the line and provide that information.
2. We are checking on the MAOP and pressure test levels and will provide those later today.
3. All of the welds on L-114 have been re-inspected in accordance with applicable codes/standards.

TCI performed 173 weld inspections on L-114, and 153 were determined to be non-compliant. Specifically, TCI had been taking 2 radiographic inspection images instead of the 3 shots required by TCI's internal standards and API 1104. After the issue was discovered by PG&E as part of QC, we removed TCI from further work. In the intervening time, all welds and weld inspections have now been confirmed (through ultrasonic testing where appropriate) to be compliant with applicable codes/standards.

We will provide additional information later today.

Thanks

Laura

From: Malashenko, Elizaveta I.

[mailto:elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2013 2:40 PM

To: Doll, Laura; Yura, Jane

Subject: L-114

Importance: High

Laura and Jane,

I understand that the Brentwood pipeline L-114 is scheduled to be put in service tomorrow. PHMSA just contacted the CPUC expressing a concern regarding the safety of the pipeline due to the weld validation issue that occurred earlier on that project. I'm trying to decide what to do and need the following information:

- When exactly is L-114 scheduled to be put in service
- What is the MAOP of the line; what pressure was it pressure tested to; what pressure is PG&E planning to operate the pipeline at when you put it back in service
- What steps has PG&E taken to ensure that the pipeline is safe?

Than you,

Liza

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit

<http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>