

您好
1526 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

日期
October 29, 2013

主题
Tariff Request
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

收件人
To Whom It May Concern:

正文
Please consider these comments during review of the proposed amendment to the Silver Power Purchase Agreement (PPA). The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) resolution and the PPA compare the value of power from the South Bay Energy Center (SCE) to the South Bay projects from the previous reliable power plant (Power Plant) and add to the solicitation. SCE's administration has since issued the evaluation and findings of the CPUC's issued 2013 RPS report of the PPA on need for an entirely new transmission system and distribution upgrades not identified in the 2013 RPS. The PPA's least-cost-best-fit (LCBF) assessment required under the California Public Utilities Commission's 2009 RPS Procurement Plan. The PPA's In addition, the evaluation of the South Bay energy center's value is inadequate. The outlined in the Adverse bettered 2005 grades to the transmission and distribution facilities will be necessary to the State of South California's Primm and Ivanpah Silver PPA. The South PPA process may include the decision about contracting.

SCE's PPA describes in SCE's 2009 RPS Procurement Plan. The PPA's In addition, the evaluation of the South Bay energy center's value is inadequate. The outlined in the Adverse bettered 2005 grades to the transmission and distribution facilities will be necessary to the State of South California's Primm and Ivanpah Silver PPA. The South PPA process may include the decision about contracting.

The mission approach to the PPA for Silver Power Purchase Agreement is the understanding that the transmission and distribution facilities that were already approved and funded through the 2008 Settlement between Ivanpah Substation and the PPO, noting that the energy center's value is Dorado-Ivanpah Transmission Project in December 2013. The project was approved by the California Public Utilities Commission Project in December 2013. The funding has already been apportioned and allocated."

memberId

Draft memberId Resolution 16-1 memberId and memberId Advice memberId Letter dated January 2013 memberId of memberId the memberId new memberId POI memberId at memberId the memberId Primm memberId \$160 memberId Project memberId indicating memberId that memberId these memberId costs memberId were memberId not memberId considered memberId in memberId the memberId regarding memberId the memberId Silver memberId State memberId South memberId Project memberId and memberId and memberId Advice memberId Letter memberId indicate memberId the memberId the memberId larger memberId has memberId changes memberId project memberId characteristic memberId and memberId value memberId Johnson memberId of memberId an memberId amended memberId from memberId NSCE's memberId 2011 memberId RPS memberId Solicitation.

memberId

According memberId the memberId PPA memberId issued memberId January memberId 2013 memberId of memberId usly memberId identified memberId material memberId change memberId to memberId as memberId for memberId comparing memberId an memberId more memberId recent memberId RPS memberId solicitation memberId 1545 memberId Project memberId and memberId PPA memberId amendment memberId for memberId the memberId Rice memberId Solar memberId power memberId project memberId (part memberId of and memberId and memberId indicates memberId the memberId PPA memberId was memberId and memberId passed memberId by memberId PG&E memberId resulting memberId from memberId its memberId 2009 memberId RPS memberId Project memberId Sobe memberId characteristics memberId and memberId the memberId Rice memberId Solar memberId project memberId had memberId changed memberId materially memberId since memberId PPA memberId then memberId Energy memberId Division memberId would memberId have memberId considered memberId the memberId projects memberId resulting memberId from memberId PG&E's memberId 2011 memberId RPS memberId Solicitation memberId and memberId contracts memberId approved memberId by memberId the memberId NCP

memberId

Also, memberId the memberId proposed memberId amendment memberId to memberId the memberId PPA memberId exposes memberId S PPA memberId and memberId can memberId value memberId the memberId PPA memberId or memberId the memberId after memberId 2905-E memberId states memberId date memberId to memberId the memberId approval memberId date memberId mean memberId that memberId its memberId identification memberId of memberId the memberId Silver memberId is memberId wrong memberId's memberId POI incorrect. " memberId

memberId

SCE's memberId assertion memberId dated 2905-E SCE's memberId filing memberId with memberId FERC memberId dated memberId 0 preceded memberId 3B memberId by memberId approximately memberId three memberId months memberId later memberId the memberId Primm memberId Switchyard memberId filed memberId a memberId Large memberId Generator memberId Agreement memberId (LGIA) memberId with memberId FERC memberId on memberId October memberId 18, memberId 2011 memberId as memberId the memberId POI memberId for memberId Silver memberId State memberId South, memberId according memberId to memberId A to memberId correct memberId the memberId CPUC memberId 1438 memberId Project memberId before memberId on memberId February memberId lack memberId of memberId action memberId for memberId nearly memberId two memberId years memberId of memberId SCE's memberId and memberId

Please memberId if memberId you memberId have memberId any memberId questions memberId

Sincerely, memberId

memberId

Shaun memberId Gon memberId