From: Bushey, Maribeth A. Sent: 11/18/2013 5:06:19 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);

Florio, Michel Peter (Michel Peter, Florio@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Subject: RE: Oral Argument Procedural Ask

Pursuant to Rule 13.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, respondents open and close. As regards this phase of the proceeding, I would say that PG&E is more like a respondent than an applicant, as PG&E is not the moving party and is not seeking the relief proposed. Either way, though, PG&E would open and close. I will set a more detailed schedule by ruling in the next few days.

----Original Message----

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] Sent: Monday, November 18, 2013 11:59 AM

To: Florio, Michel Peter Cc: Bushey, Maribeth A.

Subject: Re: Oral Argument Procedural Ask

Thx. Will do.

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 12:20 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov wrote:

This is a question for ALJ Bushey....

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE Smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>>>

Date: 11/18/2013 8:41 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: "Florio, Michel Peter" < Michel Peter. Florio@cpuc.ca.gov < mailto: Michel Peter. Florio@cpuc.ca.gov >>>

Subject: Oral Argument Procedural Ask

Mike - can we have Tony and Nick go first procedurally and then have a limited time for rebuttal since we are the applicant?

Brian K. Cherry PG&E Company

VP, Regulatory Relations 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA. 94105 (415) 973-4977

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/