

Brian K. Cherry Vice President Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-7226

October 14, 2013

Advice 4302-E (Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

<u>Subject:</u> Information-Only Filing Regarding 2012 Quarterly Compliance

Reports for Quarter 1 (Advice 4033-E-A), Quarter 2 (Advice 4091-E-A) and Quarter 3 (Advice 4132-E-A) - Financial Gas

Transactions not in Compliance with Bundled Procurement Plan

### <u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of this advice letter is to provide notice of and information summarizing gas transactions that occurred during 2012 that were reported in certain financial Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Quarterly Compliance Reports (QCR) for Quarter 1, Quarter 2 and Quarter 3, 2012 but that were not in compliance with PG&E'sapproved Electric Portfolio Hedging Plan (Hedging Plan). Concurrent with this advice letter. PG&Es filing testimony in Phase 2 of the 2012 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Compliance proceeding (Application 13-02-023) that describes these transactions and requests Commissionaction in that proceeding Because PG&Eis requesting California regarding the transactions. Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) action in the 2012 ERRA Compliance is informational in nature and does not seek additional proceeding, this advice letter Commission action.

2012 financial PG&E submitted the non-compliant gas transactions to the Commissionas part of its Quarter 1, Quarter 2, and Quarter 3, 2012, QCRadvice (Advice Letters 4033-E-A, 4091-E-A, and 4132-E-A, respectively) letters compliance with PG&E's Commission-approved Bundled and in The Quarter 1, Quarter 2, and Quarter 3, 2012 QCRs Procurement Plan (BPP). were approved by the Energy Division via disposition letters with effective dates of December 7, 2012, March 26, 2013, and August 8, 2013, respectively.

Although this advice letter summarizes the non-compliant transactions and the corrective actions that PG&E taken to ensure that this issue does not re-occur, PG&E requesting approval of these transactions and associated activity in Phase 2 of its 2012 ERRACompliance Proceeding Compliance Proceeding. Specifically, in

Phase 2 of its 2012 ERRACompliance Proceeding, PG&Eis requesting that the Commission: (1) approve the non-compliant transactions that occurred during the 2012 record period, (2) approve four transactions that were executed in September 2013 to offset the unexpired, non-compliant 2012 transactions in PG&E'sportfolio. and (3) direct that the net gain from these transactions be retained in PG&E'sERRA balancing account, which would result in a net benefit for PG&E's customers. Attachment A to this advice letter includes the public version of PG&E's2012 ERRA Compliance Proceeding Phase 2 Testimony. A confidential version of PG&E's Phase 2 testimony was provided to Administrative Law Judge Roscow and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) in the 2012 ERRA Compliance proceeding.

### Summary of Transactions

During a recent internal review of 2013 hedging transactions, PG&Edetermined that certain transactions that occurred in 2013 were outside one of the operating targets in PG&E'sHedging Plan. As a result of this discovery, PG&Ereviewed transactions from 2012 to determine if this same limit had been exceeded in that year. PG&E discovered forty eight (48) transactions that were executed in the first three quarters of 2012 that were not in compliance with PG&E'sHedging Plan because one of the Hedging Plan's limits had been exceeded. These 48 transactions complied with the other BPP and Hedging Plan requirements and limits, including approved products, approved transaction processes, and approved energy markets. However, these transactions did not comply with one of the Hedging Plan operating targets.

The non-compliant transactions occurred because a control for one of the operating targets in the Hedging Plan was not included in PG&E's electronic hedging implementation model. The electronic model guides PG&E's execution of hedges and has embeddedcontrols for Hedging Plan limits and targets that constrain when a transaction can be executed. If the operating target limit had been included in PG&E's electronic model, the 48 non-compliant transactions would not have been executed.

At the time PG&E discovered the non-compliant transactions, 37 of the 48 transactions had already settled. Since the remaining 11 transactions were still exposed to market price risk, PG&Eexecuted four offsetting transactions during the first week of September 2013 to close out the open positions for the remaining 11 transactions. Therefore, all non-compliant 2012 transactions positions have been closed and a resulting net gain of \$416,122, net of broker and exchange fees, will be realized.

Since discovering the non-compliant transactions, PG&Enas implemented additional procedural controls that will prevent the reoccurrence of this issue by updating its electronic model to include all provisions (constraints and limits) in the Hedging Plan. This model has already been modified to include the limit that would have prevented the execution of the non-compliant trades discussed here and was modified to correct a minor calculation error. In addition to updating the electronic model, PG&E

has developed a compliance report that will demonstrate that each of PG&E'sgas financial trades complied with all provisions of its Hedging Plan. PG&Ewill provide copies of these reports for all financial trades executed in 2012 as confidential workpapers to the Phase 2 Testimony and will begin providing them with its Quarterly Compliance Reports beginning Q4 2013. For a more detailed discussion of the transactions please refer to Attachment A to this filing, PG&E's2012 ERRA Compliance Proceeding Phase 2 Testimony.

### Protests

This is an information-only advice letter filing. Pursuant to General Order 96-B Section 6.2, PG&Es not seeking relief through this advice letter. Instead, PG&Es seeking relief regarding the non-compliant 2012 transactions in the 2012 ERRA Compliance proceeding.

### Effective Date

PG&Erequests that this information-only advice filing become effective October 14, 2013, the date of filing.

### Notice

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter being sent electronically on the attached service list and the service lists for Rulemaking ("R.") 12-03-014, R.01-10-024, R.11-10-023, and A.13-02-023. changes to the General Order 96-B service list and all electronic approvals should be directed to PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, Commission's Process Office at (415) 703-2021 the be accessed Process Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Advice letter filings can also electronically at http://www.pge.com/tariffs.

Brian Cherry IG

Vice President - Regulatory Relations

#### Public Attachments:

Attachment A:	A.13-02-023, Phase 2 Testimony (Public Version)
Attachment B:	Confidentiality Declaration and Matrix

cc: Service List R.12-03-014, R.01-10-024, R.11-10-023, A.13-02-023 & PG&E's Procurement Review Group

### CALIFORNIA UBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY ENERGY UTILITY

MUSTE COMPLETED UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)					
Companyname/CPUCJtility No. Pacific Gas and Electric Company(ID U39 E)					
Utility type: Contact Person: <u>Igor Grinberg</u>					
ELC ffi GAS Phone #: (415) 973-8580					
PLC HEAT WATER E-mail: ixg8@pge.comand PGETariffs@pge.com					
EXPLANATIONSFUTILITY TYPE (Date Filed/ Received Stampby CPUC)					
ELC= Electric GAS= Gas PLC= Pipeline HEAT = Heat WATER Water					
Advice Letter (AL) #4302-E  Subject of AL:Informational Filing Regarding 2012 Quarterly Compliance Reports for Quarter 1  (Advice 4033-E-A), Quarter 2 (Advice 4091-E-A) and Quarter 3 (Advice 4132-E-A) —  Financial GasTransactions not in Compliance with Bundled Procurement Plan					
Keywords(choose from CPUGisting): Compliance, Procurement					
AL filing type: Monthly Quarterly Amual One-Time Other					
If AL filed in compliance with a Commissionorder, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution_#: N/A					
Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior_AL: No					
Summarized ifferences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A  Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: please see attached Declaration and Matrix.					
Confidential information will be madeavailable to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreenfient so No					
Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: Peter E. Koszalka (415) 973-3818					
Resolution Required? Yes ffiNo					
Requested effective dateOctober 14, 2013 No. of tariff sheets: N/A					
Estimated system amual revenue effect (%): N/A					
Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A					
Whenrates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).					
Tariff schedules affected: N/A					
Service affected and changes propose <u>d: N</u> /A					
Dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this fundess otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:					
CPUC,Energy Division Pacific Gas and Electric Company					
EDTariff Unit  505 Van Ness Ave., 4 th Floor  San Francisco, CA94102  Attn: Brian K. Cherry, Vice President, Regulatory Relations 77 Beale Street, Mail CodeB10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA94177					
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com					

Application: 13-02-023				
(U 39 E)				
Exhibit No.:				
Date: October 14, 2013				
Witnesses: Various				

### PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

### **PHASE 2 TESTIMONY**

APPLICATION FOR COMPLIANCE REVIEW OF UTILITY OWNED GENERATION OPERATIONS, ELECTRIC ENERGY RESOURCE RECOVERY ACCOUNT ENTRIES, CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION, ECONOMIC DISPATCH OF ELECTRIC RESOURCES, UTILITY RETAINED GENERATION FUEL PROCUREMENT, AND OTHER ACTIVITIES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2012



# PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY APPLICATION FOR COMPLIANCE REVIEW OF UTILITY OWNED GENERATION OPERATIONS, ELECTRIC ENERGY RESOURCE RECOVERY ACCOUNT ENTRIES, CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION, ECONOMIC DISPATCH OF ELECTRIC RESOURCES, UTILITY RETAINED GENERATION FUEL PROCUREMENT, AND OTHER ACTIVITIES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2012 PHASE 2 TESTIMONY

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Chapter	Title	Witness
1	ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO HEDGING PLAN: GAS FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS	Peter E. Koszalka
Attachment A	ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO HEDGING PLAN: DESCRIPTION OF OPERATING TARGET LIMITS	
Attachment B	LIST OF TRANSACTIONS	
Attachment C	TRANSACTIONS PRESENTED IN THE 2012 QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE REPORTS	

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELEC TRIC COMPANY PHASE 2 TESTIMONY ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO H EDGING PLAN: GAS FINANCIAL TRANSA CTIONS

## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PHASE 2 TESTIMONY ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO HEDGING PLAN: GAS FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	Introduction	1
B.	Description of Transactions and Explanation of Operating Target Exceedence	1
	Controls to Ensure Future Transactions Are in Compliance With Hedging Limits	3
D.	Request for Commission Approval	4

## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PHASE 2 TESTIMONY ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO HEDGING PLAN: GAS FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

### A. Introduction

This testimony discusses certain financial gas transactions that occurred during the January 1 to December 31, 2012 record period and were reported in Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Quarterly Compliance Reports (QCR) for Quarter 1, Quarter 2 and Quarter 3, 2012 that were not in compliance with PG&E's approved Electric Portfolio Hedging Plan (Hedging Plan).

PG&E requests that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) approve the non-compliant transactions that occurred during the record period, approve the four transactions that were recently executed to offset the unexpired, non-compliant transactions in PG&E's portfolio, and direct that the net gain from these transactions be retained in PG&E's Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) balancing account, which results in a net benefit for PG&E's customers. The remainder of this testimony:

- describes the non-compliant transactions that occurred during the record period;
- (2) explains which Hedging Plan target was exceeded and why the transactions were executed outside of that target;
- (3) discusses the corrective actions PG&E has put in place to ensure future compliance with Hedging Plan targets before executing transactions; and
- (4) requests that the Commission approve: (i) the non-compliant transactions that occurred during the record period; (ii) the 2013 transactions that were used to offset unexpired transactions; and (iii) the retention of the net gain in ERRA for the benefit of customers

## B. Description of Transactions and Explanation of Operating Target Exceedence

During a recent internal review of 2013 hedging transactions, PG&E determined that certain transactions that occurred in 2013 were outside one of

the operating targets in PG&E's Hedging Plan.<sup>1</sup> As a result of this discovery, PG&E reviewed transactions from 2012 to determine if this same limit had been exceeded in that year.<sup>2</sup> PG&E discovered forty-eight (48) transactions that were executed in the first three quarters of 2012 that were not in compliance with PG&E's Hedging Plan because one of the Hedging Plan's limits had been exceeded. These 48 transactions complied with the other Bundled Procurement Plan (BPP) and Hedging Plan requirements and limits, including approved products, approved transaction processes, and approved energy markets. However, these transactions did not comply with one of the Hedging Plan operating targets.<sup>3</sup>

 The non-compliant transactions occurred because a control for one of the operating targets in the Hedging Plan was not included in PG&E's electronic hedging implementation model. The electronic model guides PG&E's execution of hedges and has embedded controls for Hedging Plan limits and targets that constrain when a transaction can be executed. If the operating target limit had been included in PG&E's electronic model, the 48 non-compliant transactions would not have been executed. PG&E has updated its electronic trading model to include a control for this limit, as discussed in more detail below in Section C. This correction is designed to ensure future transactions are in compliance with PG&E's BPP.

PG&E temporarily suspended all financial gas trading in order to carefully review the electronic model to ensure that all limits and targets in the Hedging Plan are accurately included. In addition to the omission described above, PG&E found one calculation error which had no impact on trading activities and that two additional provisions from the Hedging Plan were omitted from the model. PG&E has reviewed all the remaining trades executed in 2012 and

Non-compliant transactions that occurred in 2013 will be addressed in PG&E's 2013 ERRA Compliance application which will be filed in February 2014.

PG&E's current Electric Portfolio Hedging Plan was approved as part of its 2010 Bundled Procurement Plan on January 12, 2012 in Decision (D.) 12-01-033. The limit exceeded by the subject trades was first implemented under this plan. This application of the limit was not included in PG&E's previous electric portfolio hedging plans.

Since PG&E's Hedging Plan is confidential under D.06-06-066 and Public Utilities Code (PUC) Sections 454.5(g) and 583, the operating target limitations are described in Confidential Attachment A to this testimony.

found that all of them were in compliance with these two provisions (i.e., none of the trades would have been prevented by those provisions).

At the time PG&E discovered the non-compliant transactions, 37 of the 48 transactions had already settled. Since the remaining 11 transactions were still exposed to market price risk, PG&E executed four offsetting transactions during the first week of September 2013 to close out the open positions for the remaining 11 transactions from the record period. Therefore, all non-compliant 2012 transactions positions have been closed and a resulting net gain of \$416,122, net of broker and exchange fees, will be realized. PG&E closed out these open positions to eliminate the risk that market movement would result in the remaining transactions settling at a loss.

The table below includes a summary of the 48 non-compliant transactions, including the notional value of settlements related to the 37 expired transactions, and the 4 offsetting transactions that were entered into in September 2013 to eliminate market risk exposure for the remaining 11 unexpired transactions. A detailed list of the transactions is included in Confidential Attachment B. Confidential Attachment C includes the transactions included in the original QCR submittals, by quarter.

Transaction Summary						
Trade Type	Number of Trades	Delivery Period Covered	Notional Value			
Futures (buy)	48	January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2014	(\$19,022,078)			
Futures (settled)	37	January 1, 2013 through August 31, 2013	10,952,467			
Futures (sell)	4	January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014	8,493,393			
		Execution Fees	(7,660)			
		Net Settled Gain	\$416,122			

### C. Controls to Ensure Future Transactions Are in Compliance With Hedging Limits

Since discovering the non-compliant transactions, PG&E has implemented additional procedural controls that will prevent the reoccurrence of this issue and is updating its electronic model to include all provisions (constraints and limits) in the Hedging Plan. This model has already been modified to include the limit that

would have prevented the execution of the non-compliant trades discussed here and was modified to correct a minor calculation error. This model, which is maintained by a team of quantitative analysts in PG&E's Market Risk Management Department, will also be reviewed by a separate, independent team of qualified analysts in the same department.

While this model uses Microsoft Excel to provide an interactive report to PG&E's gas traders, generation of the report and the formulas in the report are embedded in computer code. The report is automatically generated every night to update the model for market prices and portfolio positions. PG&E's gas traders do not have the ability to access or modify this code. Once the code is updated as described above and reviewed by the independent team, the code will be placed into production and "locked" from modification through password protection. In addition to updating the model, PG&E is also updating its change control process and verifying security for this model.

While use of an electronic model to guide implementation of the hedging plan is a preventative control, as a result of the non-compliant trades PG&E is adding a detective control to its management of hedging plan implementation. PG&E has developed a compliance report that will demonstrate that each of PG&E's gas financial trades complied with each of the provisions of its Hedging Plan. PG&E has provided copies of these reports for all financial trades executed in 2012 as confidential workpapers accompanying this testimony. PG&E will generate these reports frequently in order to detect any deviation from its approved Hedging Plan, which will allow PG&E's management to prevent reoccurrence of an ongoing case of non-compliance similar to that discussed here. PG&E will begin providing these reports with its Quarterly Compliance Reports beginning Q4-2013 and will include a complete set of these reports in support of its 2013 ERRA Compliance Application.

### D. Request for Commission Approval

1 2

PG&E requests the Commission approve: (1) the 48 non-complaint transactions executed in 2012; (2) the four transactions executed in September 2013 to offset and close out the unexpired 2012 transactions; and (3) retention of the net gain the ERRA balancing account.

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELEC TRIC COMPANY PHASE 2 TESTIMONY ATTACHMENT A ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO H EDGING PLAN: DESCRIP TION OF OPERATING TARGET LIM ITS [THIS DOCUMENT IS CO NFIDENTIAL]

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELEC TRIC COMPANY PHASE 2 TESTIMONY ATTACHMENT B LIST OF TRANSACTIONS [THIS DOCUMENT IS CO NFIDENTIAL]

# PACIFIC GAS AND EL ECTRICCOMPANY PHASE TESTIMONY ATTACHMENT TRANSACTION RESENTED THE 2012 QUARTERICOMPLIAN REPORT S [THIS DOCUMENT CONFIDENTIAL]

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF PETER E. KOSZALKA
SEEKING CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF PG&E'S ADVICE 4302-E REGARDING
2012 QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE REPORTS FOR QUARTER 1 (ADVICE 4033-E-A),
QUARTER 2 (ADVICE 4091-E-A) AND QUARTER 3 (ADVICE 4132-E-A) –
FINANCIAL GAS TRANSACTIONS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH BUNDLED
PROCUREMENT PLAN

### I, Peter E. Koszalka, declare:

- 1. I am presently employed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and have been an employee since 2003 (and was previously employed by PG&E from 1983 to 1998). My current title is Director, Electric Gas Supply. In this position, my responsibilities include physical and financial trading of gas in support of PG&E's allocated DWR contracts, PG&E's company-owned generating facilities, and PG&E's tolling agreements. In carrying out these responsibilities, I have acquired knowledge of gas supply and gas hedging for electric generation, the markets for physical and financial products for gas supply and hedging, and the various types of transactions involved.
- 2. Based on my knowledge and experience, and in accordance with Decision ("D.") 08-04-023 and the August 22, 2006 "Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Clarifying Interim Procedures for Complying with Decision 06-06-066," I make this declaration seeking confidential treatment of Confidential Attachment A or Advice 4302-E regarding financial gas transactions not in compliance with bundled procurement plan appearing in the 2012 Quarterly Compliance Reports for Quarter 1 (Advice 4033-E-A), Quarter 2 (advice 4091-E-A) and Quarter 3 (Advice 4132-E-A).
- 3. Attached to this declaration is a matrix identifying the data and information for which PG&E is seeking confidential treatment. The matrix specifies that the material PG&E is

seeking to protect constitutes the particular type of data and information listed in Appendix I of D.06-06-066 and Appendix D.08-04-023 (the "IOU Matrix"), and/or constitutes information that should be protected under General Order 66-C. The matrix also specifies the category or categories in the IOU Matrix to which the data and information corresponds, and why confidential protection is justified. Finally, the matrix specifies that: (1) PG&E is complying with the limitations specified in the IOU Matrix for that type of data or information; (2) the information is not already public; and (3) the data cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure. By this reference, I am incorporating into this declaration all of the explanatory text in the attached matrix that is pertinent to this submittal.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 14, 2013 at San Francisco, California.

PETER E. KOSZALKA

# SB\_GT&S\_0513731

### PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S (U 39 E) ADVICE 4302-E

## FINANCIAL GAS TRANSACTIONS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH BUNDLED PROCUREMENT PLAN AS REPORTED IN THE 2012 QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE REPORTS FOR QUARTER 1 (ADVICE 4033-E-A), QUARTER 2 (ADVICE 4091-E-A) AND QUARTER 3 (ADVICE 4132-E-A)

### IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Redaction Reference	1) The material submitted constitutes a particular type of data listed in the Matrix, appended as Appendix 1 to D.06-06-066 (Y/N)	2) Which category or categories in the Matrix the data corresponds to:	3) That it is complying with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data  (Y/N)	4) That the information is not already public (Y/N)	5) The data cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure (Y/N)	PG& E's Justification for Confidential Treatment	Length of Time
Document:	<b>Confidential Atta</b>	chment A (referen	cing PG&E's 201	12 ERRA C	ompliance Revi	ew Phase 2 Testimony)	
Attachment A	Y	Item I) A) 4) Long- term fuel (gas) buying and hedging plans	Y	N	Y	Description of PG&E's long term buying and hedging strategies, which constitutes market sensitive information.	Confidential for three years past expiration of the last trade executed under the hedging plan. (Resolution E-4276, Finding 8) This date is January 1, 2019.
Attachment B	Y	Item I) A) 4) Long- term fuel (gas) buying and hedging plans  XI) Monthly Procurement Costs (Energy Resource Recovery Account [ERRA] Filings)	Y	N	Y	Transactions conducted under PG&E's hedging Plan. This information reveals monthly procurement costs and is market sensitive information with regard to hedging transactions.	Confidential for three years past expiration of the last trade executed under the hedging plan. (Resolution E-4276, Finding 8) This date is January 1, 2019.
Attachment C	Y	Item I) A) 4) Long- term fuel (gas) buying and hedging plans	Y	N	Y	Transactions conducted under PG&E's hedging Plan. This information reveals monthly procurement costs and is market sensitive information with regard to hedging transactions.	Confidential for three years past expiration of the last trade executed under the hedging plan. (Resolution E-4276, Finding 8) This date is January 1, 2019.

PG&EGas and Electric Advice Filing List General Order 96-B, Section IV

1st Light Energy

AT&T

Alcantar & Kahl LLP Anderson & Poole

**BART** 

Barkovich & Yap, Inc. Bartle Wells Associates

Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.

CENERGY POWER

California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn California Energy Commission California Public Utilities Commission California State Association of Counties

Calpine

Casner, Steve

Center for Biological Diversity

City of Palo Alto City of San Jose Clean Power

Coast Economic Consulting

Commercial Energy

County of Tehama - Department of Public

Works

Crossborder Energy Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

Day Carter Murphy

Defense Energy Support Center

Dept of General Services
Division of Ratepayer Advocates

Douglass & Liddell Downey & Brand

Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP

G. A. Krause & Assoc. GenOn Energy Inc. GenOn Energy, Inc.

Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &

Ritchie

Green Power Institute

Hanna & Morton

In House Energy International Power Technology Intestate Gas Services, Inc.

Kelly Group

Linde

Los Angeles Dept of Water & Power

MAC Lighting Consulting

MRW & Associates Manatt Phelps Phillips Marin Energy Authority

McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP

McKenzie & Associates Modesto Irrigation District

Morgan Stanley NLine Energy, Inc. NRG Solar Nexant. Inc.

North America Power Partners
Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.

OnGrid Solar

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Praxair

Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc.

SCD Energy Solutions

SCE

SDG&E and SoCalGas

SPURR

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Seattle City Light Sempra Utilities SoCalGas

Southern California Edison Company

Spark Energy
Sun Light & Power
Sunshine Design
Tecogen, Inc.

Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

TransCanada

Utility Cost Management Utility Power Solutions Utility Specialists

Verizon

Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead Electric Company Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA)