

From: Florio, Michel Peter  
Sent: 10/10/2013 5:27:44 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RBC report

Brian – Sepideh said that you were concerned about the suggestion that the GRC would be decided after San Bruno. I had been thinking that would be the best sequence in order to “reach a GRC decision that would be untainted by San Bruno.” Do you disagree? I think that’s a procedural matter that we can discuss. I believe I also said that if San Bruno were subject to further unexpected delays, we might have to revisit that sequence. I have consistently told the analysts that I am embarrassed by the delays experienced by SCE and Sempra, and want us to do much better, including your current case. It just seemed to me to be easier to reach a sensible decision on the GRC absent the San Bruno overhang, but that’s not locked in stone . . . Cheers,  
Mike