From: Dietz, Sidney

Sent: 10/15/2013 1:15:39 PM

To: Baker Simon (simon baker@cpuc.ca.gov): Redacted

Redacted

Cc: Goldstein, Larry (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=L1GF); Battis,

Jeremy (jeremy.battis@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: MEA OBR

Simon --

Thanks for the note.

Good news on MEA with their pilot, we successfully made it through the issues of the pilot using their portion of the bill for their own customers, and I understand we have an agreement. Before they came to you, we had not heard from them in months, but that logjam seems to have cleared.

I think there was a miscommunication about the access to EFLIC. We are working with MEA to encourage them to participate in the single-family loan program as a lender, and work through the master servicer. I know that this is not exactly what they want, but we are trying to work through that with them. I think a simpler solution would be for them simply to sign up non-MEA customers as MEA customers and let them participate in their pilot, but I understand there may be some technical issues there.

I will let Reda add any agenda items he would like, but I don't see that there was a breakdown in our relationship with MEA over this, only a disagreement whether we could include non-MEA customers as a part of the MEA-bill-portion part of the pilot. We have been working constructively with them. I look forward to talking about that when it comes up at the meeting, I am curious what they have to say.

Have a good day!

yours,

sid

----Original Message----

From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2013 10:11 AM

To: Redacted

Cc: Dietz, Sidney; Battis, Jeremy; Goldstein, Larry

Subject: Re: MEA OBR

Our understanding is that Commr Ferron's Office has spoken to PG&E (Sid) and PG&E has agreed to give MEA access to the EFLIC (once they launch it on the "fast track" per the EE finance decision) for MEA to offer its OBR program to non-MCE customers.

Meanwhile, our sense is that this OBR negotiation has perhaps revealed opportunities for improvement in the

working relationship between MEA and PG&E. I think the time is right to have a three-way meeting with MEA, PG&E, and Energy Division. If nothing else we hope that this meeting will reaffirm the parties' willingness to do their part to make things go smoothly going forward as PG&E adjusts to a CCA administering EE programs in its service territory.

The meeting objectives would be:

- 1. Clarify any remaining issues (hopefully none!) related to MEA's use of PG&E's EFLIC.
- 2. Discuss any concerns regarding the MEA-PG&E effective working relationship, from each party's perspective, and work towards an understanding and shared expectations to improve the relationship going forward. (We will ask both MEA and PG&E to come prepared with any specific examples of past "breakdowns" that are worth highlighting and working through with potential clarifications offered by the other party in this forum.)

Jeremy will work with PG&E and MEA to set this meeting up and work with both parties to set an agenda. I would like MEA and PG&E to both propose agenda items (perhaps keyed off of any examples where collaboration has broken down), and Jeremy can structure an agenda based off of that, sharing with both parties well in advance.

Thanks!		
Best, Simon		
Sent from my iPhone		
On Sep 26, 2013, at 3:55 PM,	Redacted	
> Simon:		
MEA on Tuesday to reflect the through the Master Servicer are between MEA and PG&E). The which we understand MEA is limited to CCA customers or consubstantive issue disagreement.	had reached closure on the line-item billing agree Financing Decision's requirement that the new ad use contracts developed by CAEATFA (rathern is is required on pages 37 to 38 of the Financing interested in participating in. The scope of ME ould be offered to non-CCA customers in Marin regarding the line-item billing agreement with lose to concluding the line-item billing agreement able to sign it very soon.	EFLIC pilot would be administered r than be subject to an agreement g Decision for the EFLIC Pilot, A's financing pilot (whether it is and Richmond) was the only MEA in the last few months. I
	th Redacted our Financing lead, so am up to spliffer from what we discussed the other day, I woulding together.	
> > NI 14 1 'C		
> Redacted >	ould like to discuss this further.	
>Original Message		

> From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] > Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:44 PM > To: Redacted > Cc: Dietz, Sidney > Subject: RE: MEA OBR Redacted > After we spoke, I talked with Beckie Menten at MEA. She gave me a very different version of events than you conveyed to me. For example, she said that (on Tuesday) the MEA received another round of redlines to the agreement from PG&E. So, according to MEA, they have not yet signed the agreement. (You had said MEA finally signed the agreement.) There are many other examples of their version of events differing from PG&E's. > I asked MEA to prepare a document summarizing their version of events and where they see things stand on the remaining issue of contention: availability of MEA OBR for non-MEA customers. Once I receive that, we plan to ask both PG&E and MEA to meet with us to work towards a better working relationship. From what I can tell, it does not appear to be working very well. > P.S. - Beckie also said that she has not been working directly with you, and therefore you may not be fully informed about the current status of MEA's involvement with PG&E. She said that Merrian Al-Gaspari (sp?) has been her primary point of contact. > > Best. > Simon Baker | Branch Manager, Demand-Side Programs | Energy Division, CPUC | simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov | 415-703-5649 > > -----Original Message-----> From: | Redacted > Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 9:08 AM > To: Baker, Simon; Dietz, Sidney > Subject: RE: MEA OBR > Hi Simon, > Per my voicemail, please let me know when you have time free to chat about this so I can give you the latest. My only conflicts today are between 10:00 -11:00 and 3:00-3:30. Redacte > Redacted > Manager, Energy Efficiency Policy > Pacific Gas and Electric Company > Redacted > -----Original Message-----> From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] > Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 3:12 PM > To: Redacted Dietz, Sidney

```
Subject: MEA OBR
Hi Sid Redact ed
MEA tells me PG&E has yet to enable them to implement their OBR program. When I last spoke to David he said he would check on status. What's up?
Best,
Simon
Sent from my iPhone
PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <a href="http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/">http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</a>
PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <a href="http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/">http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</a>
To learn more, please visit <a href="http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/">http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</a>
```