

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own  
Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of  
Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate  
Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic  
Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations

Rulemaking 12-06-013

(Filed June 21, 2012)

**NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION**

**CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE  
TECHNOLOGY**  
MELISSA W. KASNITZ  
3075 ADELIN STREET, SUITE 220  
BERKELEY, CA 94703  
510/841-3224  
[service@cforat.org](mailto:service@cforat.org)

**THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE**  
STEPHANIE CHEN  
ENRIQUE GALLARDO  
1918 UNIVERSITY AVE  
BERKELEY, CA 94704  
510/926-4001  
[enriqueg@greenlining.org](mailto:enriqueg@greenlining.org)

November 7, 2013

Pursuant to Rules 8.2(c) and 8.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT) and the Greenlining Institute (Greenlining) hereby give notice of the following oral ex parte communication, which occurred on November 5, 2013 at 11:30 a.m. at the Greenlining offices in Berkeley, California. Melissa Kasnitz from CforAT and Enrique Gallardo of Greenlining conferred with Scott Murtishaw, advisor to Commission President Michael Peevey. The meeting lasted approximately 45 minutes with all participants, and an additional 40 minutes with Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Murtishaw.

At the meeting, Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo raised concerns regarding the Assigned Commissioner's Ruling Inviting Utilities to Submit Interim Rate Change Applications ("Phase 2 Ruling") that was issued in this proceeding on October 25, 2013. Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo expressed concern that the schedule in the Phase 2 Ruling, which authorizes simultaneous rate design applications to be filed by all electric IOUs and allows only approximately 10 weeks (six of which run from Thanksgiving through the new year) for other parties to conduct any necessary review, discovery, analysis and preparation of testimony, does not allow sufficient time for effective participation by small intervenors. Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo also expressed concern that certain statements contained in the Phase 2 Ruling inappropriately direct particular rate design outcomes and thus prejudge the ultimate conclusion of the proceeding without appropriate support or due process.

Ms. Kasnitz and Mr. Gallardo indicated that they would raise these concerns formally in response to the Phase 2 Ruling through comments or other actions as permitted by the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Dated: November 7, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melissa W. Kasnitz

---

MELISSA W. KASNITZ  
Attorney for Center for Accessible Technology  
3075 Adeline Street, Suite 220  
Berkeley, CA 94703  
Phone: 510-841-3224  
Fax: 510-841-7936  
Email: [service@cforat.org](mailto:service@cforat.org)

/s/ Enrique Gallardo

---

ENRIQUE GALLARDO  
Attorney for the Greenlining Institute  
1918 University Ave.  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
Phone: 510-926-4017  
Fax: 510-926-4010  
Email: [enriqueg@greenlining.org](mailto:enriqueg@greenlining.org)