

Kent W. Kauss Senior Director State Government Relations 1415 L Street, Suite 280 Sacramento, CA 95814

> (916) 386-5704 (916) 386-5720 Fax kwk3@pge.com

## MEMORANDUM

Date:	October 25, 2013
To:	Edward T. Bedwell
	Vice President, GovernmentRelations
From:	Kent Kauss
	Sr. Director, <b>State</b> rnmentRelations
Re:	2013 End of Year Legislative Summary

The first year of the 2013-2014 tiles is session has comeltonse with the governor taking final action on the 896 bills dapporting legislature 13 m 20 be governor signed 800 bills, while vetoing 96. During the year, a2,12056 newly bills we teo chuced in the regular session.

During the regular sessions, table GovernmentRelations (SEGR) team tracked 414 measures and took a formal position on 22 of thempo Att correanother, we sample ight different bills which were all ereit befared or amended to remove our opposition. We requested the governor sign eight bills of which six were assuged and two were vetoed. More than in years past, SGR maximized the lationships with thit the spato support and oppose bills without negatively impact the given ships in categories.

Building on advocacy from last session, an PCB& Ecolition of stakeholders engaged heavily on residential tiered rate an efformatic cessfully garnered the gos emignature on AB 327 (Perea) to allow rate incine also server tiers, including liferent a Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program, and give the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) the authority to create a fixed Tome angeogotiated bill also constadineed tive for the CPUC to determine the next iteration most energy metering program.

The CPUC'sgovernance continuedfatoe legislative tissoy, which manifested itself into various provisions enacted tasofpathe State Budget (SB 96) such as limiting the scope and budget of the <sup>st</sup>2Clentury Energy Systems (CES-21) program, **usest** ing the Division of Ratepayer Advocate (DRA), requirizero-based budgeting, **paold**ibiting commissioners from sitting on non-state bocarted by the CPUC.Staff of an AssemblyBudget Subcommitteeproposed that all afindepsenalties levied on Pa3&aE result of the San Bruno incident would be deposited started coffers. SGRopposed and defeated this proposal which aimed to maximize the General Fund benefit of any fine or penalty.

State GovernmentRelations also debiased to constrain nucestate ensing (SB 418), require automatic transfer of clean energo, aldaons the utility (SB iB 7) and allow cost shifts to nonparticipating combined heat and power (CHP) customers (AB 427). PG& Epartnered with labor and other stakeholdeerns utce legislations tables is a community renewables program

would not shift costs or faccillintate relation pass between developers and our customers (SB 43). Newlegislation enacted to recognal attach sport assign (SB 656), justeleastric service providers are on the edestric, was a success for customine and protection from fraud. The team also worked behind the scenes one iffcorp 39 (Prop. 39) implementation (SB 73) by advocating the advantages of leveraging string utili programs.

Attached is a summaryof the priority beines in we have a summary of the priority beines in the summary of intereests tables the summary of the summary of intereests tables the summary of the summary of

## Bill Summariesby Subject Matter

# <u>Rates</u>

AB 327 (Perea) — Support — Chapter 611Th is comprehensive electrical corporation policy bill provides for reform refsittmential rateigndes development of a new structure for renewable distributed generifationities, development is fribution resorbane, and allowance for a higher RenewablePortforhiddardS(HEPS). This bill statested residential rate reform bill by eliminating the restrictation selative rate increases there lowest tiers and CARE customers but was expanded pursuant is to ussions with the indistration to address the other issues. The CPUOs provided scroet dod it to implement the provisions of AB 327 with specific dates included for netheteneingy (NEM) reform and allowance for the adoption of fixed charges for traside lectric se Screes igning message (p. 15).

AB 922 (Patterson) — Support — Two-year-billhis measure would have provided investorownedutilities (IOUs) the statutboy ity to verify the income of customers enrolled in the CAREprogram irrespective of how they becameen Extending regulations and statute allow customers to enroll in CAREbased on their inectogriteribity to receipport from various categorical programs. Existing law countationsing provisions gobiletly which led to the introduction of this measure. The bill purveaced dby the authode for ence to provisions found in AB 327 relating to the CARE program.

SB 743 (Steinberg) — Support if Amended Chapter 386 — This measure would have reformed the residential ratterestrand provide for increases to four percent annually for CAREcustomers based on the percentage chian the Consumer Price Index using the Social Security Cost of Living Adjustment. The bill wcæsdiatrotthe request of The Utility Reform Network (TURN) and other consumer groups as an abilities to AB 327. After reaching an agreement with TURNet al. on AB 327, the CAREcontents of the bill were removed and replaced with language pertain to agreement Kings.

## Nuclear

SB 418 (Jackson) — Oppose – Failed — This measured have placed additional reporting and regulatory requirements one an up be wergeneration facilithets sought a license extension. This measure included these provotes plant provisions multiple times throughout the process in an attempt to circum verifice or mearings and move the concept forward. The bill was defeated following the announce of lots are San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) when PG&E, organized labor anothers expressed opposition.

# <u>Budget</u>

AB 85 (Budget) — Chapter 24— Amongnumments other provisions, but digset trailer bill contains a five percent cost outditistingent (COLA) for California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) redispier biscussions continue at the CPUC on whether that COLA allows for an increase in CARE ates.

AB 101 (Budget)—Chapter 354—Among numerous other provisions, this tb**tradighe**r bill includes \$24.5 million for three eleventicle rebate programminastered by the California Air Resoruces Board (CARB).

AB 110 (Budget) — Chapter 20— Thisl bitbontains the 2013-14 Budget Act. PG&Etracked the transfer of \$500 million from the GrGeashReadsection Fund to the General Fund as a loan from unallocated cap and trade recordinges. The budget also provides \$577,000 in auction revenue to the Office roofnetionatal Health Hazard Assessmentto identify disadvantaged communities theat benefit from investments of cap and trade revenues. In addition, two positions and \$103n millauction revenue are provided to CARB enhance oversight of auction activitibies bill also enacts the chudget of the CPUC totaling \$1.3 billion and 1,045.9 positions. The CPUC experimental for additional personnel for highspeed rail safety and gas safetD,RArebeived approval for five positions for energy financial examiners, water auditomaturent gas safety workloadly,Finthetis bill requires a fiscal audit to be conducted Officithe of State Audits and Evaluations (OSAE) within the Department of Finance to review the CPUC'sprograms. The scope of the audit is currently b defined through discussions between the CRUSCA field is required to be completed by April 1, 2014.

SB 73 (Budget) — Chapter 29— This budgeteller bill takeness the implementing language for Proposition 39, largely indoingorthe governor's proposal to limit funding to K-14 and base K-12 funding on average daily attendance. aTse battles as furbeding for disadvantaged areas, revolving loans throughal it formia Energy Commission (CEC) and the California Conservation Corps.

SB 85 (Budget) — Chapter 35— This budtgaeiter bill takoos manyprovisions, including parameters for utility relocegateenments with the Highesiop Rail Authority (HSRA). Based on PG&E's negotiations with HSRA, the provisions may have no impact.

SB 96 (Budget) — Chapter 356— This measuresfeesred to as theourcess Budget Trailer Bill and includes serveral provisionesd redathe CPUC, including: a reduction in funding and scope for the CES-21 program to \$35 millicon before curity and gnite griation work; reform of DRA; restrictions on the CPUC's ability bliston erson-profit entities trictions on disbursement of settlement funds; and a erequirement of various CPUC reform efforts proposed by January 1, 2015. The bill provides the compromise of various CPUC reform efforts proposed by members of the budget subcommittees of the ostenate and assembly Seemator Jerry Hill. The bill also establing presting requirements for the action's tration of the Electric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) Program. The bill appropriates funds to the Office of the State Treasurer to operate atyPrApsessed Clean Energy (PACE) risk mititgation program. Supplemental Report Language— The CPUOs required provide a findlential report to the legislature by April 1, 2014, on each preimdistigation or judicial and those hint is a party involving claims arising from the energy crisissues arose as a result of CPUO actions to spend energy crisisgation funds received as plant NoR Energy, Inc. settlement which resulted in the payment of \$20 million resultes in elective hicle charging infrastructure.

AssemblyBudget SubcommitteeNo. 3 on Resources and Transportation — The subcommittee staff madea recommendation that all finesties ruleat the CPU Orders PG& Ho pay, surrender or transfer as a result of thein State Brunshall be deposited into state coffers. The subcommittee discussion focused on Generae Viennue loss associanted the San Bruno related infrastructure Space all already madedue to assertions of the corporate tax implications. PG& Evorked with letjixed a leadership to prevent affhere commendation from being put for a vote and any link between the San Bruno fine/penalty proceeding and budget discussions.

## Climate Change

AB 26 (Bonilla) — Two-year bill — Thlisreboluired theatinery projects funded by the GreenhouseGas Reduction Fund (AB 32 unallocateodvanade revenues) be considered public works funds and utilize skilled Theatbook also required statutes to develop apprenticeship standards for greenhouse gas (GetGu)ction activities.

AB 153 (Bonilla) — Held/Dead — This bill nbacegiaa discussion of how to expand the supply of offsets and would have required CARB to adopt a process to approve new offset protocols, prioritize protocols invisibate benefits auriderequinual reporting.

AB 245 (Grove) — Failed — This bill whoaveld required the destern Climate Initiative, Inc. (WCI, Inc.) to adhere to Bagleryek Oppen Meeting Act laws.

AB 278 (Gatto) — Two-year bill — Thils requires CARB consider the broad environmental impacts of the low carbon fuel standard (LCFS). PG&Emonitored this bill for possible impacts to the AB 32 cap and trade program.

AB 284 (Quirk) — Held/Dead — This bill woundedate a "Road to 2050 Board" (Board) convened by the Chair of CARBwithden responsibility to develop tatoeptoe legislature and governor every two years beginning in January 2016 on the impacts of global warmingon wat supply, public health, agricocottansteline and forestry. The membersof the Board would include the president of the CPUC, chaOcEO f, athete the Business and ConsumerServices, Food and Agriculture, Natural Resourcements and Resourcements and Agency Secretaries.

AB 416 (Gordon) — Held/Dead — This bill w/dualve required CARB to establish a program to provide grants and other financial assistigatibute tobocal government recipients for the purpose of developing and implementing local greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) reduction projects.

AB 527 (Gaines) — Vetoed — This bill whowake repealed the exemption from the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act (Bagley-Keene) enact 260 1/2n for the WCI, Inc. and provides that a contract between the state W2ah, dinc. shall be subjected ito beyouthe state au Stete rveto message(p. 16).

SB 34 (Calderon) — Held/Dead — This bill dwhoaute required the CARB to adopt carbon capture and storage methodology by January 1, 2016.

SB 497 (Walters) — Failed — This whooluld have required Chreader Beto allocate free allowances to the University of Californial formanial CaState University systems.

SB 605 (Lara) — Two-year bill — Thiswbilld have required the AB 32 Scoping Plan update to prioritize in-state regionations of copollutants, etdopollitants, in-state offets and creation of a back-stop plan. PG&Eopposedtithe California Council for Environmental and EconomidBalance(CCEEB) and assisted CCEEB vocacy by analysing the negative impacts of the bill repending advocacy materials.

SB 726 (Lara) — Chapter 420— This bill rensequendiditional transpareincy/VCI, Inc., transactions and expenditures aut The attempted to amend the atteill in the session with objectionable lanaguage pertaining fiset beligibility. PG& Exposed through CCEEB and the California Chamberof Commercern these objectionable provisions. Amidvast opposition, the author removed the offset related provisions.

#### Corporate and Cybersecurity

AB 801 (Brown) — Two-year bill — Thisl buildould have required -fieconrous metal recyclers to obtain proof of ownership before payintegrified r threat was marked in such a way as to reasonably identify the owner.

AB 841 (Torres) — Support — Vetoed This bill would/enarequired non-ferrous metal recyclers to mail check payments to a physical PG & Bresported the check payment to a physical address required by AB 841. It have collecterred metaelft, protecting the public from the hazards associated with metael dtset ft protecting PG & Ethe cost of repair and replacement See veto message 17).

AB 909 (Gray) — Support — Vetoed — This bill whould created tate Metal Theft Task Force. Any monies collected could have beby toxes a law enforcement for the exclusive purpose of deterring, investigating, and go roses but theft and real arecycling cringes veto message(p. 17).

AB 1274 (Bradford) — Chapter 597— The initial version of the bill would have required utilities to develop as ds for third party develop prograd vanced meteog infrastructure. We worked with Opower, Technet and other third appapitication developersurfice at the current version of the bill which portote integers for customer data handled by certain businesses.

#### Distributed Generation

AB 217 (Bradford) — Chapter 609— This boundary boundary boundary programs until December 31, 2021. The bill wilder all ewcontinuation of PG& Elowincome solar programs without interuption.

AB 415 (Garcia) — Chapter 612— This biladeborns eligible testoqools and accredited listing agencies that bleeliegiquipment can use to be eligitable for a transfer the eligitation of the second secon

AB 427 (Mullin) — Oppose— Failed — Thisl billould have created an exemption from nonbypassable charges for bottom cycle wassedvesty CHP generation. PG&Eopposed the bill as a cost shift to non-participating customers.

AB 792 (Mullin) — Chapter 534— Thorist prohibits a local juomişduorttil January 1, 2020, from levying a utility user tax (UUTopoosutheption of electricogingenerated by a clean energy resource defined as reneevograble ration or a renevocable on-renewable Bloom fuel cell.

AB 796 (Muratsuchi) — Chapter 617— This keiltends the G-EGtpansiff rate established under AB 1110 (Fuentes, Chapter 508, Statutes toof 2000 B) oom fuel cell customers until January 1, 2016. PG& Edid not position dh the ubitold the author and sponsor any further extensions of this subsidy would have stos the docest shift and ensure the systems would reduce greenhouse gasses.

AB 1014 (Williams) — Two-year bill — PG&Eopposed the original version of AB 1014 which would have imposed a multi-billional dcost on our customers to pay for a communitysolar procurement program. The current version of istheobsilistent with our Green Tariff filing before the CPUC. In deference to SB 43, the estuttions bill then Senate Energy, Utilities and CommunicationsCommittee.

AB 1228 (V. Perez) — Two-year bill — This mexasulate have expanded the cility size for fuel cell generation facilities alify that unquer the NEM program from 1 MW to 3 MW. The bill was dropped by the author when opposition cects that a number of parties.

AB 1295 (Hernandez) — Two-year bill — Sponsbye Southern California Edison, AB 1295 attempts to create a communitysolar pinor grading an option forect direlationship between the developer and the customer 95 AB add significant opposition and was never heard in the Senate Energy, Utilities mmaunic Stions Committee as SB 43 became the vehicle for the common bar legislation.

SB 43 (Wolk) — RemovedOpposition — Chapter 413 — SB 43 started as a high priority oppose bill that would have schilfteds of costs for comunity solar onto non-participating customers and facilitated reliated inships between dependent on the final version creates a 600 MW community solar program paid for by participating customers. PG& was neutral on the final version.

## <u>Electric</u> Operations

AB 66 (Muratsuchi) — RemovedOpposition — Chapte8 — This billequires electrical corporations to annually reptionability data byakeses, post the spents on their website and remediate areas with most out Rages sopposed prior versions that contained requirements to rank order beyrerestiability but watsablet these provisions removed.

SB 48 (Hill) — Two-year bill — This meassuide whave required peer review of research and development projects proposed by the CPNel@ fcost over \$1.5 million and require investorownedutilities to file threades wearch plans detailinges etalsch proposalisd the costs to ratepayers. SB 699 (Hill) — Two-year bill — This woodle have required burdensome reporting of costs associated with the triedec distribution system reporting would not have provided useful information to the public. PG& Evorked with the water the bill in the Assembly Utilities and Commerce Committee.

## Energy Efficiency and DemandResponse

AB 29 (Williams) — Two-year bill — Onseew/feral bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have appropstiated million per year to phughier education projects from Prop 39 funds.

AB 39 (Skinner)—Two-year bill—One of several bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have required a negotoccompetitive grant prodensisticated by the CECfor K-14 schools. In addition, this bill would attend ve20 explains of Prop. 39 funds to the CEC's Energy Conservation Assistance Account (ECAA) form terrest revolving Idamis. bill also proposed that some underdetermined amount of beudes licated to job training and workforce development and PACE programs. The bill attens lamended to extend the ECAA program from 2018 to 2022.

AB 114 (Salas) — Two-year bill — Onseewferal bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have requiredState Labor and Workforce Agency to develop a workforce training program utilizing up to 9.6 percent of Prop. 39 funds. The bill was ultimately amended to require workforce reporting for Prop. 39.

AB 122 (Rendon)—Support—Two-year bill — This will would have established a commercial building financing mechanismat the CECfor energy efficiency or renewable generation retrofits by utilizeinge boends to lower interactests offered to building owners. PG&Esupported this mechanismas another to calltearmative to on-bill repayment (OBR) proposals with automatic transfer. PG&Eworked clobsethye variation to keep the financing projects on the customer side of theardmeeteroversize customer generation.

AB 270 (Bradford) — Chapter 610— This bill retupe in ExPUCto create an internet portal of energy efficiency measures, savings and expendioticate isonby While PG& Edid not take a position on the bill, SGR worked closely e avait though and committee staff to address customer privacy, trade secret and proprifetiant as provided under current between the secret and proprifetiant (p. 18).

AB 572 (Atkins) — Two-year bill — Thiswbilld have required the CPUC develop a protocol to trade offsets generated froeffiencengy. The bill was never heard in committee.

AB 719 (Hernandez) — RemovedDpposition/Support — Chapter 616— PG& Expposed the original version of AB 719 which would improve a seed significant costs for street lights on non-participating customers. The cuerresing of AB 719 is consistent hew is threet light proposal in our General Rate Cases particles the CPU Cand IOUs to give local governments a way to reduce their utilitys by replacing inefficient in ghost result energy efficient street lights.

AB 834 (Williams) — Two-year bill — Thills would have expanded the CEC's administrative enforcement authority to include energy tean efficiency standards buildings. The bill was later amended to areas postsecondary education.

AB 905 (Ting) — Two-year bill — Thiswoodlld have established an E-covenant to act as an energy efficiency finance mechanispropearty's land title. billThevas never set for a hearing.

SB 37 (De Leon)—Oppose unless amended—Failed This bill would have authorized the CPUC o establish an OBR program with automatic transfer of loans for energy efficiency, demandresponse and distributed generations.prdPG&Evigourously opposed the automatic transfer provisions, any permanent customer fundeenhoused the extension of the program to distribute and offered amendments remove opposition.

SB 39 (De Leon) — Chapter 775 — One of several bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have implemented a competitive grant program at the Office of Public School Construction for K-12 schoolsbill fives later amended to extend the ECAA program from 2018 to 2022. The entire contents of the neithowed and replaced with langauge to address public sector pensions.

SB 64 (Corbett) — Two-year bill — Oseovéral bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have implemented a grant proof mam CHEC with all public iessitiatigible. The will was amended to remove these proviasions reate to be an Technology Investment Account under the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund.

### Governance, Regulatory and Oversight

AB 436 (Jones-Sawyer) — Two-year bill — Thiswbulld have allowed the application of the Comparative Fault doctrinenterse condemnationcases allowing dived reduced awards if an earlier, more favorable settlement was offered.

AB 458 (Wieckowski)— Two-year bill — This would have prohibited taxpayers from claiming a tax deduction for punitive damages.

AB 995 (Frazier) — Two-year bill — Thisyobuild have provided for reform of the intervenor compensation program by clarifying provision storellate definition of a "customer", contribution for public beneditplaced in of the efforts by the DRA. The bill faced significant opposition from integrations and was held in committee in order to allow for the completion of the audit by the Californ A a disstate which was published in July of Se2013. section on State Auditor activity (p. 14).

SB 176 (Galgiani) — Held/Dead — This woodluld have increased public agencies interactaction with interactaction during ethelopiment of regulations through the Administrative Procedures Act.

SB 291 (Hill) — Chapter 601— This measure requires the CPUC to develop and implement a gas safety enforcement program by July 1, 2001 **4** lenthic safety enforcement program by January 1, 2015. The programs are meant to provide the CPUC staff authority to monitor, trainvestigate and is stations for safety violation SPUC almeady has an ongoing proceeding on this matter.

SB 611 (Hill) — Dropped— This measure would have reformed the DRAat the CPUOby requiring them to develop their own buplopester fortation to the Department of Finance, allowing them to seek rehearing of CPUO decisively assseek judicial review in the court of appeal or the State SupremeCourt. The measure proval solution and amended to another subject after approval of SB 96 which contained some DRA reform provisions.

### Land Use, Siting, Environmental

AB 52 (Gatto) — Two-year bill — The origviension of the bill would have given Native Americantribes veto authority over **latencisiuses**. The current bill could have caused interested parties **thenghea** the environmental mitigation at Topock. PG&Eworked through CCEEBs amendthe bills most onerous provisions.

AB 227 (Gatto) — Chapter 581— This bothanges the enforcement provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Prop. 65) by limiting recovery by private citizen enforcement action for deptes of exposure to cheoraiocals grander or birth defects or other reproductive inhactic umstances when the fatiduperovide clear and reasonable warnings has been remedied and a penale penale penale. While the governor had hoped to pass Prop. 65 reform, an agreement among stakeholders for meaningful action could not be reached. PG&Efollowed these rtegrostiathrough the California Chamberof Commerce.

AB 380 (Dickinson) — Two-year bill — This toribuld have insested public notification during the development process including reducitrioning c posting of CEQAdocuments.

AB 515 (Dickinson) — Two-year bill — This two build have created Environmental and Land Use Courts for CEQAcases. PG& Ediscussed convetthe sthe author, who decided to hold the bill in the Assembly Judiciary Committee.

AB 516 (Brown) — Two-year bill — This worduld have created an incidental take process for commonand abundant species of birds fedectaincal transmission prediated to the implementation of the RPS. The sponsor, Southern California Edison, is working with the Department of Fish and Wildlife to address ethes administratively.

AB 823 (Eggman)— Two-year bill — This bill would have amended the CEQA eview process to require replacement of agric laltuds al for projects that dandeto non-agricultural uses.

AB 1026 (Quirk) — Two-year bill — This woodlid have limited the Prop. 65 warning label to substances known to cause camcereproductive toxicity.

AB 1323 (Mitchell) — Failed — Thiswoodld have prohibited hydraufracturing in California.

AB 1330 (J. Perez) — Two-year bill — bThis would have doubled fines for environmentalrelated violations is and vantaged communities as definingenthe CalEnviroScreen. PG&E opposed through the California Chamberof Commerce and CCEEB and provided policy analysis to each organization. The bill was placenda control the file as it noot up the removed the votes on the Senate Floor. SB 123 (Corbett) — Held/Dead — This widuld have created Environmental and Land Use Courts for CEQA cases.

SB 387 (Wright) — Two-year bill — This wooduld have required the State Water Resources Control Board to allow netectgeneration facilitinessloying once-through cooling to continue to operate pursuant to federal law. Then bridd useds in recotigon of the extensive regulation of such facilities under feder £0 all form i and's policy that could impact 19 power plants throughout the state antical brid tyre of electric supply.

SB 691 (Hancock) — Two-year bill This bill would have authorized a civil penalty of up to \$100,000 (currently \$10,000) four atox emission discharges. The bill had poorly defined terms that could have subjectneanyentities to exambipenalties. PG& Example with the author to narrow the scope of the bill. The final/vasy experies and by the broader business community, including CCEEB.

SB 731 (Steinberg) — Two-year-bilThis bill was intendedeta vehicle for substantive CEQAreform. PG&Eworked with the Silicola ley Leadership Group, CCEEB and other business groups but legislation densities could not tagree betantive CEQA changes.

SB 735 (Wolk) — Two-year bill — Tbible would have requimeditispecies conservation plans that are adopted, under development **planfistutge** be consistent the Delta Plan. The bill was never set for a hearing.

#### Natural Gas Operations

AB 650 (Nazarian) — Chapter 615— This briedquires the Director of the Department of General Services (DGS) to operate at the Gas Services Program which would procure natural gas commodity service for any oity, city and county or any other local governmental body and nonprofit hospitals and eduir attitude ions who are interested in purchasing their natural upgaties from a competitive derovil the bill also requires state agencies, with the exception of the Department of Water Resources, to use the DGS for the procurement of non-core gas purchases rathemate thrage into such arrangements on their own. Under existing law, the DGS has this same auther the grast ucommodity service from competitive providers.

AB 811 (Lowenthal) — Support — Chapter -2-50This bill will provide policymakers with a clearer picture of damageto underfonciuntides by standard inceingeporting and making that information publically available sup Police the final versionell as a prior version that gave California the tablihiting uire additional raction teducation following dig violations.

AB 1257 (Bocanegra) — Chapter 749— Thmiseasure, which was sponsored by Sempra Energy, requires the CECto incluide integrated rogynePolicy Repder(R) strategies to maximizebenefits obtained from natural eglaid. The quires interlasion of the information every four years beginning wite Parkies stued by November 1, 2015.

SB 656 (Wright) — Support — Chapter 604Th is measure requires the CPUC to develop a regulatory oversightgrano for Core Transport Agents (STRA) lar to what is provided for Electric Service Providers bill Thevas introduced at PC educates after we received a significant increase in the number of cours to land the service practices of CTA's.

### Procurement and RenewablePortfolio Standard

AB 177 (V. Perez) — Oppose Two-year bill This bill would have recast and revised the electric utility procurement process references without limitate bill be procurement targets. While the author's states to go abrdinate be bill as unnecesses any troop undefined terms, the bill would have created a layer of uncertainty and market dometus ibn.was later amended to require 51 percent RPSby 2030. PG& Edid not take it is oppo on the RPS provisions. The bill was never set for a hearing.

AB 323 (Chesbro) — Held/Dead — This build have eliminated recycling credit for green waste used in landfill operations. PG&Embristobeid for possible biomassprocurement implications.

AB 448 (Quirk) — Support — Two-year bill This measure was introduced as a placeholder to discuss reform of the RPSprogram. The iauthor cerned about integration of intermittent renewable resources and the impacts our **OldS** ions, reliability and consumer costs.

AB 762 (Patterson) — Support — Two-year-billhis measure would have provided that all hydroelectric generation factivitätisty as renewable resources threadestate's RPSprogram. The bill failed passage in the Assemblyabtdil@coessmerceCommittee as has historically happened when attempts have been made to treat roallectrived facilities the same.

AB 793 (Gray) — Dropped— This measure contaipeed/isions that mirror those found in SB 591 which was signed into law. The bill provtinces/Metmated IrrigatioDistrict (ID) is not required to procure additectingable renewable resourcescedéivies more than 50 percent of its annual retail sales fororm highstroelectric electricitifies regardodefs the size of those facilities. The bill was introd pared due to the MeDodedNiewExchequer Damand the use of the generation following expiration of a contract with PG&E.Weattempted to expand the provisions of the provide PG&E greater discovert with our hydrotedies facilities but were unsuccessful.

AB 923 (Bigelow) — Two-year bill — The sponsolf seofill wanted Calaveras and Tuolomne Counties to have the abilitigal to be deral Preference Robineetly to customers. We worked with the author on othe tegenerate to spur economic development.

AB 1258 (Skinner) — Two-year bill — Theterasure would have required the CEC, in consultation with the CPUC and other enoutities plete an analysis of the potential use of existing hydroelectfraicilities and pumped storage terresongurces. The biplecifically called out the Helms Pumped Storage Power Plant as well as the Balsam Meadow, Oroville, Castaic and San Luis pumped storage facilities for review.

AB 1350 (Hernandez) — Two-year bill — sThbill would have required the CPUCto reopen direct access by July 1, 2014. PG& Ework ead oxiathition, including totabaont jculate our concerns to the authodraamo id a hearing.

SB 548 (Roth) — Two-year bill — This billd hwavelcreated an exemption from RPS rules on in-state and out-of-state rempenduor "buckets" for small youdwinded utilities. The bill was never set for a hearing.

SB 591 (Cannella) — Chapter 520— The bill provided seth selected ID is not required to procure additional eligible remessatules if it receives than 50 percent of its annual retail sales from its hydromelectric electraticilities regardless solve the facilities. The bill was introduced in part dure the D's New Exchequer Damand the use of the generation following the expiration on too facta with PG&E. We attempted to expand the provisions of the bill to provide reference but were unsuccessful.

SB 674 (Corbett) — Two-year bill — Thisyobuild have required the CPUC o create a special rate to compensate electr generation this test energy storage.

SB 760 (Wright) — Two-year bill — Introduced last there exists of thesisten, this bill would have recast and revised the RPSLeasesCoTest procurement preference for baseload to emphasizereliability and favor procurement of geothermal energy. PG&Eworked with the author on language that was more palatabre vecantly eset asides and achieve a balanced procurement approach. Given the multiple stake populates, the author decided not set the bill for a hearing.

SB 804 (Lara) — Vetoed— This bill whoavlet added "conversionerchnologies other than controlled combustion" to thetionerform biomass conversioners were message (p. 18).

#### Transportation and Electric Vehicles

AB 8 (Perea) — Chapter 401— This bill extends for tegistrations, and tire sales to fund various air quality improvement programs and programs that support alternative fuel vehicles. PG&Esupport tebro AB 16 the California rEdecTransportation Coalition (CalETC).

AB 220 (Ting) — Support — Two-year bill hisT bill would hparves vided a partial exemption from sales taxes for the purchase of low-emission vehicles. PG& Esupported AB 22 through the CalETC. The bill wides of the cost concerns.

AB 266 (Blumenfield) — Chapter 405— This bill rtsuptone deployment of electric vehicles by allowing white high occupancy vehicle (HOV) sylebliced to use HOV lanes with a single occupant from January 1, 2015, to 1, January PG&E supported AB 266 through the CalETC.

AB 529 (Lowenthal) — Chapter 500— This **bridikessignificant** changes to the Basic Inspection of Teminals Program including inspection and fee schedules. PG&Evorked with the author to protect the **PIG&E** from increased costs.

AB 1077 (Muratsuchi) — Held/Dead — This builduld have reduced the amount of sales tax and vehicle license fees purthease of electrivehicles.

AB 1092 (Levine) — Chapter 410— This brie quires the development of building code standards for electrincicle/echarging stations multimential projects.

AB 1314 (Bloom) — Two-year bill — This bidould have required PG& Eto contract out for bracket inspections on naturate higgales. PG& Eworked with the hoard to understand the implications of the bill and avoid a hearing.

AB 1324 (Skinner) — Two-year bill This bill would have allowed AlamedaCounty to increase motor vehicle fees with utaores by the AlamedaCounty Board of Supervisors.

SB 11 (Pavley) — Two-year bill — Thiscobritatined the exact samelanguage as AB 8 which extends fees on vehicle matigoists, boat registra, and tire safets dovarious air quality improvement programs and programs that support hattere fuel vehicles. AB 8 was signed by the governor and SB 11 was held in the assembly.

SB 286 (Yee) — Chapter 414— This bill **steptper**deployment of electric vehicles by allowing green HOV sticker vehicles to usered SW with a single occupant from January 1, 2015, to January 1, 2019.

SB 359 (Corbett) — Support — Chapter 415— Thlisphoilvides \$30 million dollars for clean vehicle rebate programs.

SB 454 (Corbett) — Chapter 418— Thispboillides a variety of consumerprotections for electric vehicle ownelcodiing access to public changing ructure and disclosure of charging locations and pricing.

#### Miscellaneous

AB 6 (Gorell) — Held/Dead — This bitty in ally would alve increased emergency preparedness by providing a tax foor redent for expenses related in the provide a tax credit for expenses related in the formative provide a tax credit for expenses related in the formative provide a tax credit on the amended bill.

AB 214 (Skinner) — Chapter 498— This bill retipeirsecretary atesto establish procedures for voting during a state of emergency.

AB 340 (Bradford) — Vetoed— This bill whoaveld required the CPUCto establish a program to encourage the use of women, minaomithyd is abled veeterbusiness enterprises (WMDVBEs) n activities administered through the EPIC programmeto message(p. 16).

AB 366 (Holden) — Two-year bill — The orgiveration of the bill would have complicated our supplier diversity program and harmegoletxiversitise suppliersex.phyanding the definition to include companies based on the makteen prof boards and shareholders. PG&Eworked with the author to removemblest onerous provisions.

AB 628 (Bocanegra) — Chapter 741— Thisl, bislponsored by SempraEnergy, provides a framework for the development of energy emaintal plans between port hand or districts and investor-owned utilities, publicly-owitiness and Community Choice Aggregators in order to reduce air emissions and percomote ic development of the distributed signing message(p. 15).

AB 869 (Medina) — Oppose — Two-year bill This measure was sponsored by the Utility Workers of America and was introduced follow inigane Sandy to addressive workforce levels to respond to emergency situations!. Worked bhave requigess and electric corporations to develop and publishto please ond to emergencies was tintended to increase the size of the union workforce. The bid peed by dthe author rative ility opposition was presented along with existing meen time for the development of similar plans.

AB 874 (Williams) — Two-year bill — Thhesasure would have prohibited investor-owned utilities from recovering aniyn coorsted as a result softingessoir deterring the organizing of employees in labor unions. The bill was spontise recelling fornia Statsociation of Electrical Workers and the Comabif California Utility Employees.

AB 1073 (Torres) — Two-year bill — Thissume awould have required the development of universal identification badge ist for worklers to be used subtacking access to disaster sites. The bill was sponsored by the Communications Workers of America and was dropped when opposition from utilities and other organized bill about the bill was unnecessary.

SB 121 (Evans) — Failed — This booiduld have imposed additional burdensomedisclosure on corporate political booitories. The bill was opposed business coalition including the California Chamberof Commerceand failed passage in committee.

SB 241 (Evans)—Held/Dead—This bill would have imposed a 9.9 percent per barrel oil severance tax.

SB 362 (Padilla) — Support — Chapter 5676n is bill was a response to voting problems experienced by PG& Eand otherilityt employees during etspeon rise to Hurricane Sandy. SB 362 gives the governor and the secrestance of the abilitye statistical procedures that will allow people to vote where add assist in out-of restature disasters.

#### State Auditor Activity

Intervenor Compensation On July 16, 2012, Assemblymembellenry T. Perea submitted a request to the Joint Legeis Autiduit Committee (JLAC) to approve an audit of the CPUC's intervenor compensation program. The requires tep a specific scope and task which was approved by the JLAC. On July 23, 2013, the Castian Auditor erasts of their audit (Report 2012-118) that concludes the provement administrative knows as the commission has generally awarded compensation to intervenion intervenions cordance with state law."

<u>AB 327 (Perea)</u>

To Membersof the California State Assembly:

I amsigning AssemblyBill 327.

This comprehensiverate reform legislation provables rithe Public tules i Commission (CPUC) with the necessary authority address current created by ratequities, protect low-income energy users and maintain robustives centre renewable energy investments.

Specifically, the bill gives the CarUthCority to craft a new indectator usture while increasing statutory discounts for qualificent network to mers. It also requires the electric utilities to develop to distant infrastructures to the ansure that epager dollars are being utilized in the most efficience based by. Finally, the above time lear that California's 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard is a floor, not a ceiling.

As the CPUC considers rules regagnizing difathering of net metering customers, I expect the Commission to ensure that customers who set ovcike under net metering to reaching the statutory net metering cap on or before 1) Julyare, p200 tected uthout set rules for the expected life of their systems.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 628 (Bocanegra)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I amsigning AssemblyBill 628.

This bill authorizes handborort districts to dervetgp managementplans that at a minimum include measures developie bintly with an electroic approximation of a prodowing delectric gas utility.

In order for these plans to be **typode** here **soive** in reducingeraissions, enhancing energy efficiency, and promoting economic development, **tsheoupldrts** onsult with all public and private partners in objuic hind ependent energy providers, demandresponse companies, and distributed generation companies.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

# AB 340 (Bradford)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning AssemblyBill 340 without my signature.

This bill requires the PubitiesUtiCommission o establip rogram to encourage the use of women, people of color and disabled an-owned businesses for projects funded by the Electric Program Investment Charge.

This bill inadvertently collategiums ge that would jeopardize cal renewable programs funded by this charge.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 527 (Gaines)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning AssemblyBill 527 without my signature.

This bill requires vatrianussparency and accountabiliting sacof the Western Climate Initiative, Incorporated (WCI, Inc.), admictiliting alrisbody providing yices that support the State's Cap-and-Trade program. This non-indecessary as WCI, Inc already meets the open meeting, public records and aure-individuements of this bill.

In order to ensure continuescharency, I have sign **3265** Bhat will provide ongoing accountability without the frisk volous litigatives sented by this measure.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

# <u>AB 841 (Torres)</u>

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning AssemblyBill 841 without my signature.

This bill would require a junk dealedeor toeomakepayments for the purchase of metal materials only through checks mailed to the seller.

The theft of nonferrous metals has reached epidemic proportions not only in California, but across the United States. In an effort to provibilizent this signed four labels year to prevent more theft. Existing law the quire seller waits draryes before receiving payment for metal materials, a written record reason of the name, driver has number, license plate number, thumbprint of the seller, cognical path pothotvideo of the seller and the material being sold. How much more do you need?

What's really missing today is robust enforfrcentrehatws. SB 485, which I have signed, shall help do that.

For these reasons I amunablertothis bill.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

<u>AB 909 (Gray)</u>

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 909 without my signature.

This bill requires the Departubuest tice of to establish ettate Wheft Task Force Program to provide grants to lowalen fabric ement agencies appropriate to enforce metal theft and related recycling laws.

This bill creates a new enforcement effortide with fixing a funding source. Today I signed SB 485, which does provide a furse binger for greater enforcement within the existing infrastructure. More can certage indegree, but let's domuisted ble funding base.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

### <u>SB 804 (Lara)</u>

To the Membersof the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 804 without my signature.

This bill would expand the existing tion of biomass conversion to include non-combustion thermal, chemical, ohogo incal processes.

While I agree with the intent **b**f laberbinute amendments made the bill overly complicated and unworkable.

Therefore, I am directing the Depart Resonance, Recycling an excloring in conjunction with stakeholders, to devolve provide approach that wapped to all biomass facilities irrespective of the technologies used.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

Letter to the Assembly Journal

### AB 270 (Bradford)

September 12, 2013

E. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room3196 Sacramento, California

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I would like to submitetite is to the Assembly Jourclahify on wintent with respect to provisions in my Assembly Bill reparting to the creanting publicly aideable database of utility energy efficiency data.

It was not my intent to amendor altertinagny cexcisumer privacy bueroprovisions of law and I do not believe Assembly Bildoe 370 My intent was to be horize and enable the California Public Utilities Commission to make available to the public or the public of the public

Sincerely,

STEVENC. BRADF ORD, Assembly Member Sixty-second District Chair, Committeeon Utilities and Commerce