# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Policies,	Instituting Procedures	Rulemaking	,	RULEMAKING	12 -	
	_					~ ~
California	Solar	Init <b>tati</b> ve,	Self	-{FiledGenera <b>Nov</b> ember 8,	2012	2)
Incentive	Program	Ot <b>hed</b> Distri	buted			
Generation	Issues.					

# REPLY COMMENTS OF THE CALIFORNIA CLIMATE AND AGRICULTURE NETWORK ON THE ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S RULING REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NET ENERGY METERING TRANSITION PERIOD

			California	Climate	<b>ADAM</b> Policy Agariadal	<b>KO1</b> Associ	
			Guinor mu	1029	K	Street	
				Sacran	nento,	CA	
				Telephone:		(510)	333
				Facsimile:		(916)	448
			I	E mail:		calcan.fili	ngs@gmai
Dated:	December	23,	2013				_

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking **Procedures and Rules** for RULEMAKING Policies. 12 -Self (FiledGeneraNonember 8, California Solar Inittateive. 2012) Incentive Program Othed Distributed Issues. Generation

## REPLY COMMENTS OF THE CALIFORNIA CLIMATE AND AGRICULTURE NETWORK ON THE ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S RULING REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NET ENERGY METERING TRANSITION PERIOD

(feedfeedwed) the has parties' California Climate Agarindulture Network respoteding Regardingeriod and opening comments the ACR NEM respectfully submits the foltowing the replyblibitotibities Commission.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

loudly CalCAN wishes to echo the Famment Bur**e**au the Federation that the Commission, inmaking this should ution before the should ution the should be a should be should be sho in that "there will be limited customer interest that are investment otfo regulatory uncertainty" sh**ən**tt first forandost "utilize this significant op provide...regulatory <sup>1</sup>assurances." to

In their transition period proposals to the CommOssined theilities (PG&E, SDG&E, and SCE), as whele of Officee Advertees TURNA, fail t

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Farm Bureau Federation at 3.

adequately offer the ofevel long - - - termertaintyregulatorycurrenfuture NEMAd 1.0 customer - - generators is that chetenhyded by theand the LegislaStatee of in numerous goals, diprietieses,f <sup>2</sup> denglislation.

Customer - - - generators a**kways**ted rates to changeoften theyconsitheised inhetiarlsvstems. fact designating to t**M**élvadid theatpect in not the very structure of the tariff they signed their contracts in ess of r**ehætii**onship with thethereforettilhiety, furentiation furentiation furentiation of stheim core for its intended purpose might be subject systemto chang То pharties not NEM'reasonable' claim. as strame. it was contracts would tl**se**an life of thæ sivistermation avaitatoetea at <sup>3</sup>disseminated is by to the underfol the information in some cases fundamentals of thousands arbiffornia contraretsidents busindesses who have installed renewable energy systems since the NEM tariff first The Commission be**ens**asked to "conbiatein"g the NEppendentiod transition "reasonable expected payback beired the tookthe on year custon service."4 isfrom cleatthe pro**bio pal**sate It putin f**orth**ties' commeenting to Commission anthat determination based primarilywill by on the payba be either.erly simplistic; (b) deatebinitaeidly (c) or extremely necessity

 <sup>2</sup> Among these, Section 2827(a) of the Public Utilities Code describes this intent of long term-objectives and mechanisms. Summarized and expanded by IREC in their Opening Comments at 4 5. <sup>3</sup> CalSEIA notes, at 6, that the CPUC website entitled "Net Energy Metering" (<u>http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/energy/DistGen/netmetering.htm</u>) tells potential customer - -

generators that "NEM rates are typically available for the lifetime of the system."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> PUC Section 2827.1(b)(6)

burdensome to administerbove and all, of a cwisodartien --- generators' re expectations in putsheing prionfities the State of California.

Conversely. party comment**s**hown -have establisheellfair. iusetnifolable measure of expersteedn life — of, onat th**e**ninimu**m**der 25 - - 30grandfathering mightperiod be which based. the

We therefore reaffirm our for support Commission determination Brown's rethatest **be**der protenteetfor ruľæhe Governor customers expected life offystemsthein elabo**pa**tion our replies on to **athe** sethe other issues rectated the NED for the new sition

# II. REPLIES TO PARTIES' COMPRENENTS ON THE MATTER OF A TRANSITION PERIOD.

### A. 'VINTAGE' GRANDFATHERING WILL CREATE UNCERTAINTY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY INVESTMENT.

In their opening comments to the CommissibleRN sB664Ft SCÆ, grandfathering plenigedr than five or resultten in **veisit**s a withold RE а NEM N.IOM combesfore installations seeking to inlock the cohoract into givi**ng** those **sigstify**g NEM con**beatvts**en April 1, the2014 fear is used NÆdVs **2**.0 shoortemed grandfathering commencement of period. vadafidity The We refute the this argument. numalbowvable of NEM 1.0 sub**s**ect alr**ea**dy 5% aggregate for custo**each** under the 2017 deadline, by 'foursh'the these Legislatur electric utility, Julyofr, setAny

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See opening comments from: The Alliance for Solar Choice; CalSEIA; California Energy Storage Alliance; California Farm Bureau Federation; Interstate Renewable Energy Council; NEM PAC;-and SEIA and Vote Solar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Signing statement viewable at: <u>http://gov.ca.gov/docs/AB\_327\_2013\_Signing\_Message.pdf</u>

remaining contracts would simply accomplish int**ense** when **it**egislat**wrdefast**ed cap.

Furthermore. the PG&SECE proparsells to "taperinder the NEM period.0 ad**œptets**s ficant signidegree more recent of uncegutainty and would logic of RE under destabilize the many investi**bætw**tsen surelv April 1, 201 January Land 2016.

Under these proposals, the hypothetical **cpustopreat**ive- - generator would know the terms soyfstem's his engagement her in Néor Ethergy first yearsife. Following its that pterind, www. unbærtain entirely or seven decides fulmention NEM **D.O**cemberwill 31, until the Commission will provide an tobisincentrieneeunabrleey uncertainty extreme install**ági**ons а twenty - - - one - - - m@nath01/201paeriod - - - Any 01/01p20pitebits full а financ horizon longer than or seixen years would simply vanish. NEM The grand**ifæ**ther terms of 1.0 musstomer be- univer NEM contractAssembwas 32Bisligneddoes regardless of takan generators, r different terms for 'lanted we adopttenosingly urge the Comn suggest create this cedicitievaer to reneewaardergev investment.

#### B. NO MEASURE OF PHRYØBACAN ADEQUATELY THADDRESS REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS OF CUSTOMER - - - GENERATORS.

Parties have proposed several measures postyback 'expectediod', from culled variseidag asmaterials dvertine av schaper articles, and all based upon sources as mistaken belief that an 'averageedian' or payback would be addres customer - - - generators Ather expectations of NEMall in 6ahifernias

5

have noted in c**omein**ents, actual pay**bae**k b**pse**tbds parties upon а array of f**acto**rsariables. Simply igntheing expectations dustaner - - whose payback p**errie**ds the mediansolutionis generators above an Policy that only suppenetwable energy installatiths aver**ahe**rter pa**v**back providerentatly diminish theand scoseade periods dangerous sets a investment in renewnahley in California. The 'reasonable' that pattatistionmer - - - generators when making only investments was themiaNEM contrauts be valid for system be li We thehat Commission's recognizision antilisnove bayaynd recommend tests "payback related to period".

#### C. THE COMMISSION IS FULLY INJUSTIFIED SYSTEM LIFE IN DETERMINING THE LENGTH OF THE PERIORANDFATHERING

The language in s**satus**tehe Commission*considenshall* reasonableted payback period" in æstablishiangsetniond. As discussed above, inadequate tool in this as contente supersselding the intenitrLegislatcmeating an Energy proghatering is long to -- interest priment co**afid**ence the Net in Wiecoura gelmenreifssitene distributed renewable energy generation. to consider and then dispense with "payback pe**foo**d" th**a**s petimod.sitionratio

Fortunately, Govern**pr**esente**das**n alterm**ative**, reasosianby belified the and superior approach to determining perio**d**he intrans**ities**age, signiwglich ditsbesissed Contabististated upon As also directive to by was the is а parties othering comments, the lifetime ojfustifiabæ maiority of SVS Ortigins lengthriod. of Usinghe alternative on to whbianse the measure

6

Equipment Manufacturer's Warr**æs**ties, aswell the of res**met**a - - - analyses fr and the Rocky <sup>8</sup>Mounta**i***n*e Instionfedenteryplace the systempected life solar PV installations to be 25 - around **965**% mionfimum. energ§in for vears production through NE**9**99% andof NFaMe constructed installations, usePV charsocheristics for consider it praudel natir to simp we administrative Therefore. the Governor's intention messaige th**tis**at Csigninigsion ofsystemstheir should consider "the expliefected shotuld disregarded, be parties request, but sbould used manare anrothearfor tenstaking а

determination regarding the transitiond.

## D. GRANDFATHERING STATUS SHOULD STAY WITH THE SYSTE

Several granatifathering sighted be eliminated parties argue upon thatthreynewableatencergy ownership transfer of а system. acknowstleendige installations have been shown to the increavelue of a that home for reneuradidgy customers have made the to decis**inv**est generation because the resinchegsed value thome therefore erty. of workeintgaintglereasonablee expectation that These customers were increased value thome theorem would transfer this survive а Neterives Enereitsled Because а renewnahley system using Metering

function and value from access toto thebeaprive NEYMStem 1100 of tartififs

<sup>7</sup> NREL, Nov. 2012. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Solar Photovoltaics." Accessible online at: <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56487.pdf</u>

<sup>8</sup> Rocky Mountain Institute, 2013. "A Review of Solar PV Benefit & Cost Studies." Accessible online at: <u>http://www.rmi.org/Knowledge Center/Library/2013 13-eLabDERCostValueN</u>

<sup>9</sup> Notably, SDG&E at 3, footnote 6.

transfer ownership w**olek**alue an unfairl**i**nvestment made with of e 'reasonable' expectations. The value of grid access is thromassested t the value of thenergy renewsystembere is and no legitimate reason schloentd of ownership this equation.

Commission clarifys**theatle**randfathering Therefore. the stantwith the system for the of entindentey tæsstaltlisshesetriod, regandless any ownersh transfers that may occur.

#### III. CONCLUSION

On Cali**føhp**ia behalf of bagaiircedsesah mhædvæ - - fæjøbd renewable energy investments sust**a**omability **ahe**ienergy independreence, again regudatory c**ertaine**y in isits integrision. Commission urge the

California pstatelis as of leadethseir reinewable onergy - farm farmers are installations, aswell the cthetyihationsade toward reducinggas gree as State's and meeting environmentalusinessesathey haveBut, emissions the line tout for. look A NEM 1.0 thag vaion la fae shtelreing reason appelie i od bottom would dtsninapt defincanterial calculus stramply discourage fut expectations renetreahheologie This be tremendous investment in would а with for potential verdified buted renewable energy is ripe generation in We therefore reiterate the following requests of Comr the

- Consider and dispense wipkeriod' 'paybasek for the rationraliesition period, utilizing system life instead;
- Treat all 1NEM customer - generators the same date way,
  of system interconnection; and

8

 Clarify that grandfathering rights stay with the system, ownership status.

Thank you opp**ootn**mi**ey**nts toon prothide import for the most look forward to swift determination by Commission. а the

Executed December 23, 2013 in Sacramento, CA

Respectfully Submitted,

Kotin\_\_\_\_

		Adam Kotin		n
		Policy	Associat	æ
California	Climate	Agarindultu	ire N	letwork
	1029	К	Street,	Su
	Sacrar	nento,	CA	95814