

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own
Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of
Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate
Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic
Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations

Rulemaking 12-06-013

(Filed June 21, 2012)

NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

**CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE
TECHNOLOGY**
MELISSA W. KASNITZ
3075 ADELIN STREET, SUITE 220
BERKELEY, CA 94703
510/841-3224
service@cforat.org

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
STEPHANIE CHEN
ENRIQUE GALLARDO
1918 UNIVERSITY AVE
BERKELEY, CA 94704
510/926-4001
enriqueg@greenlining.org

November 7, 2013

Pursuant to Rules 8.2(c) and 8.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT) and the Greenlining Institute (Greenlining) hereby give notice of the following oral ex parte communication, which occurred on November 4, 2013 at 11:00 a.m. in the Commission's San Francisco office and lasted approximately 45 minutes. Melissa Kasnitz from CforAT and Enrique Gallardo of Greenlining conferred with Sepideh Khosrowjah, Chief of Staff to Commissioner Michael Florio, and Rachel Peterson, Policy Advisor to Commissioner Florio.

At the meeting, Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo raised concerns regarding the Assigned Commissioner's Ruling Inviting Utilities to Submit Interim Rate Change Applications ("Phase 2 Ruling") that was issued in this proceeding on October 25, 2013. Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo expressed concern that the schedule in the Phase 2 Ruling, which authorizes simultaneous rate design applications to be filed by all electric IOUs and allows only approximately 10 weeks (six of which run from Thanksgiving through the new year) for other parties to conduct any necessary review, discovery, analysis and preparation of testimony, does not allow sufficient time for effective participation by small intervenors. Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo also expressed concern that certain statements contained in the Phase 2 Ruling inappropriately direct particular rate design outcomes and thus prejudice the ultimate conclusion of the proceeding without appropriate support or due process.

Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo indicated that they would raise these concerns formally in response to the Phase 2 Ruling through comments or other actions as permitted by the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Dated: November 7, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melissa W. Kasnitz

MELISSA W. KASNITZ
Attorney for Center for Accessible Technology
3075 Adeline Street, Suite 220
Berkeley, CA 94703
Phone: 510-841-3224
Fax: 510-841-7936
Email: service@cforat.org

/s/ Enrique Gallardo

ENRIQUE GALLARDO
Attorney for the Greenlining Institute
1918 University Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94704
Phone: 510-926-4017
Fax: 510-926-4010
Email: enriqueg@greenlining.org