

From: Cherry, Brian K  
Sent: 10/2/2013 6:53:50 PM  
To: Randolph, Edward F. (edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Manheim, William (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=WVM3);  
Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1);  
Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE)  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: Video taping SSHAC

Thanks Ed. We're both stuck between two tough constituents. We will make sure they are welcome.

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 2, 2013, at 6:44 PM, "Randolph, Edward F." <[edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov)> wrote:

Thanks. Can someone reach out and make sure A4NR knows they are welcome. According to A4NR they have been allowed to tape the meetings in the past. I told them I am not going to push PG&E on the taping issue if A4NR is allowed to attend in person, BUT I personally don't agree with the whole concept that taping dampers the conversations – that said it is official CPUC policy that judges can ban cameras from hearing rooms, so I don't have strong grounds to push this.

Edward Randolph | Phone: 415-703-2083 | Cell: 916-601-9635

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 01, 2013 9:29 AM  
**To:** Randolph, Edward F.  
**Subject:** RE: Video taping SSHAC

Ed – given the closure of SONGS, there should be no issue at all with A4NR being allowed to attend the SSHAC meetings. As I understand it, the SSHC meeting are designed to be a forum for frank, critical discussion and debate on a variety of engineering and scientific positions. None of the discussion is designed as testimony or evidence, nor is it meant to be, but that is how I suspect A4NR is planning to use this if they videotape it (see their comment about sharing it with other agencies). We are concerned that videotaping the session will put a

dampener on the debate and otherwise change the tone and tenor of the meeting. We welcome A4NR to attend, but we would prefer they not videotape the session. I am willing to have the Business Unit reconsider if you feel strongly about this.

**From:** Randolph, Edward F. [<mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 8:21 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** FW: Video taping SSHAC

Brain,

There has been an issue in the past with A4NR being allowed to attend the SSHAC (I don't know what that stands for but is the seismic meetings PG&E and SCE host under NRC orders). I believe PG&E allows A4NR to attend but when SCE hosts they do not allow them in. I think A4NR should be allowed to attend, but that is not my fight.

A4NR has asked that PG&E tape the next one and provide them a copy. I would appreciate it if PG&E could do that.

Edward Randolph | Phone: 415-703-2083 | Cell: 916-601-9635

**From:** Rochelle Becker [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:52 PM  
**To:** Randolph, Edward F.  
**Subject:** Video taping SSHAC

Hi Ed

Might you ask Norm Nischenko (SP?) to have PG&E video-tape the SSHAC, it makes for a record that A4NR can share with other agencies.

Thanks

Rochelle

--

In Peace

Rochelle Becker, Executive Director  
Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility  
PO 1328  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406  
[www.a4nr.org](http://www.a4nr.org)

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>