



# ORA

Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
California Public Utilities Commission

**JOSEPH P. COMO**  
Acting Director

505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, California  
94102  
Tel: 415-703-2381  
Fax: (415) 703-2057

<http://ora.ca.gov>

**DATA REQUEST / R.11-02-019**  
**Orders To Show Cause Hearings**

Data Request No: DRA-OSC-7

Date of this Request: October 28, 2013

Date Responses Due: **November 1, 2013.**

To: PG&E Representatives  
Joseph M. Malkin – [JMalkin@Orrick.com](mailto:JMalkin@Orrick.com)  
Alejandro T. Vallejo – [AXVU@pge.com](mailto:AXVU@pge.com)  
Stephen Garber - [slg0@pge.com](mailto:slg0@pge.com)  
Bruce Smith - [bts1@pge.com](mailto:bts1@pge.com)  
Allie McMahon - [A2MX@pge.com](mailto:A2MX@pge.com)

From: Pearlie Sabino, Project Coordinator  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4108  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Email: [pzs@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:pzs@cpuc.ca.gov)

Originated by: Tom Roberts  
Email: [thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Phone: (415) 703-5278

## **Definition of 147 Shorts**

**Question 1:** - On October 16, 2013, PG&E provided PFLs and MAOP validation reports on 20 “shorts” associated with Line 147. Please provide a table that includes the following for each of these shorts:

- a) Short name,
- b) Location of the short in terms of Line 147 station numbers and MP numbers,
- c) Define any acronyms used in the name of the short, e.g. what does “BD” mean,
- d) The name of the test that was used to establish the MAOP for the short, e.g. T-42 test 4.
- e) If the short was not pressure tested as part of the 147 mainline hydrotests, provide a brief explanation of why

---

*Ratepayer Advocates in the Gas, Electric, Telecommunications and Water Industries*

**Question 2:** - Are there any pipeline features, shorts or otherwise, that are **now** associated with Line 147, but that are not included in PG&E's October 11 and 16, 2013 PFLs and MAOP validation reports? If so explain why they were not included in these filings.

**Question 3:** - Are there any pipeline features, shorts or otherwise, that **were historically** associated with Line 147, but that are not included in PG&E's October 11 and 16, 2013 PFLs and MAOP validation reports? For example, segment 100.3 was a part of Line 147 in the 2011 PSEP database, but is not included in the current PFLs. If so explain why they are no longer associated with Line 147, and which pipeline they are now associated with.

---

Please send your response to the Originator, and a copy of your response to Project Coordinator and e-copies to the following ORA representatives:

Pearlie Sabino – [pearlie.sabino@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:pearlie.sabino@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Tom Roberts – [Thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Nat Skinner – [Nathaniel.skinner@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Nathaniel.skinner@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Karen Paull – [kpp@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:kpp@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Traci Bone – [tbo@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:tbo@cpuc.ca.gov)

Please provide two copies of the above information as it becomes available but no later than the due date identified above. If you are unable to provide the information by this date, please notify the Originator as soon as practicable and provide your best estimate of when the information can be provided. Please identify the person who provides the response and his/her phone number and email address.

**Provide electronic responses, if possible, and a set of paper responses with your submittal to the ORA Project Coordinator and the originator of data request. All data responses need to have each page numbered, referenced, and indexed so worksheets can be followed. If any number is calculated, include a copy of all electronic files so the formulas and their sources can be reviewed. State any assumptions underlying your responses.**

**If you have any questions regarding this data request, please contact the originator.**