From: Dietz, Sidney

Sent: 10/31/2013 5:22:20 PM

To: Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Re: more cesspool

I think we boat monday, could that work as an alternate plan?

-----Original Message----From: Michael Campbell
To: Sidney Bob Dietz

Sent: Oct 31, 2013 5:16 PM Subject: RE: more cesspool

I'm okay with Bart. If you wanna boat, you let me know.

----Original Message----

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com] Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 5:07 PM

To: Campbell, Michael Subject: Re: more cesspool

Wow. Will ask more. My only issue with the plan is that it's so damn convenient to bart to LM Bart!

-----Original Message-----From: Michael Campbell To: Sidney Bob Dietz

Sent: Oct 31, 2013 5:04 PM Subject: RE: more cesspool

Evidence suggests that my lovely perpetrators had super high capacity magazines for their glocks. Some are over a foot long! Jeebus.

----Original Message----

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com] Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 5:02 PM

To: Campbell, Michael Subject: Re: more cesspool

Wow, I gotta ask you about that. I presume that there are different levels of enhancement. I mean a knife can't be worse than a revolver (not usually considered a semi auto).

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Campbell To: Sidney Bob Dietz

Sent: Oct 31, 2013 4:59 PM Subject: RE: more cesspool Yeah, CALCRIM has different enhancements for assaults and attempted murder (and murder) based on weapon used. For my case, it was "with semi-automatic firearm." One of those silly things that sounds scary and makes it into law ... but seriously, how many breach loading weapons and muskets are there? I suppose a shotgun wouldn't qualify ... but if these knuckleheads had shotguns, they might
-----Original Message Truncated-----
Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

Different case than mine...