## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Reform the Commission's Energy Efficiency Risk/Reward Incentive Mechanism

Rulemaking 12-01-005 (Filed January 12, 2012)

## NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

Pursuant to Rule 8.4(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby gives notice of three separate ex parte communications. The communications occurred on Thursday, August 29, 2013, at approximately 9:00 a.m., and Friday, August 30, 2013, at approximately 10:30 a.m., and 11:15 a.m., respectively, at the offices of the California Public Utilities Commission in San Francisco. The communications were oral, and included a handout, which is attached. [Rule 8.4(a)(c)]

Sidney Dietz, Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E, initiated the first communication with Michael Colvin, Advisor to Commissioner Mark Ferron; the second communication was initiated with Jennifer Kalafut, Advisor to Commissioner Carla Peterman, and Julie Fitch, Chief of Staff to Commissioner Peterman, phoned in; and the third communication was initiated with Jessica Hecht, Advisor to Commissioner Catherine Sandoval. Janice Berman, Senior Director of Customer Energy Solutions Energy Efficiency Strategy, and Bryce Gilleland, Principal Policy Analyst, CES Policy Planning, were also in attendance from PG&E. [Rule 8.4(b)]

Mr. Dietz stated that PG&E supports the continuation of the energy-efficiency

incentive. Mr. Gilleland stated that the incentive cap should be in line with the actual national average as presented by the ACEEE. Ms. Berman stated that the PD should be changed to utilize ax ante values in the ESPI mechanism, as these values are sufficiently well vetted when the portfolio is adopted and are sufficiently credible for both portfolio adoption and evaluation, and that ex-post evaluation should be used to inform the next cycle. Ms. Berman further stated that the incentive should be based on gross, rather than net, savings since it better aligns the incentive with CPUC and state goals. Mr. Dietz stated that the evaluation of the portfolios should be made with the original metrics. [Rule 8.4(c)]

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ BRIAN K. CHERRY</u> Brian K. Cherry Vice President, Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 770000, Mail CodeB10C San Francisco, CA94177 Phone: 415-973-4977 Fax: 415-973-7226 E-mail: BKC7@pge.com

Attachment

Dated: September 4, 2013