From: Gupta, Aloke

Sent: 9/9/2013 3:32:52 PM

To: Redacted Erickson,

John "David" (john.erickson@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Zelmar, Karen (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=KJZ1); Dietz, Sidney

(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: all-in followup

One thought for Dave and PG&E folks to consider re the 1.5% adjustment proposed at the meeting. IF the solution is going to involve a fixed "correction" for all customers, I am wondering whether the adjustment could be based on weighted average of customers that actually use the online account, rather than a system-wide average; just curious whether this online average would be higher than the system number, and in that sense could be "more accurate" at least for online customers.

I am just putting the idea out there; any follow up, if any, should be done per Dave's direction.

By the way, one comment as an aside for PG&E's team. I have to say I was rather surprised (mystified) by the seemingly rather limited (inflexible?) capabilities of Opower's platform: no ability to do a simple lookup, no ability to handle an array of fixed numbers, no ability to pass a parameter withOUT exploding costs by absurd amounts; really??.

Thanks for including me in the discussion.

Aloke Gupta

California Public Utilities Commission

O: 415.703.5239 aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Erickson, John "David"

Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 7:43 AM

To:Redacted

Cc: Gupta, Aloke; Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"

Subject: all-in followup

Hi David,
Would it be possible to get an electronic copy of the slide deck that describes your all-in solution?
Also, I noted that the Opower folks mentioned that they were doing measurements of energy consumption reduction and had some data. Is this something you already provide for us in the smart grid status report or another venue? If not, is this something that Opower can share with us?
Thanks,
Dave