

From: Cadenasso, Eugene
Sent: 11/1/2013 1:14:36 PM
To: 'Boven, Karen L (KBOV)' (kbov@chevron.com)
Cc: Redacted
Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1);
Kraska, David (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DTK5); Beall,
John P (Edwards & Associates) [Contractor] (JohnBeall@chevron.com); Nora
Sheriff (nes@a-klaw.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E
and Redacted

Hi Karen,

Thank you for making the revision and I think that covers everything. I'll distribute it to our people. One other thing, is it possible for one of our staff to use the call-in number, event ID and the EXTERNAL USERS ONLY procedures that were included on the meeting invitation you sent?

Eugene

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 1:00 PM
To: Cadenasso, Eugene
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Redacted; Beall, John P (Edwards & Associates)
[Contractor]; Kraska, David (Law); Nora Sheriff
Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and Redacted

Hi Eugene,

PG&E will have representatives present who can address the CPCN and eminent domain-related topics. Those topics are not specifically addressed in my presentation regarding the project scope.

So a revised agenda, per your suggestion, is as follows:

1. Stanpac overview (requested by CPUC) - [PG&E-lead discussion]

- a. pipeline system
- b. corporate governance
- c. management and operating agreement
- d. CPCN issues [added]

2. Stanpac-7 Pipeline Project overview - [Redacted presentation]

- a. Purpose/need
- b. Scope
- c. Permitting and CEQA
- d. ROW / land issues
- e. Project execution / schedule

Thanks,

Karen

From: Cadenasso, Eugene [mailto:eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 11:40 AM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); [Redacted]; Beall, John P (Edwards & Associates)
[Contractor]

Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and [Redacted]

Hi Karen,

I think the agenda covers most of the points. I recall one issue you mentioned was whether StanPac's CPCN provided it with the power of eminent domain; I assume that would be covered under ROW. However, another area that should be explored is whether StanPac's CPCN would need to be amended to reflect the line extension; maybe a new "CPCN issues" section should be added to the agenda.

Eugene

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 11:17 AM
To: Cadenasso, Eugene
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); [Redacted]; Beall, John P (Edwards & Associates) [Contractor]
Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and [Redacted]

Hi Eugene,

I will call you, however, I believe the original agenda still stands. My role is simply to present the SP-7 pipeline information. [Redacted] would speak to any of your Stanpac questions based on the information he shared in his prior emails (attached). Yesterday, [Redacted] confirmed he could not locate PG&E's copies of the attachments to Advice Letter #2, which you had requested. I'll request [Redacted] to search its records as well.

Agenda proposed for 11/5:

1. Stanpac overview (requested by CPUC)
 - a. pipeline system
 - b. corporate governance
 - c. management and operating agreement

2. Stanpac-7 Pipeline Project overview

- a. Purpose/need
- b. Scope
- c. Permitting and CEQA
- d. ROW / land issues
- e. Project execution / schedule

Thanks,

Redacted

This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the use of the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any information in this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately at the telephone number indicated above.

From: Cadenasso, Eugene [<mailto:eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 11:05 AM

To: Redacted

Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Redacted

Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and Redacted

Hi Karen,

One other thing. You sent a tentative agenda out with your meeting appointment. Could you

give me a call to finalize it?

Eugene

(415) 703-1214

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 10:21 AM
To: Cadenasso, Eugene
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and Chevron

Hi Eugene,

I believe [Redacted] is considered a representative of Stanpac, and will defer to him or Erik to confirm the PG&E Stanpac board members names.

[Redacted] Stanpac board member is [Redacted] who is based out of Houston. He works on behalf of [Redacted] as the Manager, Non-Operated Joint Ventures.

Yes, I will provide a portable projector for the presentation, and bring hardcopies as well.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the use of the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any information in this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately at the telephone number indicated above.

From: Cadenasso, Eugene [mailto:eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 10:16 AM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Subject: RE: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and [Redacted]

Hi Karen,

These are the Commission representatives that will attend the meeting:

Peter Allen: Legal Division, Attorney

Mary Jo Borak: Energy Division, Supervisor CEQA Section

Sunil Shori: Safety & Enforcement Division, Gas Safety Engineer

Richard Myers: Energy Division, Supervisor Natural Gas Section

Greg Reisinger: Energy Division, Analyst Natural Gas Section

Eugene Cadenasso: Energy Division, Analyst Natural Gas Section

Just a couple of other things in connection with the meeting:

With regard to the list you provided, is there a representative of StanPac that will attend?

Could you provide the names of the StanPac directors?

I recall that you will be able to bring the equipment to show the PowerPoint presentation – is

that correct?

Looking forward to meeting you.

Eugene

(415) 703-1214

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 11:25 AM
To: Cadenasso, Eugene
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Subject: Attendees for 11/5/13 meeting to discuss Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline, with PG&E and [Redacted]

Hi Eugene,

This email follows my voicemail today regarding proposed CPUC participants for the 11/5/13 meeting at 1p to discuss the Stanpac SP-7 Pipeline. We'd like to know who from CPUC is planning to attend, to ensure we have the correct representation by [Redacted] and PG&E.

At this time, the proposed [Redacted] attendees are as follows:

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted] person)
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

location uncertain)

Redacted

Connexsys Engineering PM/Engineer on behalf of

From PG&E, Erik Jacobson (Regulatory Relations) is working to confirm their participants. Erik is copied on this message.

In addition, I have prepared a presentation, however, it contains a graphic that is Chevron confidential information regarding our gas supply system to the refinery. If you agree, I propose to bring hardcopies to the meeting on Tuesday and will project the Powerpoint presentation as well.

Thanks,

Redacted

This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the use of the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any information in this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately at the telephone number indicated above.