

Kent W. Kauss Senior Director State Government Relations 1415 L Street, Suite 280 Sacramento, CA 95814

> (916) 386-5704 (916) 386-5720 Fax kwk3@pge.com

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 25, 2013
To: Edward T. Bedwell

Vice President, GovernmentRelations

From: Kent Kauss

Sr. Director, StaternmentRelations

Re: 2013 End of Year Legislative Summary

The first year of the 2013-20144 ilegissession has comeltosed with the governor taking final action on the 896 bills dalphorthe legislature 18h 12fte governor signed 800 bills, while vetoing 96. During the year, a2,12561 new bills we teo thuced in the regular session.

During the regular sessions, tathe Government Relations (SeCR) team tracked 414 measures and took a formal position on 22 of them to introduce another, we sample ight different bills which were allered the feated or amended to remove our opposition. We requested the governor sign eight bills of which six where a suign sed and two were vetoed. More than in years past, SGR maximized dielationships with this despato support and oppose bills without negatively impactible diponships incommunity.

Building on advocacy from last session, and Cook Ecoalition of stakeholders engaged heavily on residential tiered rate an exformaccessfully garnered the gos exing nature on AB 327 (Perea) to allow rate incine also seer tiers, including lifthenia Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program, and give the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) the authority to create a fixed Tolman representated bill also constant investive for the CPUC determine the next iteration of stakeholders engaged heavily on residential tiered.

The CPUC'sgovernance continued face legislative tissor, which manifested itself into various provisions enacted tasofpathe State Budget (SB 96) such as limiting the scope and budget of the state and Energy Systems (CES-21) program rules turing the Division of Ratepayer Advocate (DRA), requirizero-based budgeting, parolla biting commissioners from sitting on non-state brown that all a findless enalties levied on Pag&Eresult of the San Bruno incident would be deposited starts coffers. SGRopposed and defeated this proposal which aimed to maximize the General Fund benefit of any fine or penalty.

State GovernmentRelations also deliberated to constrain number ensing (SB 418), require automatic transfer of clean energyaldaons the utility (SB) iB7) and allow cost shifts to nonparticipating combined heat and power (CHP) customers (AB 427). PG&Epartnered with labor and other stakeholdernsutce legislations tables by a community renewable sprogram

would not shift costs or facciliintact relationings between developers and our customers (SB 43). Newlegislation enacted to recogned atteansport assue (SB 656), justeleastric service providers are on the exlessible, was a success for customineer and protection from fraud. The team also worked behind the scenes on it from 39 (Prop. 39) implementation (SB 73) by advocating the advantages of leverage pagistimes utili programs.

Attached is a summaryof the priority beins inwelwed in duringleth islative year. This memoralso includes activity of interests tabley thauditor, gothernor's signing and veto messages and letters printende record. If you have astions about any of these measures, please let meknow.

Bill Summariesby Subject Matter

Rates

AB 327 (Perea) — Support — Chapter 61 This comprehensive electrical corporation policy bill provides for reform refeithential rateignles development of a new structure for renewable distributed genefation rateignles development is new structure for allowance for a higher Renewable Portforhidard S(12 PS). This bill stearted residential rate reform bill by eliminating the restriction are lative rate increases the lowest tiers and CARE customers but was expanded pursuant is oussions with then in its tration to address the other issues. The CPUOs provided screet included to implement the provisions of AB 327 with specific dates included for nether transide leactric se Screen and allowance for the adoption of fixed charges for transide leactric se Screen in grant and allowance for the

AB 922 (Patterson) — Support — Two-year-billhis measurewould have provided investor-ownedutilities (IOUs) the statutboryity to verify the income of customers enrolled in the CAREprogram irrespective of how they becameen Exiting regulations and statute allow customers to enroll in CAREbased on their inection in the case in property from various categorical programs. Existing law countains provisions to billethy which led to the introduction of this measure. The bill pursause blothy the authorizence to provisions found in AB 327 relating to the CARE program.

SB 743 (Steinberg) — Support if Amended—Chapter 386—This measurewould have reformed the residential ratherestranced provide for increases to four percent annually for CAREcustomers based on the percentage chianting ConsumerPrice Index using the Social Security Cost of Living Adjustment. The bill weatsting trother request of The Utility Reform Network (TURN) and other consumer groups as arratalities to AB 327. After reaching an agreement with TURNet al. on AB 327, the CAREcontents of the bill were removed and replaced with language pertain in Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) issues and development of an arena for the Sacramento Kings.

Nuclear

SB 418 (Jackson) — Oppose— Failed — This measured have placed additional reporting and regulatory requirements one amulpower generation facilithisets sought a license extension. This measure included these processinant provisions multiple times throughout the process in an attempt to circum wheir the entire and move the concept forward. The bill was defeated following the announce obtile the same of the processinal concept forward. The bill was defeated following the announce obtile the same of the processinal concept forward. The bill was defeated following the announce obtile the same of the processinal concept forward. The bill was defeated following the announce obtile the same of the processinal concept forward.

Budget

AB 85 (Budget) — Chapter 24— Amongnumous other provisions, but trailer bill contains a five percent cost outdissent (COLA) for California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) redispier iscussions continue at the CPU on whether that COLA allows for an increase in CARE rates.

AB 101 (Budget)—Chapter 354—Among numerous other provisions, this that the bill includes \$24.5 million for three electricle rebate programm astered by the California Air Resoruces Board (CARB).

AB 110 (Budget) — Chapter 20— Thisl both tains the 2013-14 Budget Act. PG& Elracked the transfer of \$500 million from the Green Rougher Brougher also provides \$577,000 in auction revenue to the Office confidental Health Hazard Assessment identify disadvantaged communities the benefit from investments of cap and trade revenues. In addition, two positions and \$103n millauction revenue are provided to CARB enhance oversight of auction activities bill also enacts the chadget of the CPU Cotaling \$1.3 billion and 1,045.9 positions. The CPU Conceptive all for additional personnel for high-speed rail safety and gas safet RATe beived approval for five positions for energy financial examiners, water auditores tuesded gas safety workloadly, Fittails bill requires a fiscal audit to be conducted Officthe of State Audits and Evaluations (OSAE) within the Department of Finance to review the CPUC sprograms. The scope of the audit is currently defined through discussions between the CPUC sprograms. The scope of the audit is currently defined through discussions between the CPUC sprograms.

SB 73 (Budget) — Chapter 29— This buddragetter bill taknown the implementing language for Proposition 39, largely indoing or the governor's proposal to limit funding to K-14 and base K-12 funding on average daily attendance. although the side is a studied in given the California Conservation Corps.

SB 85 (Budget) — Chapter 35— This buttracter bill taknown manyprovisions, including parameters for utility relongate ments with the Highe Pop Rail Authority (HSRA). Based on PG&E's negotiations with HSRA, the provisions may have no impact.

SB 96 (Budget) — Chapter 356— This measures restriction as the uncess Budget Trailer Bill and includes sevenatinges in law that were deemednecessary to implement the 2013-14 State Budget. Several provision test restate the CPUC, including: a reduction in funding and scope for the CES-21 program to \$35 millicognibe free curity and gnite griation work; reform of DRA; restrictions on the CPUC's ability bliston escent profit entities trictions on disbursement of settlement funds; and a sequiforenthe CPUC osubmit a zero-based budget by January 1, 2015. The bill provides the compromise of various CPUC reform efforts proposed by members of the budget subcommittees of the ostenate and assembly Seemator Jerry Hill. The bill also establish presting requirements for the action of the Electric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) Program. The bill appropriates funds to the Office of the State Treasurer to operate at Prapages and Clean Energy (PACE) risk mititigation program.

Supplemental Report Language— The CPUGs require provide a findential report to the legislature by April 1, 2014, on each preindistignation or judicial another in is a party involving claims arising from the energy crisissies arose as a result of CPUC actions to spend energy crisisation funds received as plant NRCE nergy, Inc. settlement which resulted in the payment of \$20 million resulted in electrical charging infrastructure.

Assembly Budget SubcommitteeNo. 3 on Resources and Transportation — The subcommittee staff madea recommendation that all finest ieas pleat the CPU Orders PG& Ho pay, surrender or transfer as a result of their State Brunshall be deposited into state coffers. The subcommittee discussion focused on Generale Vieunucle loss associated the San Bruno related infrastructure specifical already made due to assertions of the corporate tax implications. PG& Eworked with legised a leadership to prevest taff the recommendation from being put for a vote and any link between the San Bruno fine/penalty proceeding and budget discussions.

Climate Change

AB 26 (Bonilla) — Two-year bill — Thisreduired theatinery projects funded by the GreenhouseGas Reduction Fund (AB 32 unallocatedvalue revenues) be considered public works funds and utilize skilled Theataill also required the to develop apprenticeship standards for greenhouse gas (@HED)ction activities.

AB 153 (Bonilla) — Held/Dead — This bill nbessgas discussion of how to expand the supply of offsets and would have required CARB to adopt a process to approve new offset protocols, prioritize protocols invistrate benefits auniderequation and process to approve new offset protocols.

AB 245 (Grove) — Failed — This bill whould required the estern Climate Initiative, Inc. (WCI, Inc.) to adhere to Bagleryek@penMeeting Act laws.

AB 278 (Gatto) — Two-year bill — Thils requires CARB consider the broad environmental impacts of the low carbon fuel standard (LCFS). PG&Emonitored this bill for possible impacts to the AB 32 cap and trade program.

AB 284 (Quirk) — Held/Dead — This bill wordstate a "Road to 2050 Board" (Board) convened by the Chair of CARBwithten responsibility to develop tatorephoe legislature and governor every two years beginning in January 2016 on the impacts of global warmingon wat supply, public health, agricultastipne and forestry. The members of the Board would include the president of the CPUC, cha©EO f, at the Business and Consumer Services, Food and Agriculture, Natural Resour Teranasportation Agency Secretaries.

AB 416 (Gordon) — Held/Dead — This bill withdrative required CARB to establish a program to provide grants and other financial assistationable thocal government recipients for the purpose of developing and implementing local greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) reduction projects.

AB 527 (Gaines) — Vetoed — This bill whomewhole repealed the exemption from the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act (Bagley-Keene) enact 2612 hold for the WCI, Inc. and provides that a contract between the state Wahdinc. shall be subjected to be subjected to be subjected to be state audie to be subjected to be state audie to be subjected to be state audie to be subjected to be subjected to be subjected to be state audie to be subjected to be subjec

- SB 34 (Calderon) Held/Dead This bill dwhoeute required the CARB to adopt carbon capture and storage methodology by January 1, 2016.
- SB 497 (Walters) Failed This woo'ld have required **CAS** allocate free allowances to the University of California identification of California CaS tate University systems.
- SB 605 (Lara) Two-year bill Thiswbilld have required the AB 32 Scoping Plan update to prioritize in-state regionations of copollutants, edicated bill items, in-state offets and creation of a back-stop plan. PG&Eopposegulture California Council for Environmental and EconomicBalance(CCEEB) and assisted CCEEB elvocacy by analysing the negative impacts of the bill repetition advocacy materials.
- SB 726 (Lara) Chapter 420— This bill resequadiditional transpare inclyCI, Inc., transactions and expenditures autilibre attempted to amendthe atteill in the session with objectionable lanaguage pertaining set eligibility. PG& Expressed through CCEE and the California Chamber Commercenthese objectionable provisions. Amidvast opposition, the author removed the offset related provisions.

Corporate and Cybersecurity

AB 801 (Brown) — Two-year bill — This bidould have required-feormous metal recyclers to obtain proof of ownership before payintegrifeal that was marked in such a way as to reasonably identify the owner.

AB 841 (Torres) — Support — Vetoed This bill would that required non-ferrous metal recyclers to mail check payments to a physical PG& Teresported the check payment to a physical address required by AB 841. It have under the method of the public from the hazards associated with metantic that the property of the public replacement See veto message 17).

AB 909 (Gray) — Support — Vetoed — This bill whom the created tests Metal Theft Task Force. Any monies collected could have belon tosted law enforcement for the exclusive purpose of deterring, investigating, and go roused utheft and terelar ecycling crims veto message (p. 17).

AB 1274 (Bradford) — Chapter 597— The ionright version of the bill would have required utilities to developlasts for third party developersadvanced meiteng infrastructure. Weworked with Opower, Technet and other third-appropriation developersarries at the current version of the bill which promoteicalies for customer data handled by certain businesses.

Distributed Generation

AB 217 (Bradford) — Chapter 609— This spirlovides \$108 million for low-income solar programs until December31, 2021. The bill which althewcontinuation of PG&How-income solar programs without interuption.

AB 415 (Garcia) — Chapter 612— This billadetons eligible testoquals and accredited listing agencies that bleeliegiquipment can use to be eligible testoquals and accredited

AB 427 (Mullin) — Oppose— Failed — This billould have created an exemption from non-bypassable charges for bottom cycle wastedwesty CHP generation. PG&Eopposed the bill as a cost shift to non-participating customers.

AB 792 (Mullin) — Chapter 534— Thoist prohibits a local juomișduoritil January 1, 2020, from levying a utility user tax (UUT) posuthetion of electricojtey nerated by a clean energy resource defined as reneevgrable ration or a reneworable prohibit.

AB 796 (Muratsuchi) — Chapter 617— This beintends the G-EGtamisff rate established under AB 1110 (Fuentes, Chapter 508, Statutes toof 2000 Bloom fuel cell customers until January 1, 2016. PG&Edid not position dhithbut bitold the author and sponsor any further extensions of this subsidy would have stop the dozest shift and ensure the systems would reduce greenhouse gasses.

AB 1014 (Williams) — Two-year bill — PG&Eopposed the original version of AB 1014 which would have imposed a multi-billiochlar dcost on our customers to pay for a communitysolar procurement program. The current version of istheobisistent with our Green Tariff filing before the CPUC.In deference to SB 43, theelacutthour bill their Senate Energy, Utilities and CommunicationsCommittee.

AB 1228 (V. Perez) — Two-year bill — This mewasulte have expanded the cility size for fuel cell generation facilities alifythat under the NEM program from 1 MW to 3 MW. The bill was dropped by the author when oppositioneds through a number of parties.

AB 1295 (Hernandez) — Two-year bill — Sponsbye Southern California Edison, AB 1295 attempts to create a communitysolar pinocjuating an option foect direlationship between the developer and the customer 95 AB al 2 significant opposition and was never heard in the Senate Energy, Utilities mmaunide tions Committee as SB 43 became the vehicle for the commisplay legislation.

SB 43 (Wolk) — RemovedOpposition — Chapter 413 — SB 43 started as a high priority oppose bill that would have striffteds of costs for committy solar onto non-participating customers and facilitated reliationships between deposes and customers. The final version creates a 600 MWcommunitysolar program paid for by participating customers. PG& was neutral on the final version.

Electric Operations

AB 66 (Muratsuchi) — RemovedOpposition — Chaptellon — This bilitequires electrical corporations to annually regional lity data byakeness, post the specific on their website and remediate areas with most outle by the specific post of the specific on their website requirements to rank order by remarks ability but watso about these provisions removed.

SB 48 (Hill) — Two-year bill — This meassuilde whave required peer review of research and development projects proposed by the CPNeQ fcost over \$1.5 million and require investor-ownedutilities to file threads, we want to be a second to be a secon

SB 699 (Hill) — Two-year bill — This who bill have required burdensome reporting of costs associated with the tredec distribution system provided useful information to the public. PG&Eworked with the who the bill in the Assembly Utilities and Commerc committee.

Energy Efficiency and DemandResponse

AB 29 (Williams) — Two-year bill — Onsesovieral bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have appropred an illion per year to propred education projects from Prop 39 funds.

AB 39 (Skinner)—Two-year bill—One of several bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have required a relative grant pradexististered by the CECfor K-14 schools. In addition, this bill would attend 20 expleic cent of Prop. 39 funds to the CEC's Energy Conservation Assistance Account (ECAA) for integrated to proposed that some underdetermined amount of beunded icated to job training and workforce development and PACE programs. The bill attended to extend the ECAA program from 2018 to 2022.

AB 114 (Salas) — Two-year bill — One per bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have required the Labor and Workforce Agency to develop a workforce training program utilizing up to 9.6 percent of Prop. 39 funds. The bill was ultimately amended to require workforce reporting for Prop. 39.

AB 122 (Rendon)—Support—Two-year bill — This will would have established a commercial building financing mechanismat the CECfor energy efficiency or renewable generation retrofits by utilizeinge boends to lower interactes offered to building owners. PG&Esupported this mechanismas another to call tearmative to on-bill repayment (OBR) proposals with automatic transfer. PG&Eworked clossethye variether to keep the financing projects on the customer side of that the customer generation.

AB 270 (Bradford) — Chapter 610— This bill retipue in ExPUC to create an internet portal of energy efficiency measures, savings and expendioticate is on by While PG&Edid not take a position on the bill, SGRworked closely exwitth out than dominite estaff to address customer privacy, trade secret and proprinte tampation as provided under currence to the Assembly Journal (p. 18).

AB 572 (Atkins) — Two-year bill — Thiswbilld have required the CPUC develop a protocol to trade offsets generated froefficiency. The bill was never heard in committee.

AB 719 (Hernandez) — RemovedOpposition/Support — Chapter 616— PG&Eopposed the original version of AB 719 which wouldn't provide the costs for street lights on non-participating customers. The overreint of AB 719 is consistent hew it treet light proposal in our General Rate Cases particles the CPUC and IOUs to give local governments a way to reduce their utilitys by replacing inefficient ights treet lights.

AB 834 (Williams) — Two-year bill — Thills would have expanded the CEC's administrative enforcement authority to include enew mytean efficiency standfords buildings. The bill was later amended to are so postsecondary education.

AB 905 (Ting) — Two-year bill — ThiswboillId have established an E-covenant to act as an energy efficiency finance mechanispropeaty's land title billThevas never set for a hearing.

SB 37 (De Leon)—Oppose unless amended—Failed This bill would have authorized the CPUCro establish an OBR program with automatic transfer of loans for energy efficiency, demandresponse and distributed general feaths.proPG&Evigourously opposed the automatic transfer provisions, any permanent customer funded hared ements and the extension of the program to distribute eneration and offered amendments or remove opposition.

SB 39 (De Leon)— Chapter 775— One of several bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have implemented a competitive grant program at the Office of Public School Construction for K-12 schoolsbill was later amended to extend the ECAA program from 2018 to 2022. The entire contents of the reinhovereum replaced with language to address public sector pensions.

SB 64 (Corbett) — Two-year bill — Osevoleral bills introduced to implement Prop. 39, this bill would have implemented a grant program CHEC with all public iessitatigible. The will was amended to remove these provisorior reseate to the an Technology Investment Account under the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund.

Governance, Regulatory and Oversight

AB 436 (Jones-Sawyer) — Two-year bill — Thiswbulld have allowed the application of the Comparative Fault doctrine in the condemnation cases allowed reduced awards if an earlier, more favorable settlement was offered.

AB 458 (Wieckowski)— Two-year bill — Thill would have prohibited taxpayers from claiming a tax deduction for punitive damages.

AB 995 (Frazier) — Two-year bill — This/doulld have provided for reform of the intervenor compensation program by clarifying provision storellade other definition of a "customer", contribution for public beneditiplianation of the efforts by the DRA. The bill faced significant opposition from integrace and was held in committee in order to allow for the completion of the audit by the Californ Aadi Statewhich was published in July of 624013. section on State Auditor activity (p. 14).

SB 176 (Galgiani) — Held/Dead — This wooduld have increased public agencies interactaction with interactac

SB 291 (Hill) — Chapter 601— This measure requires the CPUC do develop and implement a gas safety enforcement program by July 1, 2011 Alendric safety enforcement program by January 1, 2015. The programs are meant to provide the CPUC at authority to monitor, trainvestigate and is stations for safety violation. PUC Impeady has an ongoing proceeding on this matter.

SB 611 (Hill) — Dropped— This measure would have reformed the DRAat the CPUCby requiring them to develop their own buptgesteffotation to the Department of Finance, allowing them to seek rehearing of CPUCdecisvieths assesseek judicial review in the court of appeal or the State SupremeCourt. The measure prevention with the author and amended another subject after approval of SB 96 which contained some DRA reform provisions.

Land Use, Siting, Environmental

AB 52 (Gatto) — Two-year bill — The origyiems ion of the bill would have given Native American tribes veto authority over laterclisius as. The current bill could have caused interested parties the notion mental mitigation at Topock. PG&Eworked through CCEEs amend the bills most onerous provisions.

AB 227 (Gatto) — Chapter 581— This boilhanges the enforcement provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Prop. 65) by limiting recovery by private citizen enforcement action from deptes of exposure to chemaiocalise cancer or birth defects or other reproductive inhacircumstances when the fatiduperovide clear and reasonable warnings has been remedied and a penbate private. While the governor had hoped to pass Prop. 65 reform, an agreement among stakeholders for meaningful action could not be reached. PG& Hollowed these recognostiathrough the California Chamber of Commerce.

AB 380 (Dickinson) — Two-year bill — This two buld have insused public notification during the development process including reducition of CEQAdocuments.

AB 515 (Dickinson) — Two-year bill — This twould have created Environmental and Land Use Courts for CEQAcases. PG&Ediscussed convocithisthe author, who decided to hold the bill in the Assembly Judiciary Committee.

AB 516 (Brown) — Two-year bill — This wordluld have created an incidental take process for commonand abundant species of birds Eductaircal transmission predicated to the implementation of the RPS. The sponsor, Southern California Edison, is working with the Department of Fish and Wildlife to address ethres administratively.

AB 823 (Eggman)— Two-year bill — This bill would have amended the CEQA review process to require replacement of agridual for projects that dean to non-agricultural uses.

AB 1026 (Quirk) — Two-year bill — Thiswoodlid have limited the Prop. 65 warning label to substances known to cause camacereproductive toxicity.

AB 1323 (Mitchell) — Failed — Thiswoodld have prohibited hydracturing in California.

AB 1330 (J. Perez) — Two-year bill —blithis would have doubled fines for environmental-related violations is and volantaged communities as definyethe CalEnviroScreen. PG&E opposed through the California Chamberof Commerce and CCEEB and provided policy analysis to each organization. The bill was place to be a sit not to be senate floor.

SB 123 (Corbett) — Held/Dead — This widuld have created Environmental and Land Use Courts for CEQA cases.

SB 387 (Wright) — Two-year bill — This wooduld have required the State Water Resources Control Board to allow extending necessary to operate pursuant to federal law. Then briddu one in reconstruction of the extensive regulation of such facilities under federal aliannians policy that could impact 19 power plants throughout the state antical thick tyre of electric supply.

SB 691 (Hancock) — Two-year bill This bill would have authorized a civil penalty of up to \$100,000 (currently \$10,000) foor ations emission discharges. The bill had poorly defined terms that could have subject the author to example penalties. PG& Time with the author to narrow the scope of the bill. The final was verspiosed by the broader business community, including CCEEB.

SB 731 (Steinberg) — Two-year-bill was intended to vehicle for substantive CEQA:reform. PG&Eworked with the Silicolalley Leadership Group, CCEED and other business groups but legislate adership could not togree bstantive CEQA:changes.

SB 735 (Wolk) — Two-year bill — This would have required tispecies conservation plans that are adopted, under development planfisture be consistent the Delta Plan. The bill was never set for a hearing.

Natural Gas Operations

AB 650 (Nazarian) — Chapter 615— This briefquires the Director of the Department of General Services (DGS) to operathstatureal Gas Services Program which would procure natural gas commodity service for any city, city and county or any other local governmental body and nonprofit hospitals and educationations who are interested in purchasing their natural suggastics from a competitive derovi The bill also requires state agencies, with the exception of the Department of Water Resources, to use the DGS for the procurement of non-core gas purchases ratherntetinage into such arrangements on their own. Under existing law, the DGS has this same authorities are not required to go through DGS if they choose to procure their grast ucommodity service from competitive providers.

AB 811 (Lowenthal) — Support — Chapter 250 This bill will provide policymakers with a clearer picture of damageto under facilities by standard fine inceporting and making that information publically available supposted the final versionell as a prior version that gave California the tability uire additional raction to education following dig violations.

AB 1257 (Bocanegra) — Chapter 749— Thinseasure, which was sponsored by Sempra Energy, requires the CECto incluids immtegrated rolling Policy Republic Republ

SB 656 (Wright) — Support — Chapter 604This measure requires the CPUC develop a regulatory oversight grant for Core Transport Agents (CTA) lar to what is provided for Electric Service Providers bill the was introduced at PC after we received a significant increase in the number of constiplinates regarding marketing and service practices of CTA's.

Procurement and RenewablePortfolio Standard

AB 177 (V. Perez) — Oppose— Two-year bill This bill would have recast and revised the electric utility procurement process essorunces without limitationary established procurement targets. While the author's states to go a brd in a desource planning, PG& Exposed the bill as unncessess any treducing undefined terms, the bill would have created a layer of uncertainty and market of the function was later amended to require 51 percent RPS by 2030. PG& Edid not take it is to poon the RPS provisions. The bill was never set for a hearing.

AB 323 (Chesbro) — Held/Dead — This bidbuld have eliminated recycling credit for green waste used in landfill operations. PG&Embristobeid for possible biomass procurement implications.

AB 448 (Quirk) — Support — Two-year bill This measurewas introduced as a placeholder to discuss reform of the RPSprogram. The iauthor cerned about integration of intermittent renewable resources and the impacts on OHS ions, reliability and consumer costs.

AB 762 (Patterson) — Support — Two-year-billhis measure would have provided that all hydroelectric generation facilities as renewable resources three destate's RPS program. The bill failed passage in the Assemblyabidil Cices merce ommittee as has historically happened when attempts have been made to treat roule ctrivial facilities the same.

AB 793 (Gray) — Dropped— This measure contain peodvisions that mirror those found in SB 591 which was signed into law. The bill provinces of the sed Irrigation is trict (ID) is not required to procure additionable renewable resources edivides more than 50 percent of its annual retail sales for manifest role electric electric litities regarded she size of those facilities. The bill was introduced due to the Medic on New Exchequer Damand the use of the generation following expiration of a contract with PG&E. We attempted to expand the provisions of the pribo of the PG&E greater discount with our hydrotelistic facilities but were unsuccessful.

AB 923 (Bigelow) — Two-year bill — The sponsotheofill wanted Calaveras and Tuolomne Counties to have the abilitival to the decreal Preference Robinsetly to customers. Weworked with the author on other pairs to spur economic development.

AB 1258 (Skinner) — Two-year bill — Timbersure would have required the CEC, in consultation with the CPUC and other emotition emotition plets an analysis of the potential use of existing hydroelectracilities and pumped storage in provide additional flexibility to integrate ittent renewable emergorurces. The biplecisically called out the Helms Pumped Power Plant as well as the Balsam Meadow, Oroville, Castaic and San Luis pumped storage facilities for review.

AB 1350 (Hernandez) — Two-year bill — sThoill would have required the CPUC reopen direct access by July 1, 2014. PG& Ework ead ovoidabilition, including total baomiticulate our concerns to the author asynoid a hearing.

SB 548 (Roth) — Two-year bill — This billd heavel created an exemption from RPS rules on in-state and out-of-state respect tor "buckets" for small poutshinged utilities. The bill was never set for a hearing.

SB 591 (Cannella) — Chapter 520— The bill provited state that erced ID is not required to procure additional eligible remesual procures if it receives than 50 percent of its annual retail sales from its hydromelectric electracidities regardless so tethout those facilities. The bill was introduced in part dundence of the generation following the expiration on to a claw ith PG&E. We attempted to expand the provisions of the bill to provide reaction with chromelengthic facilities but were unsuccessful.

SB 674 (Corbett) — Two-year bill — Thisy doubld have required the CPUC ocreate a special rate to compensate electr generation this bizest energy storage.

SB 760 (Wright) — Two-year bill — Introduced læst thereeks of thesisten, this bill would have recast and revised the RPSLeæstes Cost procurement preference for baseload to emphasize reliability and favor procurement of geothermal energy. PG&Eworked with the author on language that was more palatability because set asides and achieve a balanced procurement approach. Given the multiple stake population, the author decided not set the bill for a hearing.

SB 804 (Lara) — Vetoed— This bill whould added "conversion the controlled combustion" to the tide find biomass conversion were seen veto message (p. 18).

<u>Transportation and Electric Vehicles</u>

AB 8 (Perea) — Chapter 401— This bill extends feets cle registration, boat registrations, and tire sales to fund various air quality improvement programs and programs that support alternative fuel vehicles. PG&Esupportetor of the California redectransportation Coalition (CalETC).

AB 220 (Ting) — Support — Two-year bill hisTbill would harvowided a partial exemption from sales taxes for the purchase of low-emission vehicles. PG&Esupported AB 22 through the CalETC. The bill whotaschuse to cost concerns.

AB 266 (Blumenfield) — Chapter 405— This bill rtsupthose deployment of electric vehicles by allowing white high occupancy vehicle (HOV) stebliceles to use HOV lanes with a single occupant from January 1, 2015, to 1,12019 ryPG&E supported AB 266 through the CalETC.

AB 529 (Lowenthal) — Chapter 500— This totalkessignificant changes to the Basic Inspection of Teminals Program including inspection and fee schedules. PG&Eworked with the author to protect the PIGALE from increased costs.

AB 1077 (Muratsuchi) — Held/Dead — This builduld have reduced the amount of sales tax and vehicle license fees partthease of electrivehicles.

AB 1092 (Levine) — Chapter 410— This brighquires the development of building code standards for electrinoiclevecharging stations multin-family projects.

AB 1314 (Bloom) — Two-year bill — This bidould have required PG&E contract out for bracket inspections on naturalehigales. PG&Eworked with thethoaru to understand the implications of the bill and avoid a hearing.

AB 1324 (Skinner) — Two-year bill This bill would have allowed AlamedaCounty to increase motor vehicle fees withutaores by the AlamedaCounty Board of Supervisors.

SB 11 (Pavley) — Two-year bill — Thiscobritained the exact samelanguage as AB 8 which extends fees on vehicle nætgiosats, boat registra, and tire safessd tovarious air quality improvement programs and programs that supportnattere fuel vehicles. AB 8 was signed by the governor and SB 11 was held in the assembly.

SB 286 (Yee) — Chapter 414— This bill staptperdeployment of electric vehicles by allowing green HOV sticker vehicles to usen to use the single occupant from January 1, 2015, to January 1, 2019.

SB 359 (Corbett) — Support — Chapter 415— This phobivides \$30 million dollars for clean vehicle rebate programs.

SB 454 (Corbett) — Chapter 418— Thispboil/lides a variety of consumer protections for electric vehicle ownercodiing access to public changing ructure and disclosure of charging locations and pricing.

Miscellaneous

AB 6 (Gorell) — Held/Dead — This birthinally would be increased emergency preparedness by providing a tax foored birther gency standby generators. It was amended to provide a tax credit for expenses related into for alternative grant allations. PG&E did not take a position on the amended bill.

AB 214 (Skinner) — Chapter 498— This bill retipe in rescretary aftesto establish procedures for voting during a state of emergency.

AB 340 (Bradford) — Vetoed— This bill who and required the CPUC of establish a program to encourage the use of women, minapor of the control of the control

AB 366 (Holden) — Two-year bill — The orgiveration of the bill would have complicated our supplier diversity program and harmed elixivistrisre suppliers expanding the definition to include companies based on the maltheeliprof boards and shareholders. PG&Eworked with the author to removerations to one our provisions.

AB 628 (Bocanegra) — Chapter 741— This, bisponsored by SempraEnergy, provides a framework for the development of energy emental plans between port harror districts and investor-owned utilities, publicly-owitiness articl CommunityChoice Aggregators in order to reduce air emissions and promoteric development of the distrocate signing message(p. 15).

AB 869 (Medina) — Oppose — Two-year bill This measurewas sponsored by the Utility Workers of America and was introduced followhiring ane Sandy to address workforce levels to respond to emergency situations. With the composition of the emergency and publish optans pond to emergencies what intended to increase the size of the union workforce. The bid pendasyd the author rather lity opposition was presented along with existing interpretation of the development of similar plans.

AB 874 (Williams) — Two-year bill — Thiesasure would have prohibited investor-owned utilities from recovering aning constent as a result strings soir deterring the organizing of employees in labor unions. The bill was sport employees in labor unions. The bill was sport employees.

AB 1073 (Torres) — Two-year bill — Thissume awould have required the development of universal identification badge strorworklers to be used substraing access to disaster sites. The bill was sponsored by the Communications Vorkers of America and was dropped when opposition from utilities and other organized labour the bill was unnecessary.

SB 121 (Evans) — Failed — This twithuld have imposed additional burdensomed is closure on corporate political trottorins. The bill was oppose through a business coalition including the California Chamber of Commerce and failed passage in committee.

SB 241 (Evans)—Held/Dead—This bill would have imposed a 9.9 percent per barrel oil severance tax.

SB 362 (Padilla) — Support — Chapter 5676745 bill was a response to voting problems experienced by PG&E and other lity: employees during etspeores to Hurricane Sandy. SB 362 gives the governor and the secrestrate of the ability statistical procedures that will allow people to vote where the data assist in out-of restate all disasters.

State Auditor Activity

Intervenor Compensation—On July 16, 2012, Assemblymembellenry T. Perea submitted a request to the Joint Legas Autionit Committee (JLAC) to approve an audit of the CPUC's intervenor compensation program. The requires text a specific scope and task which was approved by the JLAC. On July 23, 2013, the Califatoren Auditor enacted their audit (Report 2012-118) that concludes the administrative known seems, the commission has generally awarded compensation to interveim or program.

AB 327 (Perea)

To Membersof the California State Assembly:

I amsigning AssemblyBill 327.

This comprehensive rate reform legislation protradies rither Public tiles Commission (CPUC) with the necessary authority address current ctrebety ratequities, protect low-income energy users and maintain robustivers center renewable energy investments.

Specifically, the bill gives the CaRuth Cority to craft a new by ectaterusture while increasing statutory discounts for qualificenthe boustoners. It also requires the electric utilities to develope buttist infrastructures to latensure that epasyer dollars are being utilized in the most efficient by swilding. Finally, the about that California's 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard is a floor, not a ceiling.

As the CPUC considers rules regagnizant fathering of net metering customers, I expect the Commission to ensure that customers who set outlier under net metering to reaching the statutory net metering cap on or before 1/4, lyane, p20 tected under rules for the expected life of their systems.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 628 (Bocanegra)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I amsigning AssemblyBill 628.

This bill authorizes have been deprort districts to derive type management plans that at a minimum include measures developine bintly with an electror proporation as community choice aggregators, or a publish that a publish to be a published as a published electric gains utility.

In order for these plans to be trouble hersolare in reducing enaissions, enhancing energy efficiency, and promoting economic development, through drison sult with all public and private partners in objuithing the pendent energy providers, demandresponse companies, and distributed generation companies.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 340 (Bradford)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 340 without my signature.

This bill requires the Pubities UtiCommission to establish regram to encourage the use of women, people of color and disabled an-owned businesses for projects funded by the Electric Program Investment Charge.

This bill inadvertently colategius that would jeopardize cal renewable programs funded by this charge.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 527 (Gaines)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 527 without my signature.

This bill requires vatrantsparency and accountabiliting on sacof the Western Climate Initiative, Incorporated (WCI, Inc.), adminuting alrisbody provide that support the State's Cap-and-Trade program. This notifices sary as WCI, Inc. already meets the open meeting, public records and autoin interpretation of this bill.

In order to ensure continuous parency, I have signed 1986 that will provide ongoing accountability without the frisk volous litigatives sented by this measure.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 841 (Torres)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 841 without my signature.

This bill would require a junk dealerle or toe concernate parts for the purchase of metal materials only through checks mailed to the seller.

The theft of nonferrous metals has reached epidemic proportions not only in California, but across the United States. In an effort to paroximilerant, this signed four labels year to prevent more theft. Existing law notation to metal materials, a written reconstruction, the name, driverse number, license plate number, thumbprint of the seller, cannot provide of the seller and the material being sold. How much more do you need?

What's really missing today is robust enforceurre hatws. SB 485, which I have signed, shall help do that.

For these reasons I amunablentothis bill.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

AB 909 (Gray)

To the Membersof the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 909 without my signature.

This bill requires the Departuhestice to establish ettade Mineft Task Force Program to provide grants to loomalen Marcement agencies apmobsecutors to enforce metal theft and related recycling laws.

This bill creates a new enforcement effortide world flycium of a funding source. Today I signed SB 485, which does provide a funding for greater enforcement within the existing infrastructure. More can certise indigne, but let's consistent funding base.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

SB 804 (Lara)

To the Membersof the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 804 without my signature.

This bill would expand the exieting tioch of biomass conversion to include non-combustion thermal, chemical, ologorical processes.

While I agree with the intent of laberto nute amendments made the bill overly complicated and unworkable.

Therefore, I am directing the Departmentaturbes, Recycling areaborvery, in conjunction with stakeholders, to developsiable approach that was publy to all biomass facilities irrespective of the technologies used.

Sincerely, EdmundG. Brown Jr.

Letter to the Assembly Journal

AB 270 (Bradford)

September 12, 2013

E. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room3196 Sacramento, California

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I would like to submitetthes to the Assembly Jourdahifto my intent with respect to provisions in my Assembly Bill re22700ng to the creation publicly aideable database of utility energy efficiency data.

It was not my intent to amendor altertinagnycexnissumer privacy beroprovisions of law and I do not believe Assembly Bildoe 2870 My intent was to thorize and enable the California Public Utilities

Commission to make available to the public orbitty geyneafficiency data and information, subject to all existing utility rivercy stop mode ections dather laws applicable to such data and information.

Sincerely,

STEVENC. BRADF ORD, Assembly Member Sixty-second District Chair, Committeeon Utilities and Commerce