From: Bone, Traci

Sent: 10/28/2013 4:15:55 PM

To: Gruen, Darryl (darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov); Malkin, Joseph M. (jmalkin@orrick.com)

Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); McMahon, Allie (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=A2MX); Sharp, Shelly (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SSM3); Anes, Jasmin J (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JJAV); 'margaret@mfelts.com' ('margaret@mfelts.com'); Roberts, Thomas (thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov); Paull, Karen P. (karen.paull@cpuc.ca.gov); 'bstrottman@meyersnave.com' ('bstrottman@meyersnave.com'); 'SKS@CPUC.CA.GOV' ('SKS@CPUC.CA.GOV'); 'austin.yang@sfgov.org' ('austin.yang@sfgov.org'); 'darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov' ('darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov'); McIntyre, John (Intern) (John.McIntyre@cpuc.ca.gov); Pendleton, Jonathan (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J1Pc); Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0); Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=AXVU); Gas Ops Support (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBResponderGroup); Gas Ops Data Requests (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Re cipients/cn=SanBrunoIncidentData); Smith, Bruce T (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=BTS1); Wu, Josephine (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JWWd); Sabino, Pearlie Z. (pearlie.sabino@cpuc.ca.gov); Skinner, Nathaniel (nathaniel.skinner@cpuc.ca.gov); Cadenasso, Eugene (eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov); Berdge, Patrick S. (patrick.berdge@cpuc.ca.gov); Hairston, Eric Matthew (ehairston@orrick.com); Margaret Felts (margaret@mfelts.com); Tom Long (tlong@turn.org) (tlong@turn.org); 'grubens@adcl.com' ('grubens@adcl.com')

Bcc:

Subject: Short questions limited to clarification of PG&E's Oct. 16 Filing to confirm testing of all of LIne 147

Joe:

We are striving to understand the information provided in PG&E's October 16 filing. Among other things, ORA is attempting to verify that PG&E has actually tested every pipe feature associated with Line 147. The information provided in PG&E's filings is not sufficient for ORA to make this verification. Consequently, we have the following questions designed to better understand "shorts" relative to the mainline features, and to determine whether the information provided regarding Line 147 is comprehensive, or is potentially missing for any of the pipe features in Line 147. The questions are:

Definition of 147 Shorts

Question 1: - On October 16, 2013, PG&E provided PFLs and MAOP validation reports on 20 "shorts" associated with Line 147. Please provide a table that includes the following for each of these shorts:

a) **Short name,**

b) **Location of the short in terms of Line 147 station numbers and MP numbers,**

c) **Define any acronyms used in the name of the short, e.g. what does "BD" mean,**

d) **The name of the test that was used to establish the MAOP for the short, e.g. T-42 test 4.**

e) **If the short was not pressure tested as part of the 147 mainline hydrotests, provide a brief explanation of why**

Question 2: - Are there any pipeline features, shorts or otherwise, that are <u>now</u> associated with Line 147, but that are not included in PG&E's October 11 and 16, 2013 PFLs and MAOP validation reports? If so explain why they were not included in these filings.

Question 3: - Are there any pipeline features, shorts or otherwise, that <u>were historically</u> associated with Line 147, but that are not included in PG&E's October 11 and 16, 2013 PFLs and MAOP validation reports? For example, segment 100.3 was a part of Line 147 in the 2011 PSEP database, but is not included in the current PFLs. If so explain why they are no longer associated with Line 147, and which pipeline they are now associated with.

For PG&E's convenience, these questions are also reiterated in the attached ORA-OSC 7.

<u>ORA appreciates PG&E answering these questions no later than COB this Friday, Nov. 1. Please let us know if PG&E is unable to meet this deadline.</u>

Traci Bone

Attorney
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 703-2048
tbo@cpuc.ca.gov