BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Oversee the Resource Adequacy Program, Consider Program Refinements, and Establish Annual Local Procurement Obligations.

Rulemaking R.11-10-023 (Filed October 20, 2011)

OPENING COMMENTS OF THE UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF PROPOSAL FOR QUALIFYING CAPACITY AND EFFECTIVE FLEXIBLE CAPACITY CALCULATION METHODOLOGIES FOR ENERGY STORAGE AND SUPPLY-SIDE DEMAND RESPONSE RESOURCES

Mike Jacobs UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS 2 Brattle Square Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 301-8057 mjacobs@ucsusa.org Laura Wisland UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS 2397 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 203 Berkeley, CA 94704 (510) 843-1872 lwisland@ucsusa.org

Dated: October 22, 2013

OPENING COMMENTS OF THE UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF PROPOSAL FOR QUALIFYING CAPACITY AND EFFECTIVE FLEXIBLE CAPACITY CALCULATION METHODOLOGIES FOR ENERGY STORAGE AND SUPPLY-SIDE DEMAND RESPONSE RESOURCES

In compliance with the Energy Division's ("ED") Notice Requesting Comments on the Draft Staff Proposal for Qualifying Capacity and Effective Flexible Capacity Calculation Methodologies for Energy Storage and Supply-Side Demand Response Resources ("preliminary staff proposal"), the Union of Concerned Scientists ("UCS") respectfully submits these initial comments.

The preliminary staff proposal contains threshold concepts that guide the Commission's efforts to develop Qualifying Capacity (QC) and Effective Flexible Capacity (EFC) methodologies for energy storage and supply-side demand response resources. UCS attended the Resource Adequacy workshop on October 15, 2013 by telephone and responds to comments that came up during that workshop that relate to the threshold concepts contained in preliminary staff proposal.

A probabilistic analysis of grid flexibility needs and capabilities is an appropriate way to measure and plan for future flexibility requirements.

At the October 15, 2012 workshop, a few parties voiced concerns that the state should not adopt an EFC approach because this ELCC-style, probabilistic analysis of needs and capabilities for energy storage and demand response has not been used in many places in the country and that California is not "ready" to adopt such a framework.

While the Commission should proceed carefully with exploring new and innovative modeling techniques, UCS believes that the use of probabilistic analysis can appropriately

recognize the dynamic flexibility needs of the future electricity grid. However, the Commission

should take caution to balance a constant reevaluation of needs and capabilities of existing and

new resources with transparency and predictability. The risk that a resource will have a reduced

EFC once qualified should be limited to the measured performance of that resource, and not a

routine reassessment of system-wide performance. Providing stable and transparent EFC values

for resources enhances the procurement of cost-effective and appropriate resources to meet the

needs of the California electricity grid.

UCS thanks the Commission for the opportunity to provide these initial comments.

Sincerely,

/s/

/s/

Mike Jacobs UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS 2 Brattle Square Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 301-8057 mjacobs@ucsusa.org

Laura Wisland UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS 2397 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 203 Berkeley, CA 94704 (510) 843-1872 lwisland@ucsusa.org

Date: October 22, 2013