BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

	Rulemaking 13-09-011 (Filed September 19, 2013)
Requirements.	

NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION AND, IF REQUESTED (and []]¹ checked), ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING ON TURN'S SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

Customer (party intending to claim intervenor compensation):					
The Utility Reform Network (TURN)					
Assigned Com	nissiøner: Mich	ael R. Peevey		Assigned ALJ:	Kelly A. Hymes
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, III and IV of this Notice of Intent (NOI) is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this NOI and has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1).					
		Signature:	/s/		
Date:	November	Printed Name:	Marc	cel Hawiger	

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES

(To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Status as "customer" (see Pub. Util. Code § 1802(b)): The party claims "customer" status because the party (check one):	Applies (check)
1. Category 1: Represents consumers, customers, or subscribers of any electrical, gas, telephone, telegraph, or water corporation that is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission (§ 1802(b)(1)(A)).	
2. Category 2: Is a representative who has been authorized by a "customer" (§ 1802(b)(1)(B)).	
3. Category 3: Represents a group or organization authorized pursuant to its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers, to represent "small commercial customers" (§ 1802(h)) who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation (§ 1802(b)(1)(C)), or to represent another eligible group.	X
4. The party's explanation of its customer status, with any documentation (such articles of incorporation or bylaws) that supports the party's "customer" statu	

¹ DO NOT CHECK THIS BOX if no finding of significant financial hardship is needed (in cases where there is a valid rebuttable presumption of eligibility (Part III(A)(3)) or significant financial hardship showing has been deferred to the intervenor compensation claim).

attached documents should be identified in Part IV.

TURN is a Category 3 "group or organization authorized pursuant to its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential ratepayers." TURN provided the relevant portion of our articles of incorporation in the NOI submitted in A.98-02-017, and again in A.99-12-024. The articles of incorporation have not changed since the time of those earlier submissions. TURN has approximately 20,000 dues-paying members, of whom we believe the vast majority are residential ratepayers. TURN does not poll our members in a manner that would allow a precise breakdown between residential and small business members, so a precise percentage is not available.

••••• Do you have a direct economic interest in outcomes of the proceeding? If yes, explain:

NO

Yes
X_No
Yes No
Check
and the second
Yes <u>X</u> No

n/a

2b. The party's information on the proceeding number, date, and decision number for any Commission decision, Commissioner ruling, ALJ ruling, or other document authorizing the filing of NOI at that other time:

n/a

PART II: SCOPE OF ANTICIPATED PARTICIPATION

(To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Planned Participation (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)(i)):

• The party's statement of the issues on which it plans to participate.

TURN intends to address, at least partially, all of the issues described in the OIR and the November 14, 2013 Scoping Memo. For purposes of this NOI, TURN estimates the costs of our participation on Phase 1 and 2 issues, including bridge funding and the recategorization of demand response programs. TURN's primary focus will be on ensuring that DR products and services are properly valued to promote DR at a reasonable cost to utility ratepayers.

• The party's explanation as to how it plans to avoid duplication of effort with other parties and intervenors.

TURN will coordinate with the DRA and with other intervenors to minimize duplication of overlapping positions, and to ensure that our showing covers different issues, or adds to the analyses and showings provided by the other parties.

• The party's description of the nature and extent of the party's planned participation in this proceeding (as far as it is possible to describe on the date this NOI is filed).

TURN intends to participate actively in this proceeding by conducting discovery, submitting expert witness testimony, participating in evidentiary hearings, filing any required briefs and legal pleadings, and conducting other activities necessary for litigating and advocating on behalf of residential and small commercial ratepayers.

Item	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$	#
Απ	ORNEY, EXPERT, AN	ND ADVOCATE FEES		
Marcel Hawiger	100	350	35,000	
Thomas Long	10	550	5,500	
Kevin Woodruff	60	240	14,400	
JBS Energy	30	200	6,000	
		Subtotal:	\$60,900	
	OTHER I	EES		
[Person 1]				
[Person 2]				
		Subtotal:		
	Cost	I "S		
Xeroxing and Postage			50	
Lexis Research			200	
Consultant Travel and Lodging			600	
		Subtotal:	\$850	
	٦	TOTAL ESTIMATE \$:	\$61,750	
Estimated Budget by Issues:	1 1911 - 1917	TOTAL ESTIMATE \$:	\$61,750	
	ion will be allocated g for 2015 – 20% demand response	d as follows:	\$61,750	
TURN expects that its participat Phase 1 – bridge fundin Phase 2 – bifurcation of	ion will be allocated g for 2015 – 20% demand response n – 20%	d as follows: programs – 60%	\$61,750	
 TURN expects that its participat Phase 1 – bridge fundin Phase 2 – bifurcation of Phase 2 – cost allocatio 	ion will be allocated g for 2015 – 20% demand response n – 20% erence # from abov y and expert witnes as defined in the No ont depending on a	d as follows: programs – 60% re): ss times are preliminary ovember 14, 2013 Scop ny future rulings concer	and based on bing Memo. TURN m ring scope. The act	ual
 TURN expects that its participat Phase 1 – bridge fundin Phase 2 – bifurcation of Phase 2 – cost allocatio Comments/Elaboration (use refe B. TURN's estimates of attorne participation in phases 1 and 2 a file a supplemental notice of inte amount of any future request for ultimate decision in this case. B. The reasonableness of the home participation in the phase 1 and 2 a file a supplemental notice of interaction and the phase 1 and 2 a file a supplemental notice of the phase 2 and 2 a file a supplemental notice and 2 a fi	ion will be allocated g for 2015 – 20% demand response n – 20% erence # from abov y and expert witnes as defined in the No out depending on a compensation ma	d as follows: programs – 60% re): ss times are preliminary ovember 14, 2013 Scop ny future rulings concer y vary and will depend	r and based on bing Memo. TURN m ning scope. The act upon the Commissio	ual
 TURN expects that its participat Phase 1 – bridge fundin Phase 2 – bifurcation of Phase 2 – cost allocatio Comments/Elaboration (use refe B. TURN's estimates of attorne participation in phases 1 and 2 a file a supplemental notice of interamount of any future request for	ion will be allocated g for 2015 – 20% demand response n – 20% erence # from abov y and expert witnes as defined in the No ont depending on a compensation ma purly rates request oppensation.	d as follows: programs – 60% /e): ss times are preliminary ovember 14, 2013 Scop ny future rulings concer y vary and will depend ed for TURN's represer	r and based on ping Memo. TURN m ning scope. The act upon the Commission tatives will be	ual

PART III: SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP (To be completed by party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation: see Instructions for options for providing this information)

compensation, see instructions for options for providing this information	
A. The party claims "significant financial hardship" for its Intervenor Applies	

Compensation Claim in this proceeding on the following basis:	(check)
1. "[T]he customer cannot afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs	
of effective participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness	
fees, and other reasonable costs of participation" (§ 1802(g)); or	
2. "[I]n the case of a group or organization, the economic interest of the	
individual members of the group or organization is small in comparison	
to the costs of effective participation in the proceeding" (§ 1802(g)).	
3. A § 1802(g) finding of significant financial hardship in another	
proceeding, made within one year prior to the commencement of this	X
proceeding, created a rebuttable presumption of eligibility for	
compensation in this proceeding (§ 1804(b)(1)).	
ALJ ruling (or CPUC decision) issued in proceeding number:	
A.12-11-009	
Date of ALJ ruling (or CPUC decision): September 6, 2013	

B. The party's explanation of the factual basis for its claim of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(g)) (necessary documentation, if warranted, is attached to the NOI):

PART IV: ATTACHMENTS DOCUMENTING SPECIFIC ASSERTIONS MADE IN THIS NOTICE

(The party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation identifies and attaches documents; add rows as necessary)

Attachment No.	Description
1	Certificate of Service

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RULING²

(ALJ completes)

	Check all that apply
1. The Notice of Intent (NOI) is rejected for the following reasons:	
a. The NOI has not demonstrated the party's status as a "customer" for the	
following reason(s):	

² An ALJ Ruling needs not be issued unless: (a) the NOI is deficient; (b) the ALJ desires to address specific issues raised by the NOI (to point out similar positions, areas of potential duplication in showings, unrealistic expectations for compensation, or other matters that may affect the customer's Intervenor Compensation Claim); or (c) the NOI has included a claim of "significant financial hardship" that requires a finding under § 1802(g).

b. The NOI has not demonstrated that the NOI was timely filed (Part I(B)) for the following reason(s):	
c. The NOI has not adequately described the scope of anticipated	
participation (Part II, above) for the following reason(s):	
2. The NOI has demonstrated significant financial hardship for the reasons set forth in Part III of the NOI (above).	
3. The NOI has not demonstrated significant financial hardship for the following reason(s):	
4. The ALJ provides the following additional guidance (see § 1804(b)(2)):	

IT IS RULED that:

	Check all that apply
1. The Notice of Intent is rejected.	
2. Additional guidance is provided to the customer as set forth above.	
3. The customer has satisfied the eligibility requirements of Pub. Util. Code § 1804(a).	
4. The customer has shown significant financial hardship.	
5. The customer is preliminarily determined to be eligible for intervenor compensation in this proceeding. However, a finding of significant financial hardship in no way ensures compensation.	

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE