From: Slocum, Gail (Law

Sent: 10/30/2013 11:11:36 PM

To: 'gxh@cpuc.ca.gov' (gxh@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Litteneker, Randall (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RJL9);

Redacted

Bcc:

Subject: PTR Joint Motion of ORA and PGE for Dismissal or to set aside submission\_10-30-

2013 GBM7 Edits.doc

Greg

Attached for your review ASAP on Thursday October 31 is a draft motion based on our discussion earlier today.

This draft includes what we believe to be enough of a showing on the materially changed facts needed as the basis for the CPUC to either simply dismiss default PTR after taking official notice of those facts, or, if the CPUC deems it necessary to formally reopen the record in order to do so, as the basis for setting aside submission and then taking official notice of the types of facts that underlie our joint conclusion that dismissing default PTR is now the most reasonable course of action.

As we both agreed, time is of the essence because under the CPUC's last decision extending the statutory deadline to December 6, a PD is technically due to be issued by November 6. Given the impending PD, it would be great if we can get this motion filed by COB 10/31, or for sure this Friday 11/1.

• 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 Accordingly, do	ou think we need to more	clearly request an	expedited
ruling on this Motion?			

• 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 After this is filed and served, I feel confident a few other parties may want to file
responses, with most supporting our request. Perhaps we should also request in this motion a
shortened time to respond? (If so, what amount of time/to what response filing date?)

Let's talk as soon as possible after you review this tomorrow. My cell is 415 515 2892.

Thanks in advance for getting back to us soon so we can work with you to finalize and file this ASAP.

Gail Slocum

415 515 2892 (cell)

415 973-6583 (land line)