

From: Shim, Gun
Sent: 11/15/2013 10:17:15 AM
To: Kajopaiye, Kayode (kayode.kajopaiye@cpuc.ca.gov); Wagoner, Donna L. (donna.wagoner@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); Chitadje, Charlotte (charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE:

Donna

Thank you again for the clarification – we were surprised with your conclusions on pages 9 and 10. This is why I believed if we had an opportunity to review our documents together; we may have been able to provide more clarity and also better understand UAFCB's perspectives.

I want to thank you and your team for the time you already spent with us and the audit recommendations that will improve our program.

Kind Regards,

Gun

From: Wagoner, Donna L. [mailto:donna.wagoner@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:57 AM
To: Shim, Gun; Kajopaiye, Kayode
Cc: Chitadje, Charlotte; Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Subject: RE:

Good Morning Gun,

Thank you for your comments. UAFCB indicated that we would get back to PG&E if we had any questions on the data that PG&E provided on October 21, 2013. The data was self explanatory and we did not have any questions. Please see our conclusions and recommendations on pages 9 and 10 of our report about the data that PG&E provided October 21st . If you have any questions, please let us know.

Thank-you,

Donna

Donna Wagoner

Program & Project Supervisor
Division of Water and Audits
Utility Finance, Audit and Compliance Branch
415-703-1942

From: Shim, Gun [GSS8@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 5:50 PM
To: Kajopaiye, Kayode
Cc: Wagoner, Donna L.; Chitadje, Charlotte; Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Subject: RE:

Kay

Thank you for re-sending – I got a copy from John. I did ask John why we did not get an opportunity to review our supporting documents with your team. I thought at our last meeting you kindly gave us 10 days to support our DVBE payments. We provided our documents and I understood we were going to meet to review them.

I am sorry if I got it wrong – I do think our supporting documents supported our report and provided good rationale. I hope we can still have an opportunity to review as the lessons learned can benefit all utilities with their understanding to be compliant.

Sincerely,

Gun

From: Kajopaiye, Kayode [<mailto:kayode.kajopaiye@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 1:45 PM
To: Shim, Gun
Cc: Wagoner, Donna L.; Chitadje, Charlotte
Subject: FW:

Hi Gun:

I understand that you did not receive the above report when it was sent out on October 31, 2013 at 3:50 PM. How come it did not come back as undeliverable? Sorry!

Here it's again.

From: Wagoner, Donna L.
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 12:36 PM
To: Kajopaiye, Kayode
Subject: FW:

Redacted from PG&E called Stephanie and was wondering when PG&E is going to get the report. Turns out there is a typo in the addresses when you sent it to PG&E. Gun's address isn't correct --- there isn't a "g" in the "pge" part. Did you want to resend it to him?

Donna Wagoner
Program and Project Supervisor

Division of Water and Audits
California Public Utilities Commission

Telephone: (415) 703-1942
Fax: (415) 703-2655

From: Kajopaiye, Kayode
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 3:59 PM
To: gss8@pe.com; BKC7@pge.com
Cc: j8hs@pge.com; Kahlon, Raminder; Wagoner, Donna L.; Chitadje, Charlotte
Subject:

Hi Brian/Gun:

Attached is UAFCB's compliance examination report (public version) of PG&E's Supplier diversity program sent to CPUC's Executive Director as required by D.11-05-019, page 33 and Ordering Paragraph 3.

I want to thank PG&E for its cooperation during and after the examination of PG&E's Supplier diversity program.

Kayode Kajopaiye, Chief

UAFCB - DWA

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>